

**AGENDA
SHORELINE PLANNING COMMISSION
SPECIAL MEETING**

Thursday, July 22, 2004
7:00 P.M.

Shoreline Conference Center
Board Room

	<u>Estimated Time</u>
1. CALL TO ORDER	7:00 p.m.
2. ROLL CALL	7:02 p.m.
3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA	7:04 p.m.
4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES	7:06 p.m.
a. not available at this time	
5. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT	7:10 p.m.

The Planning Commission will take public testimony on any subject which is not of a quasi-judicial nature or specifically scheduled for this agenda. Each member of the public may comment for up to two minutes. However, Item 5 (General Public Comment) will be limited to a maximum period of twenty minutes. Each member of the public may also comment for up to two minutes on action items after each staff report has been presented. The Chair has discretion to limit or extend time limitations and number of people permitted to speak. In all cases, speakers are asked to come to the front of the room to have their comments recorded. Speakers must clearly state their name and address.

6. STAFF REPORTS	7:15 p.m.
A. Workshop Discussion on the 2004 Comprehensive Plan Update	
7. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONERS	8:25 p.m.
8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS	8:28 p.m.
9. NEW BUSINESS	8:30 p.m.
10. ANNOUNCEMENTS	8:32 p.m.
11. AGENDA FOR JULY 29, 2004	8:34 p.m.
A. Workshop Discussion on the 2004 Transportation Master Plan	
12. ADJOURNMENT	8:35 p.m.

The Planning Commission meeting is wheelchair accessible. Any person requiring a disability accommodation should contact the City Clerk's Office at 546-8919 in advance for more information. For TTY telephone service call 546-0457. For up-to-date information on future agendas call 546-2190.

PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA ITEM
CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON

AGENDA TITLE: Workshop Discussion on the 2004 Comprehensive Plan Update
DEPARTMENT: Planning and Development Services
PRESENTED BY: Andrea L. Spencer, AICP

I. INTRODUCTION

The Master Plans and Comprehensive Plan Update Project includes developing master plans for transportation, surface water facilities and parks, recreation and open spaces, and completing a major update to the City's Comprehensive Plan. This project is in response to community needs for infrastructure development and to meet requirements of the state Growth Management Act. To facilitate review, the draft Comprehensive Plan Update and master plans will be presented and discussed at individual Planning Commission workshops according to the following schedule:

- 7/22/04 Comprehensive Plan Update
- 7/29/04 Transportation Master Plan, Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan, and Transportation Capital Projects
- 8/5/04 Surface Water Master Plan and Capital Projects
- 8/12/04 Parks, Recreation and Open Space Master Plan and Element, and General and Parks Capital Projects

While this staff report provides some project overview information, it focuses on the Comprehensive Plan Update. Additional staff reports will be distributed for the subsequent workshops.

II. BACKGROUND

Project Overview

Cities and counties fully planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA) are to review their comprehensive plans and ordinances at least every seven years to see if their plans and regulations comply with the GMA. The deadline to complete this requirement varies from county to county. Set by RCW 36.70A.130 (4) (a), the deadline for King County and the cities within it is December 1, 2004.

The City began the process to update its Comprehensive Plan in mid 2003 and also embarked on a process to adopt three master plans for Transportation, Surface Water, and Parks, Recreation and Open Space. The required update and the adoption of the

master plans has been coordinated and processed together to take advantage of the benefits (environmental, fiscal) of coordinated planning. In addition, the adoption of the master plans also meets several of the City's Strategic Plan's (2003-2009) goals and City Council Goals (2003-2004), including:

- Adopt strategic plans for major facilities and services.
- Enhance our program for safe and friendly streets.
- Update elements of the Comprehensive Plan including environmental, surface water, transportation and parks and open space.
- Provide safe, secure and attractive neighborhoods for residents, motorists, and pedestrians.
- Provide park and open space recreational opportunities within a safe walking distance of each neighborhood.
- Provide and maintain excellent public utilities and infrastructure for each neighborhood.

The following is a brief summary of the Comprehensive Plan update and master plan projects:

Comprehensive Plan Update

Recognizing the effort undertaken to develop the current Comprehensive Plan, the City's objective is not to completely rewrite the plan. No changes are proposed to the City's land use designations or framework goals. Background and inventory information has been updated to reflect changing conditions, accomplishments since 1998, and recent annexations. Goals and policies are proposed to be updated to respond to updated information and to better reflect community values. Changes to the plan's organization have also been proposed to focus the plan on its goals and policies, moving supporting information to the back of the plan.

Key changes are highlighted in Section III of this staff report.

Transportation Master Plan

In addition to updating transportation related goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan to reflect current community values, the draft Transportation Master Plan project develop a revised street classification map with descriptions of street classes, revised set of level of service standards, green streets program recommendations, "safe and friendly streets" recommendations, and other recommended long-range transportation improvements related to streets, pedestrian and bicycle systems, neighborhood traffic protection and actions to increase traffic safety.

The draft Transportation Master Plan, draft Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan, and the recommended transportation capital projects will be reviewed at a Planning Commission workshop on July 29, 2004.

Surface Water Master Plan

The draft Surface Water Master Plan provides regulatory, capital, operation and program recommendations to address federal and state regulations as well as local drainage issues and community concerns. The plan includes an analysis of levels of service and surface water utility rates.

The draft Surface Water Master Plan and related recommended capital projects will be reviewed at a Planning Commission workshop on August 5, 2004.

Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan

The draft Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan guides acquisition, planning and development of public recreation facilities and programs over the next several years. The plan evaluates user needs and the current inventory of recreation programs to address the need for trails, neighborhood parks, natural areas and improvements to existing facilities and programs. It also identifies several parks for “mini masterplans”.

The draft Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan, and the recommended list of general and parks capital projects will be reviewed at a Planning Commission workshop on August 12, 2004.

Plans Work Together

The transportation, surface water and parks planning efforts were drafted in conjunction with the Comprehensive Plan Update to increase consistency between plans, meet the goals of the Growth Management Act, and to identify solutions that have the potential to solve multiple objectives, such as street improvements that also improve surface water management or parks that provide recreation opportunities and wildlife habitat.

Project Stages

The Master Plans and Comprehensive Plan Update Project was organized into four steps. These four steps and their general time periods are:

1. Listen & Learn – September 2003 –January 2004
 - Consultants provide updated technical information and ask for public input
 - City listens to community values
 - Public open houses and outreach activities to gather community input on existing goals and policies
2. Writing – October 2003 –February 2004
 - Consultants and City staff work with Planning Commission workgroups to draft plans and identify needed revisions based on updated data and current community values
 - Cross-discipline review occurs as drafts are developed
3. Public Review – May 2004 – September 2004
 - First draft of plans released for public review
 - Public outreach to obtain reaction to draft concepts

- Public workshops and hearings on draft plans with the Planning Commission
4. Final Draft and Adoption – November 2004 –December 2004
- Planning Commission recommendation forwarded to City Council
 - Final versions reviewed and adopted by City Council
 - Adoption of specific implementation measures may occur subsequent to adoption of the plans

Public Input

The City staff and consultant team began the process of updating the Comprehensive Plan and developing the master plans in fall 2003. As part of the Listen & Learn stage of the project, two public meetings were held September 24 and 25, 2003, at two different venues to provide increased opportunity for people to participate. The meetings introduced the project, described and confirmed existing conditions and solicited input on issues to be addressed. A total of about 100 people attended the two open house meetings and just over 40 comment forms and eight typed pages of station flipchart notes were submitted.

Notification and outreach activities included a mailed postcard announcement to all Shoreline addresses, and announcements in the Shoreline Enterprise, on the City's Web site, on the government access cable channel, and at City Council, Planning Commission and Council of Neighborhood meetings. Public input has also been solicited through the City website and a targeted project email list. Project information, drafts, and comment forms are available to download at the website.

Planning Commission Workgroups

The Planning Commission established three workgroups, of three commissioners each, to guide the City staff and consultants during the development of the plans in the writing stage to help include the needs and expectations of the citizens of Shoreline ensure in the draft plans. The three workgroups were:

- Transportation Workgroup
- Surface Water and Environment Workgroup
- Land Use and Comprehensive Plan Workgroup

The Planning Commission workgroup meetings were informal work sessions where workgroups reviewed public comments, existing policies and policy options. The workgroups were instrumental in identifying necessary updates to the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. Between October and December of 2003, 19 workgroup meetings were held, each open to the public. Workgroup recommendations are documented in the Review Matrices (A legislative formatted document that shows all changes to Comprehensive Plan Goals and Policies – distributed to the public May 6, 2004).

Early Issue Identification

From public input at the September 2003 open houses, comment letters received during the first few months of the update process, and working with the Planning Commission workgroups, several themes seemed to repeat themselves, including:

- Business access on Aurora
- Street classification and speed limits
- Basin-wide improvements to Thornton Creek
- Enhanced environmental protection

In addition to issues raised by public comments, the first drafts were written with consideration of the following guidance:

- Council Policy & Priorities
- Current land use, transportation, surface water, and parks data and analysis, such as a computer model of future traffic conditions
- Regulatory mandates, such as the Growth Management Act
- Staff understanding of existing deficiencies, and operation and maintenance needs
- Public input obtained from meetings, comment forms, the parks survey and other outreach activities, including from past activities
- Consultant expertise on technical issues
- Cross-discipline review of draft concepts
- Good fiscal management practices
- Input from Planning Commission workgroups and the Parks Board

Following the meetings with the Planning Commission workgroups, staff and consultants worked to develop draft plans that responded to all of the identified issues and directives to the extent feasible.

First Drafts of the Comprehensive Plan Update, Transportation Master Plan, Surface Water Master Plan, and Parks, Recreation and Open Space Master Plan were released to the public on May 6, 2004, along with an invitation to comment. Review Matrices were also distributed that illustrate in legislative format (underlined text to show word additions and ~~strike through text~~ to show word deletions) how the proposed changes compare to the goals and policies of the current Comprehensive Plan. Each of these documents were previously released to the Commission and public, and are not included with this staff report. Please contact city staff at the Planning & Development Services Department to obtain copies of this information or see the City's web site (www.cityofshoreline.com).

Concurrent with the release of the draft plans, the City mailed a project newsletter and open house announcement. The announcement, which was mailed to all listed addresses in the City, provided information about the plans and the planning process and advertised the open house date and location: May 13, 2004, at the Shoreline Center. The open house served to provide copies of the draft plans to the public,

display the key elements of the plans, answer questions, and to accept comments. Korean translating services were also available.

About 40 people attended the May open house. Three verbal comments were recorded at the open house by a recorder, and between May 6th and June 10th, the City received 43 written public comments, including those submitted at the open house. Public comments are included in **Attachment A**. Key issues and recommended resolutions are addressed below.

III. DISCUSSION

Comprehensive Plan Update Recommendation

The Shoreline Comprehensive Plan was first adopted in 1998 in response to the requirements of the Growth Management Act (RCW 36.70A). The 1998 Comprehensive Plan was based on an extensive public involvement process that included community-wide events, citizens' Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committees (CPACs), and public review by the Planning Commission and City Council. Public involvement activities included 6 community-wide open houses held in 1996-1998 and 6 Shoreline Community Summits. The CPACs included a group of 150 citizens participating in monthly meetings from April 1996 to June 1997. In summary, the public participation process for the 1998 plan included more than 300 public information/participation activities. This process resulted in a Shoreline Vision and Framework Goals that provide the foundation for the overall plan. No changes to these goals are proposed as part of this update process.

Existing Shoreline Framework Goals [no changes proposed]

- FG1:** Accommodate anticipated levels of growth and enhance the quality of life within the City of Shoreline.
- FG2:** Promote quality building and development that is compatible with the surrounding environment.
- FG3:** Support diverse and affordable housing opportunities which provide for Shoreline's population growth.
- FG4:** Pursue a strong and diverse economy and assure economic development that complements neighborhood character.
- FG5:** Protect the natural environment and preserve environmentally sensitive areas.
- FG6:** Promote improvements to human services.
- FG7:** Assure effective and efficient public investment for quality public services, facilities, and utilities.

FG8: Improve multi-modal transportation systems which provide for Shoreline's present and future population.

FG9: Provide for wide involvement in community planning decisions.

Vision Statements for the City of Shoreline and a "Visioning Map" are included in the Draft Comprehensive Plan Update beginning on page 3. No changes are proposed to the City's framework goals or vision statements.

In 2001, the City completed a "reconciliation process" to adopt updated designated land use and zoning maps that are mutually consistent. A reduced version of the adopted land use map is on page 93 of the draft plan. No changes are proposed to the City's adopted land use or zoning.

Revisions recommended to the Comprehensive Plan consist of three types of changes:

- Organization
- Supporting Information
- Goals and Policies

Organization

Changes to the plan's organization have been proposed to focus the plan on its goals and policies. The goals and policies have been separated from the background analysis for each element, and the supporting information has been moved to the back of the plan. This change will enable elected officials, City staff, developers and the public to more clearly distinguish between the Comprehensive Plan goals and policies and the analyses upon which they are based.

Additionally, the Comprehensive Plan Update consolidates the plan's original twelve elements into nine. The Essential Public Facilities and Environment elements have been integrated into the Land Use Element to improve consistency. The Citizen Participation Element has been integrated into the Introduction section.

The draft Comprehensive Plan Update is now organized in three main sections - Introduction, Goals & Policies and Supporting Analysis.

- **Section I – Introduction.** This section includes a description of the comprehensive planning process, the City's vision statements, framework goals and a description of the public participation opportunities during plan development. It also includes the City's policies related to public participation, a community profile and a brief history of Shoreline.

- **Section II - Comprehensive Plan Elements – Goals & Policies.** The Goals & Policies are the heart of the Comprehensive Plan. They are organized by the following elements: land use; housing; transportation; utilities; parks, recreation and open space; capital facilities; shoreline master program; economic development; and community design. The goals and policies of the Land Use Element, together with the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map, represent the basis for assumptions in all other elements of the plan.
- **Section III - Comprehensive Plan Elements – Supporting Analysis.** This section provides the foundation for the goals and policies of the plan and includes inventories of background data, needs assessments or analyses, and the identification of issues. A list of potential capital projects to implement the goals of the plan will be included in this section (recommended capital projects will be reviewed at upcoming workshops.)

Supporting Information

In Section III of the plan, background and inventory information has been updated to reflect changing conditions, accomplishments since 1998, and recent annexations. New data and analysis incorporated into the plan includes:

- The **Land Use Element – Supporting Analysis** has been updated to include 2000 Census data and population allocations developed with the King County Growth Management Planning Council and data from the 2002 King County Buildable Lands Report.

Using the City’s geographic information system, updated calculations were made determining areas of designated land uses. Half of the City is designated for low density residential uses.

Land Use Designation	Percent of Total Acreage
Low Density Residential	50%
Medium & High Density Residential	2.7%
Community Business	3%
Regional Business	.002 %
Mixed Use	3%
Public & Private Open Space	9%
Public Facilities	10%
Special Areas and Misc.	8%

Rights of Way	15%
---------------	-----

Source: City of Shoreline Geographic Information Service

In addition an inventory of lands, the Land Use Element includes information about growth and the City's capacity to accommodate additional growth. Approximately 21,330 housing units are currently within the City limits. Since incorporation, Shoreline has averaged approximately 120 new housing units per year. Based on this past trend, the City, in coordination with King County, established a housing growth target of 2,651 additional housing units by the year 2022. The established job growth target is approximately 2,620 new jobs by 2022.

Background information about the natural environment, previously located in a separate Environment Element has been relocated to the Land Use Element to improve recognition of environmental constraints in land use planning.

The Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map and maps of geologic hazard areas, and watercourses and wetlands are included at the end of the Land Use Element Supporting Analysis section.

- The **Housing Element – Supporting Analysis** has been updated to include current data on housing types, age of housing, household size and average housing prices and rents within the City.

Single family homes make up 74% of all housing units in Shoreline. Compared to King County as a whole, Shoreline has a significantly higher percentage of its housing stock in single family homes. The majority (68%) of all housing in Shoreline is owner occupied and average household size has shrunk to 2.5.

Type of Housing	Shoreline % units	King County % units
Single-family	74.0%	60.2%
Duplex	1.8%	2.1%
Triplex-Fourplex	2.4%	4.2%
Multifamily (5+units)	20.9%	30.7%
Mobile Home	0.8%	2.5%
Other (boat, RV, van, etc.)	0.1%	0.2%

Source: 2000 Census

In 2002, median single family house prices ranged from \$54,473 to \$82,988 in different sectors of the City. Average monthly rents were \$857 in September 2003,

down somewhat from 2001, and market vacancies for rentals had increased to 7.4%

- The **Transportation Element – Supporting Analysis** has been updated to include new information about street classifications, “green streets,” level of service standards, roadway improvement projects and bicycle & pedestrian needs. More information about the Transportation Element update will be provided at the upcoming workshop.
- The **Utilities Element – Supporting Analysis** has been updated to include current information about utility providers.
- The **Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element – Supporting Analysis** has been updated to provide information about existing parks conditions, acreages, facilities and status of development. More information about the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element will be provided at an upcoming workshop.
- The **Capital Facilities Element – Supporting Analysis** provides an inventory of public facilities, including their location and capacities, and potential capital funding. This Element will be updated to include a list of potential capital projects to implement the Plan’s goals (individual lists of recommended capital projects will be reviewed at each upcoming workshop). The combined list will serve as a 20-year capital facilities plan and will support the annual update of the 6-year capital improvement program (CIP).
- The **Economic Development Element – Supporting Analysis** has been updated to include current data on demographics and population in the City’s market area, household income characteristics within the City, employment by sector comparisons, tax base information and existing retail, office and residential market conditions.

Approximately 14,790 jobs currently exist in the City and median household income was \$51,658 in 1999.

Type of Job	Percentage of Total Jobs
Finance & Service Related	36%
Retail	28%
Education & Government	26%
Construction	5%
Wholesale & Utilities	3%
Manufacturing	2%

Sources: 2000 Census; Washington State Department of Employment Security; Puget Sound Regional Council

- The **Community Design Element – Supporting Analysis** has been updated to include information about the City’s gateway program.

Goals and Policies

Goals and policies were comprehensively reviewed during the update process. The draft plan shows the updates recommended to respond to updated information and to better reflect community values. How the recommended plan would revise the existing goals and policies is illustrated in a separate companion document titled, “*Proposed Goals & Policies – Review Matrices.*” Some of the key changes and additions that are recommended are noted below (note that not all changes are discussed). For each policy noted, its corresponding item number used for tracking in the Review Matrices is included in parentheses.

- In the **Land Use Element – Goals & Policies**, new policy LUa* is proposed to develop neighborhood plans to carry out the Comprehensive Plan at the neighborhood level (item 9).

Policies LU24 and LU28 have been refined to more closely match corresponding zoning (items 28 and 32).

Policies on industrial development, LU42 and LU43, have been removed (items 48 and 49).

Policies on Essential Public Facilities and Environment goals and policies have been incorporated into the Land Use Element.

Terminology of the environmental goals and policies has been updated to be consistent with the Growth Management Act. “Sensitive areas” has been changed to “critical areas” and the names of the types of critical areas has been updated to: frequently flooded areas, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, wetlands, and geologically hazardous areas.

New policy ENa is proposed to identify and map critical areas and their buffers (item 111).

New policy ENb would support “green” building techniques to reduce environmental impacts (item 117).

New policy ENc recommends researching and mapping tsunami hazards (item 124).

* Proposed new policies have been assigned temporary numbering using an “alpha” number, such as LUa, LUb, etc. Following adoption when a final decision has been made regarding the addition and retention of policies, all policies will be renumbered in sequential numerical order, such as LU1, LU2, etc.

New policy ENg prioritizes flooding problems (item 129).

New policy ENd would focus wetland and habitat improvements to where it would result in the greatest benefit (item 152).

Policy EN57 is proposed to be revised to encourage development of basin stewardship plans (item 153).

New policy ENh would focus stream habitat solutions on protecting and preserving existing habitat (item 156).

EN66 has been expanded to support working with citizens and watershed interest groups on basin planning (item 161).

New policy ENi supports establishing an interjurisdictional stewardship committee for watershed protection (item 162).

New policy ENe seeks opportunities for regional stormwater detention (rather than site specific) to encourage economic development (item 180).

New policy ENf would support obtaining private property access to facilitate maintenance of the public drainage system (item 181).

New goal EN A is to use education as a tool to increase protection of critical areas and understanding of environmental issues (item 187).

- In the **Housing Element – Goals & Policies**, various edits are proposed to improve clarity and consistency with zoning.
- Changes proposed for the **Transportation Element – Goals & Policies**, will be reviewed at an upcoming workshop.
- In the **Utilities Element – Goals & Policies**, surface water management policies have been moved to the Land Use Element to be integrated with other environment and surface water policies. Policies on water and sewer service have been moved to the Capital Facilities Elements to recognize their capital development needs.

Updates to policies U1, U3, U4 and U8 to better recognize non-City utility providers that are not directly obligated to adopted the City's service levels (items 346, 348, 349, 353).

New policy Ub is added to encourage utilities to replace outdated equipment (item 357).

Three new policies, Ue, Uf and Ug, would address community concerns about utility impacts on trees and vegetation (item 373).

Policies U34 and U35, which promote fiber optics and community information services, are proposed to be deleted as the City does not have telecommunications planning or development capabilities (item 381) .

- Changes proposed for the **Parks, Recreation and Open Space Element – Goals & Policies**, will be reviewed at an upcoming workshop.
- In the **Capital Facilities Element – Goals & Policies**, three new goals are proposed:

Goal CFi is to ensure that capital facilities and public services necessary to support existing and new development are available concurrent with locally adopted levels of service and in accordance with Washington state law (item 436).

Goal CFii is to provide continuous, reliable and cost-effective capital facilities and public services in the City and its urban growth area in a phased, efficient manner reflecting the sequence of development as described in other elements of the Comprehensive Plan (item 437).

Goal CFiii is to enhance the quality of life in Shoreline through the planned provision of capital facilities and public services that are provided either directly by the City or through coordination with other public and private entities (item 438).

New policy CFa would be to maintain an inventory of capital facilities to be updated biannually and new policy CFb would review the capital facility inventory and to project capital needs based on adopted levels of service standards (items 440 and 441).

New policy CFc is to coordinate with other public entities that provide public services in Shoreline.

Several policies that have been completed or are redundant with others have been deleted (items 450-454, 456-461).

Several new policies are proposed related to capital financing. New policy CFg is to consider all available funding mechanisms for capital projects. New policy CFg-1 is to assign greater priority to capital projects that provide a higher net benefit and provide multiple functions (such as recreation, open space, drainage and transportation) to the community. New policy CFg-2 is to utilize financing options that best facilitate implementation of the CIP, including debt financing.

New policy CFg-3 is to evaluate having the City collect surface water utility fees rather than King County (items 461-464).

Policies CF17 – 21 are replaced (items 466-470).

New policy CFh is to promote the collocation of capital facilities for greater efficiency (item 473).

Policies CF30 – 33 deleted and addressed by other policies (items 480-482).

For services that the City does not directly control – police, fire, and schools – it is recommended that service levels be deleted (items 487).

Add new policies CFm – CFo to better address surface water and stormwater management.

- In the **Economic Development Element – Goals & Policies**, a new goal and two new policies are proposed to maintain sustainable sources of revenue, including encouraging retail activity and revitalization (items 496-498).

A new policy, EDg, is proposed to improve the mix and balance of jobs available in Shoreline (item 506).

New policy EDi is recommended to ensure suitably zoned areas for a range of desirable employment-based uses (item 527).

New policy EDj is to take better advantage of commercial development opportunities near I-5 (item 537).

New policy EDI encourages the City to work with businesses to provide parking for commercial areas (item 539).

- In the **Community Design Element – Goals & Policies**, several changes are proposed to improve the clarity of policies.

Policies CD38 – 39 that are addressed by the Transportation Element are deleted from this section (items 586-587).

Revised policies on “green streets” are proposed to replace policy CD41 and 45 (items 589 and 594).

Policy CD43 is updated to recognize the outcome of the Gateway Master Plan (item 592).

Policy CD60 is modified to support neighborhood improvement projects with City grants (item 610).

Public Comments

Several public comments have been made on the draft plans since fall 2003, and each of the comments are included in Attachment A. This attachment has two parts, the first (A-1) is a table with a log number for the comment (far left), the commentor's name, brief summary of the comment, the plan the comment pertains to (comprehensive plan, transportation master plan, surface water master plan, or parks, recreation, and open space plan), and a staff response to the comment. The second part (A-2) is a reproduction of all the original comment letters, each labeled with the log number (far left column) from the table in Attachment A-2 to make cross referencing easier for the reader.

For the purposes of this workshop the table has been sorted to group the comments into similar categories, rather than in numeric order. Staff has attempted to respond to the comments related to the Comprehensive Plan update. Other comments, particularly those pertaining to the Transportation, Surface Water, and Parks Master Plans, will be addressed at their specific workshops. Therefore it is anticipated that this table will be continually updated over the next several weeks to include the more staff responses to comments and options for Planning Commission to consider for resolution. ***Staff will be walk the Planning Commission through how to read this table in conjunction with the associated comment letters and will discuss the information in the staff response column during the workshop meeting.***

A note about the comments received during the fall 2003 workshop. Comments were made on flip-chart paper at different discussion stations and could not be specifically linked to the person making the comment. All participant names were included in the comment table, however the topic of their comment could not be identified in the table and the reader will have to review the included in the comment summary. The comments that were made at the open house were used to develop the draft plans that were released May 6, 2004.

Planning Commission Role

The purpose of this workshop is to:

- Provide an overview of the draft Comprehensive Plan Update (presented by staff and consultants).
- Present "hot topics" identified from public comments and options for resolution.
- Accept additional public comments.
- Discuss the draft Comprehensive Plan Update and ask questions.

In short, this workshop is an opportunity for the Planning Commission to become familiar with the draft Comprehensive Plan Update, review options and public comments, and have questions answered so that the Commission is prepared for reviewing the draft update at the upcoming Public Hearing.

IV. NEXT STEPS

A public hearing before the Planning Commission may begin on September 16th if the workshops are completed as planned. City Council public hearings may begin November 22nd following release of the Planning Commission's recommendation

RECOMMENDATION

No action is required; staff is providing a briefing on the issues that have received the most public comment on to date, and a summary of the upcoming Planning Commission review process.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Public Comments Received & Staff Responses as of July 14, 2004

A-1 Summary Table of Comments with preliminary staff responses

Please note that not all comments, particularly those pertaining to the Transportation, Surface Water, and Parks Master Plans, have been addressed at this time. Responses to those comments will be presented at the workshops where those items will be discussed. The schedule is as follows:

*Transportation Master Plan
Thursday July 29, 2004 Planning Commission Workshop*

*Surface Water Master Plan
Thursday August 5, 2004 Planning Commission Workshop*

*Parks, Recreation, Open Space Master Plan
Thursday August 12, 2004 Planning Commission Workshop*

A-2 Original Comment Letters.

Because the bulk of the comment letters would increase postage costs substantially they are only included in Planning Commissioner's Packets.

If you are interested in receiving free copies of any or all of the letters please contact Andrea Spencer at 206.546.1418.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
1	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
2	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
3	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	West	Russel		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
4	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Miller	Virginia		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
5	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
6	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Wright	Kathy		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
7	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	West	Russel		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
8	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Malroy	S.		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
9	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Guthrie	Barbara		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
10	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
11	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	McCanta	Marjorie		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
12	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Malroy	Stephen R.		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
13	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
14	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Brooks	Robert		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
15	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Ryan	Patrick		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
16	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	West	Russel		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
17	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Schleh	Dave		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
18	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
19	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Mock	Geraldine		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
20	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
21	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Mathews	Glinda		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
22	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Leaden	Robin		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
23	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
24	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Bostrom	Betty		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
25	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Elster	Clark	CCFS	In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
26	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
27	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Hardy	Rene J.	Richmond Highlands	In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
28	9/24/03 Open House	9/24/2003	Walker	Bonnie		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
29	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Klinker	Cheryl	Thornton Creek Watershed Oversight Council	In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
30	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Doering	Greg		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
31	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Newmar Henson	Bridgid Persephone	Holiday Resort Community Association	In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
32	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Murray	Pat		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
33	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Scheir	Eric		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
34	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
35	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Brooks	Steve		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
36	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
37	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Rush	Aimee		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
38	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
39	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
40	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Wagner	Todd		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
41	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
42	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Anonymous			In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
47	e-mail	10/16/2003	Way	Janet	Thornton Creek Legal Defense Fund and Paramount Park Neighborhood Group	Public input at workshops	All	Time at workshop meetings was limited, and only a portion of the Planning Commission was present at each meeting. Public was invited to make written comments during, after, and before meetings and this information was shared with both staff and Planning Commission members.
48	9/25/03 Open House	9/25/2003	Chang	Don		In open house summary	All	Public comments at open house were used to develop the plans.
51	e-mail	10/16/2003	Way	Janet	Thornton Creek Legal Defense Fund and Paramount Park Neighborhood Group	DUPLICATE OF COMMENT NO. 47	All	DUPLICATE OF COMMENT NO. 47
55	e-mail	12/5/2003	Crawford	Patty	Twin Ponds Fish Friends and Parkwood Neighborhood	Public input at workshops	All	Time at workshop meetings was limited, and only a portion of the Planning Commission was present at each meeting. Public was invited to make written comments during, after, and before meetings and this information was shared with both staff and Planning Commission members.
57	e-mail	1/8/2004	Botham	Virginia		Inadequate Infrastructure	All	The purpose of adopting the three master plans is to ensure that there is adequate infrastructure for future growth.
67	open house	5/13/2004	Cook	Caradee		Support of improvements on Aurora, including sidewalks	All	No response necessary
67	open house	5/13/2004	Cook	Caradee		Build city hall before interest rates increase.	All	Planning Commission should consider comment when reviewing the capital project funding.
103	Comment form	6/7/2004	Anonymous			General Capital	All	Planning Commission should consider comment when reviewing the capital project funding.
75	Questionnaires	6/3/2004	West			MP Questionnaires	All Master Plans	Planning Commission should consider comment when reviewing the capital project funding.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		General Comments - 71 Capital expenditures should be spent in the following order: Infrastructure maintenance Infrastructure improvements Parks New infill should pay mitigation to pay for infrastructure necessitated by the infill. New bonds, taxes, and LID's should be a last resort and approved by voters only.	All Master Plans	Planning Commission should consider comment when reviewing the capital project funding.
53	Phone	11/20/2003	Gruzenski	G.M.		Transit service and routes throughout city	Comp Plan	No response necessary. Transit service is not controlled by the City. Comprehensive Plan has policies to support transit.
56	e-mail	12/5/2003	Loch	Corbitt		Gateways	Comp Plan	The City Council has allocated funding through 2005 for gateways. Through this process we can encourage the Council to expand this funding into future years
56	e-mail	12/5/2003	Loch	Corbitt		Promote redevelopment on Aurora & Signage	Comp Plan	The City will continue to encourage property owners to redevelop along Aurora. Sign standards for "free standing signs" is currently 20' for commercial zones along Aurora. An amendment to the Development Code would be needed to change this (not in the scope of this current update project).
58	letter	1/9/2004	Brown	Bettelinn Krizek		Changes to environmental element	Comp Plan	Changes to the Environmental Element are proposed only when facts change or are necessary to reflect best available science.
60	e-mail	2/21/2004	Crawford	Patty	Twin Ponds Fish Friends	Environmental protection v. enhancement	Comp Plan	Environmental protection is a mandate of the Growth Management Act (GMA). At our option, the City may choose to implement policies that enhance critical areas.
61	letter	3/3/2004	Brown	Bettelinn Krizek		Environmental protection v. enhancement	Comp Plan	Environmental protection is a mandate of the Growth Management Act (GMA). At our option, the City may choose to implement policies that enhance critical areas.
66	e-mail	5/12/2004	Willette	Jerry	Fircrest	Missing reference to Fircrest as a large employer in the City	Comp Plan	Suggest adding to Comprehensive Plan on p. 16 of the Background information in paragraph 2
66	e-mail	5/12/2004	Willette	Jerry		Encourage historic preservation at Fircrest	Comp Plan	Staff feels that existing Goal CD IV "Encourage historic preservation to provide context and perspective to the community" adequately covers this issue.
69	open house comment form & verbal transcript	5/13/2004	Anderson	David R.		Environmentally sensitive design and need for "pervious" pavement	Comp Plan	Consider adding policy in Community Design - Site and Building Design section of Comprehensive Plan to encourage the use of pervious materials for streets and sidewalks.
69	open house comment form & verbal transcript	5/13/2004	Anderson	David R.		Use of native vegetation in city projects and the use of pesticides/fertilizers	Comp Plan	The current CD 20 policy reads "Encourage the use of appropriate landscape design in commercial and residential areas." Consider policy for City project to use native, drought tolerant plantings and "natural" pesticides and fertilizers.
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Comp Plan Item 108 - incentives	Comp Plan	This policy has more to do with building location and densities than the construction type. Policy should remain as is.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Item 117 - green building	Comp Plan	Suggest revising the policy to read (new text in underline): ENb: Encourage the use of "green" building methods and materials (such as LEED, BuiltGreen, etc.) to: * Reduce stormwater impacts to protect local watersheds and salmon * Conserve energy and water * Prevent air and water pollution and conserve natural resources * Improve indoor air quality * Enhance building durability
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Item 120 - Steep slopes	Comp Plan	The specific language that has been deleted regarding steep slopes is located in the Development Code. The Comprehensive Plan should set the policy standards only and leave the regulations and standards in the Development Code. Policy should remain as is.
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Item 143 - Wetland protection	Comp Plan	The preservation concepts that were in this policy are moved to EN 47 (Item 142). Staff feels that this has not devalued this policy
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Item 170 - Green streets	Comp Plan	No response necessary.
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Item 195 - Permit streamlining	Comp Plan	This item pertains to all permits not just "green building" standards. Staff recommends policy remains as is.
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Encourage density	Comp Plan	The current land use plan is adequate to accompany the City's growth targets.
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Why the use of the term "critical" instead of "sensitive"	Comp Plan	The City's Development Code defines the term "Critical Areas." Changes in the Comprehensive Plan were to make the two documents consistent and this terminology is consistent with the Growth Management Act.
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Incentives to encourage commercial construction that utilizes LEED or other sustainable building approaches	Comp Plan	New proposed Policy ENb (matrix item 117) addresses this issue (with his suggested amendment, see above).
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Support walkable community design	Comp Plan	Policy CD40 (item 588) addresses this issue.
76	letter	6/4/2004	Wilson	Bill	Own 618 NW 185th and 624 185th, Shoreline	LU designation change request and rezone	Comp Plan	The City is not initiating changes to the land use designations during this year's update process.
91	e-mail	6/10/2004	Hagen	Walt		Document has been rewritten without the ability to track changes and the document was reformatted.	Comp Plan	The Proposed Goals and Policies matrices tracked all the changes in legislative format so the reader could follow all amendments. A document without legislative format was also provided to show the reader how the final document would "look" once adopted by Council.
96	letter	6/10/2004	Way	Janet		SEA Street Concept	Comp Plan	Policies CDb and CDc support development of a Green Street program that is coordinated with enhanced storm drainage, which could borrow from the SEA street example.
96	letter	6/10/2004	Way	Janet		"Green" building practices	Comp Plan	Green building practices are encouraged by policy ENb
96	letter	6/10/2004	Way	Janet		Policies limiting use of toxics should be included in SWM and Parks Master Plans	Comp Plan	Consider adding policy to encourage the use of "natural" pesticides and fertilizers for City projects.
97	letter	6/10/2004	Paulsen	Virginia		Housing (p. 96-101)	Comp Plan	STAFF STILL REVIEWING MATERIALS AND PREPARING RESPONSE
97	letter	6/10/2004	Paulsen	Virginia		Utilities (p. 166-169)	Comp Plan	STAFF STILL REVIEWING MATERIALS AND PREPARING RESPONSE
97	letter	6/10/2004	Paulsen	Virginia		Capital Facilities (p. 175-211)	Comp Plan	STAFF STILL REVIEWING MATERIALS AND PREPARING RESPONSE

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
97	letter	6/10/2004	Paulsen	Virginia		Economic Development Analysis (p. 212-218)	Comp Plan	STAFF STILL REVIEWING MATERIALS AND PREPARING RESPONSE
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 34 LU30 How will this be achieved? The protection of existing stands of trees and vegetation - it seems like the city would have to do an inventory and work on protection before these stands are all removed.	Comp Plan	The parks and open space plan will have policies to create open spaces in throughout the city.
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 103 ENI- The city should make use of neighborhood groups to achieve this goal - a readily available forum for public education and outreach	Comp Plan	Staff agrees that when implementing this goal the city should utilize it's neighborhood groups. Staff feels that the goal should not identify how it is implemented to give options in the future as it gets implemented. Staff recommends that policy remains as is.
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 114 EN10 - Restrict the creation of new lots in critical areas or critical area buffers Policy should be reworded thus: Prohibit the creation of new lots in critical areas.	Comp Plan	Under law the city cannot eliminate all use of a property it would be deemed a "taking." Policy as recommended allows regulation and use of the property.
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 118 EN11 add, to the end of the sentence, "by restricting disturbance and development." Without this clarifier, it sounds like the city will protect people from natural disasters... which is probably beyond the capability of the city.	Comp Plan	Staff agrees the policy requires clarification. Policy should be reworded to read: Goal EN II: Protect people, property and the environment from geologic hazards, including steep slope areas, landslide hazard areas, seismic hazard areas, and erosion hazard areas <u>by regulating disturbance and development.</u>
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 130 EN111 This goal has to do with vegetation retention/protection. Keep phrase "protection of native vegetation" and delete "critical areas." Shoreline needs to address tree retention!!	Comp Plan	The goal is to preserve habitat. Habitat exists in both native vegetation and in critical areas (such as in streams). Staff recommends adding native vegetation back to the goal in addition to the critical areas term. Staff recommends that the policy be amended to read: ENIII: Sustain habitat of sufficient diversity and abundance to maintain existing indigenous fish and wildlife populations. Recognize the City's designation as an urban area by balancing the right of private property owners to develop and alter land with the protection of <u>native vegetation and critical areas.</u>
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 132 EN22 As the staff comments, we need to retain mature trees (whether native or not) and irregardless if they are located in an environmentally critical area or not	Comp Plan	No response necessary
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 154 EN59 This policy should remain unchanged. Omit workgroup comment.	Comp Plan	Staff concurs that the policy should remain as is.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
98	Comment form	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Item 571 CD24 Delete phrase "that contribute to the aesthetic character of the community" This is too subjective. We need to retain mature vegetation and significant trees wherever possible, period.	Comp Plan	Staff agrees that the policy could be simplified. Planning Commission could revise policy to read: "Where clearing and construction is unnecessary, preserve significant trees and mature vegetation."
101	letter	6/10/2004	Catero	Merilee	Thornton Creek Alliance	Deletion of environmental policies EN42 & EN43	Comp Plan	EN42 and EN43 have not been deleted in the Draft.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 7 P. 4 Vision Statement reads "Aurora at N 175th Street to N 185th Street would serve as a civic hub." Properties should not be converted from tax generating uses to tax-exempt ones.	Comp Plan	The vision statement has not changed during this update process. This vision statement is the same as the original in the 1998 plan, and staff recommends that it remain.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 8 Vision map - use of color and mislabeled legend	Comp Plan	The vision map was reproduced from the current Comprehensive Plan and it has not been changed in this update process. The map is in color, however when all documents were produced they were done in black and white to keep printing costs lower. Staff will check that the map is in color on the CD version of the plans.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 9 Vision map - Illustration of neighborhood centers and date of information	Comp Plan	The vision map was created early after the City was incorporated. During this update process we did not want to change the vision of the original plan, and therefore the map was not modified.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 10 P. 7 Concerns regarding the Planned Action Environmental Impact Statement. Concern that others want to use this process.	Comp Plan	Information presented on this page pertains only to the North City project, and does not allow stream lined permit process for Shoreline Community College or any other projects not studied in the North City Planned Action EIS. This information does not need to change.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 11 P. 8 The "Buildable Lands Inventory" should be included in the Comprehensive Plan.	Comp Plan	The Buildable Lands inventory is produced by King County and includes information for all jurisdictions within it. It is not appropriate to include in the City's Comp Plan. The document is available by contacting King County or by downloading at www.metrokc.gov/budget/buildland/bldInd02.htm
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 12 P.13 - CP2 - wants clarification about what the policy means.	Comp Plan	This citizen participation policy was carried over directly from the 1998 Comp Plan, and has not been edited in this update process. Staff proposes no change.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 13 P. 16 - Fircrest should be identified in the list of Shoreline employers.	Comp Plan	Staff suggests adding Fircrest to the list of employers on p. 16
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 14 (Matrix Item 5) LU 5 Incentives for growth, support of impact fees on developers not the reduction of them.	Comp Plan	The existing policy suggests many different methods to provide incentives for land uses, not just reduction in impact fees.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 15 (Matrix Item 9) LUa - New policy for neighborhood planning should be clear that it is an optional process.	Comp Plan	Staff recommends revising the policy to read: <u>Encourage the development of neighborhood plans to carry out and refine the vision of the Comprehensive Plan at the neighborhood level.</u>
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 16 (Matrix Item 28) LU24 - Use of the term base density	Comp Plan	Staff is researching the use of terms at this time (see also Botham Log Number 71-A, Comp Plan Comment 23, and 71-B, Comp Plan Comments 59 and 60) and will come back to Planning Commission at a later time with a recommendation.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 17 (Matrix Item 55) LU47 Correct reference to the proper location of the park south of 165th not 160th.	Comp Plan	Policy could be corrected to refer to Darnell Park south of N 165th to read: Include parks in the Aurora Corridor at Echo Lake and at N 165th Street (Darnell Park). Or the policy could be corrected eliminate the reference to specific locations: Include parks and open space in the Aurora Corridor plan.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 18 (Matrix Items 58, 68, 71, 73) Policies LU50, LU60, LU64, & LU66. Do not encourage land condemnation	Comp Plan	These policies have been in place since the original Comprehensive Plan in 1998. No changes were proposed in this update. Staff feels that the polices support working with land owners in redevelopment and not the condemnation of property.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 19 (Matrix Item 78) LU71 - Special study area designation applies to the entire city and allows zoning chaos.	Comp Plan	The Special Study Area designation applies only to specific areas in the city (the land use map clearly shows them). Rezones are not permitted on parcels with this designation.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 20 (Matrix Item 341) T76 Use of residential parking zones. Supports	Comp Plan	No response necessary
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 21 (Matrix Item 103, 121, 123) EN1, EN15, EN17 City does not follow code when approving permits	Comp Plan	Permits were reviewed and found that they were consistent with the code at the time of review.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 22 (Matrix Item 106 & 117) EN3 & ENb Reference to solar power & solar lights to these policies.	Comp Plan	Staff suggests leaving ENb (Matrix Item 117) as is, as it does not have specifics and it is preferable to make the item more broad. To address comments, and still keep the policies broad, Policy EN3 (Matrix Item 106) could be revised to read: Conduct all City operations in a manner that minimizes adverse environmental impacts. The City should reduce its consumption and waste of energy and materials, mimimize its use of toxic and polluting substances, reuse and recyle, and dispose of all waste in a safe and responsible manner. The City should give preference to recycled products, <u>and alternative energy sources</u> , within budget constraints.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan -Comment 23 (Matrix Item 193) H5 - Statements about minimum density	Comp Plan	Staff is researching the use of terms at this time (see also Botham Log Number 71-A, Comp Plan Comment 16, and 71-B, Comp Plan Comments 59 and 60) and will come back to Planning Commission at a later time with a recommendation.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 24 (Matrix Items 195, 196, 197) H7 & H9 Streamlined permit procedures & cost evaluation of regulations.	Comp Plan	Policies have been slightly edited in during this update, but have been in place since 1998. The polices are in place to ensure that housing goals are met and ensure that permit review procedures meet GMA targets.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 25 (Matrix Item 205) H15 - Explore the feasibility of creating a City housing trust fund for low income housing. Efforts should be put into regional groups not creating our own.	Comp Plan	Recommend that the policy could be revised to read: Encourage City participation in regional forums or programs for low income housing.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 30 P.61 Add additional text to the list of what is required by the GMA in a capital facilities plan.	Comp Plan	The information presented on p. 61 of the plan is a direct quote from the RCW and should not be amended to include additional text.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 31 (Matrix Item 443) CF6 - facilitating development potential of commercial zoned sites. Priority should be on maintenance and serving existing citizens and businesses.	Comp Plan	Staff suggests leaving policy as is to encourage commercial deveopment in appropriate areas. However policy CF11 (Item 455) could be revised to address her concerns as follows: Give highest funding priority to capital facility improvements that protect the public health and safety, <u>and existing development</u> .
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 33 (Matrix Item 507) EDIII - Create and leverage opportunities for economic development. Concerns raised that the market should drive development not the government.	Comp Plan	This goal has existed since the 1998 plan and should remain as is.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 34 (Matrix Item 497) Request that the policy EDe should be revised to read: Encourage and support <u>existing</u> retail activity within the City.	Comp Plan	The policy was intended to apply to both existing and new development. Staff recommends leaving the policy as is or as follows: Support <u>existing and future</u> retail activity within the City.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 35 (Matrix Item 518) ED19 - Partnerships for economic well being. This promotes a special "club" of agencies and could be seen as arbitrary	Comp Plan	This goal has existed since the 1998 plan and should remain as is.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 36 (Matrix Item 534) ED33 - City sharing information with developers. City should allow the market to drive development	Comp Plan	This goal has existed since the 1998 plan and should remain as is.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 37 Supporting analysis information p. 86-87 Please correct spelling error "The City is <u>predominantly...</u> " Design of storm systems	Comp Plan	Spelling error will be corrected. The storm water standards are not created in the Comprehensive Plan, but rather part of the Engineering Guide.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 38 Supporting analysis information p. 88. Reference to Thornton creek is missing.	Comp Plan	So noted, the information will be added to the paragraph as follows: "...the City's stream inventory indicate the presence of Chinook salmon in McAleer Creek, <u>Thornton Creek</u> , and in the lower reach of Boeing Creek."
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 39 Supporting analysis information p. 89 City does not follow Washinton State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Guidelines	Comp Plan	WDFW has reviewed City regulations and has met all standards.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 55 Supports road impact fees	Comp Plan	No response necessary
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 56 Data addition request	Comp Plan	Staff currently researching
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 57 Data addition request	Comp Plan	Staff currently researching
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 58 Definitions	Comp Plan	Staff currently researching
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 59 Definitions	Comp Plan	Staff currently researching
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 60 Definitions	Comp Plan	Staff currently researching

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		General Comments - Comment 69 The revised policies have not been presented in legislative format.	Comp Plan	The Proposed Goals and Policies matrices tracked all the changes in legislative format so the reader could follow all amendments (this was not a summary document but rather, contained all of the goals and policies, revised or otherwise). A document without legislative format was also provided to show the reader how the final document would "look" once adopted by Council.
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		General Comments - Comment 70 Explanation of the format of her comments	Comp Plan	No response necessary
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 54 Not Supportive of City Hall	Comp Plan - General Capital	Planning Commission should consider comment when reviewing the capital project funding.
97	letter	6/10/2004	Paulsen	Virginia		Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (p. 170-174) Information presented does not discuss parks plans or programs	Comp Plan - Parks	The Parks and Open Space Master Plan will address the reader's concerns.
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 53 (p. 172) Correct the reference from Richmond Reserve to Richmond Beach Reserve	Comp Plan - Parks (p. 172)	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		Item 330 - change to appendix 6-1 (NOTE TO STAFF - NEED TO DOUBLE CHECK MATRIX REFERENCE - COMMENT DOESN'T MATCH THE POLICY REFERENCED) Street classification - speed limit	Comp Plan - Transportation	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		Item 294 Ti Revise policy to also include monitoring on minor arterials	Comp Plan - Transportation	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		TR-19 (p. 153 of draft plan) Roundabout at St. Lukes	Comp Plan - Transportation	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		T79 New policy for parking Ensure the current existence of adequate parking in driveways before allowing any change in the Right-of-Way which can compromise safety. This includes any effect on the proper functionof the driveways as they connect to the roadway.	Comp Plan - Transportation	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		Item 303 TI	Comp Plan - Transportation	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		Item 293 Th Replace the Neighborhood Traffic Safety Program with a plan to make all streets in Shoreline "Safe and Friendly Streets" for all Shoreline residents regardless of where they live.	Comp Plan - Transportation	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		TR-15 (p. 151 of draft plan) Restriping Richmond Beach Road to three lanes.	Comp Plan - Transportation	
89	letter	6/10/2004	Lee	Brian		Traffic and street classification	Comp Plan - Transportation	
97	letter	6/10/2004	Paulsen	Virginia		Transportation (p. 102-165)	Comp Plan - Transportation	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 40	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 116)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 41	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 118)	

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizatoin	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 42	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 120)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 43	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 129)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 44	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 132)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 45	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 134)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 46	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 141)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 47	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 148)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 48	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 149)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 49	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 151)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 50	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 156)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 51	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 162)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 26	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 49) T3 - Adopt LOS E	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 27	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 50) T28	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 28	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 51) Th	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 29	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 51) Tm	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 32	Comp Plan - Transportation (p. 64) T32 & CF26	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Comp Plan - Comment 52	Comp Plan - Transportation (p.164)	
44	wrkgrp comment form	10/2/2003	Klinker	Cheryl		surface water / environment	Comp Plan Surface Water Master Plan	Comment directs one's attention to the letter from the Thornton Creek Watershed Oversight Council (next item, #45).
45	letter	10/3/2003			Thornton Creek Watershed Oversight Council	surface water / environment	Comp Plan Surface Water Master Plan	The Thornton Creek Watershed Oversight Council's suggestions regarding stormwater, non-point pollution, habitat, regulations and enforcement, implementation, and monitoring were considered by the Planning Commission environment/stormwater workgroup and used to develop the environment policies of the Comprehensive Plan and the Surface Water Management Plan.
96	letter	6/10/2004	Way	Janet		Habitat designation	Comp Plan Surface Water Master Plan	Neither the Comprehensive Plan nor the Surface Water Master Plan seek to designate specific habitat areas and neither are comprehensive habitat inventory documents. The documentation of Thornton Creek as designated salmon habitat would occur at a project-level review or at the time of some future report.
67	open house	5/13/2004	Cook	Caradee		Housing - encourage single family attached and cottage housing policies and policies that support low income housing.	Comprehensive Plan	There are several policies in the Comprehensive Plan that support these housing types.
89	letter	6/10/2004	Lee	Brian		Tree Replacement Developers should have to replace mature trees with larger specimens	Development Code	The Development Code regulates the size of replacement trees. A proposal for a development code amendment would be needed to change this.
89	letter	6/10/2004	Lee	Brian		Zoning and building codes. Lot coverage and setbacks	Development Code	The Development Code regulates the placement of structures on parcels. A proposal for a development code amendment would be needed to change this.
89	letter	6/10/2004	Lee	Brian		Cottage housing	Development Code	The development code has been amended to improve the standards for Cottage Housing. If further refinements are desired an application for development code amendment would need to be made.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
50	Planning Commission Comment Form (Surface Water and Env Workgroup Mtg Oct 2)	10/16/2003	Biery	Boni		Tree retention	Development Code	A development code amendment would better address her concerns and she has been working with City staff. As a result of her inquiry we have implemented tracking tree loss in the permit tracking system.
56	e-mail	12/5/2003	Loch	Corbitt		Short Platting	Development Code	The development code allows options for redevelopment of property and staff attempts to work with developers, within the provisions of the code, to encourage compatible infill development
57	e-mail	1/8/2004	Botham	Virginia		Reasonable use definition	Development Code	This will require further amendment to the Development Code (which may occur following the adoption of the updated Comp Plan and Master Plans).
58	letter	1/9/2004	Brown	Bettelinn Krizek		Changes to critical areas buffers	Development Code	Critical area buffer distances are in the development code and were not an item of discussion at the Planning Commission workgroup meetings.
69	open house comment form & verbal transcript	5/13/2004	Anderson	David R.		Street tree placement	Development Code	Street design standards and how street trees are planted are regulated in the Development Code 20.50.480. Amendments to the Development Code are not being considered with this project, but may be considered at a later date.
69	open house comment form & verbal transcript	5/13/2004	Anderson	David R.		Street tree grates & ADA accessibility.	Development Code	All City projects are designed and constructed to comply with ADA standards.
59	e-mail	2/20/2004	Miller	N		Parks and Rec	PRCS Master Plan	
74	Comment form	6/3/2004	Deutsch	Mark		Item 384 - Preserve natural features. Echo Lake park	PRCS Master Plan	
81	e-mail	6/8/2004	Westberg	Vicki		Parks and Rec	PRCS Master Plan	
93	e-mail	6/10/2004	Wright	Kathy		Parks and Rec	PRCS Master Plan	
99	letter	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Parks and Rec	PRCS Master Plan	
101	letter	6/10/2004	Catero	Merilee		Parks funds for habitat acquisition and improvements.	PRCS Master Plan	
106	Comment form	6/7/2004	Anonymous			Parks and Rec	PRCS Master Plan	
46	e-mail	16-Oct	Tencate	Miriam	Highland Terrace Elementary	Flooding playground	Surface Water Master Plan	If the flooding is caused by "public water" (runoff from the City's right-of-way) then the City will work with the School District on fixing the issue. If the problem originated on School District property, the District will have to solve the problem. The City will offer advice if the district is connecting to the City's storm drainage system.
54	e-mail	11/22/2003	Wilson	Tina		surface water	Surface Water Master Plan	The SWMP includes a plan to study and implement a flood prevention project in that area (Priority 1, project identification F-12 in Table 5-3).
65	Planning Commission	5/6/2004	Way	Janet		Use of term artificial water course.	Surface Water Master Plan	The term "artificial" in "artificial water course" will be removed.
65	Planning Commission	5/6/2004	Way	Janet		Acknowledge the presence of salmonids in Thornton Creek	Surface Water Master Plan	A Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's Area Habitat Biologist did identify an adult steelhead in Thornton Creek upstream of Twin Ponds and NE 155th on February 4, 2004. The final version of the SWMP will incorporate this an other recent information about fish.
78	e-mail	6/7/2004	Degginger	Craig		Surface Water, 167th and Wallingford Drainage	Surface Water Master Plan	City staff also encourages adoption of plan that includes a proposed solution for the flooding that plagues the area.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
96	letter	6/10/2004	Way	Janet	Thornton Creek Legal Defense Fund and Paramount Park Neighborhood Group	Use of term artificial water course.	Surface Water Master Plan	The term "artificial" in "artificial water course" will be removed.
96	letter	6/10/2004	Way	Janet		City should adopt of the most recent State Stormwater Manual and increasing stormwater infiltration.	Surface Water Master Plan	The City has not adopted the most recent State Stormwater Manual because the regulations are under litigation. The City has adopted by reference King County's Surface Water Design manual, which encourages the infiltration of surface water.
101	letter	6/10/2004	Catero	Merilee		Boeing Creek v. Thornton Creek	Surface Water Master Plan	One of the objectives of drainage improvements in the Ronald Bog vicinity will be to improve the quality of water flowing down into Twin Ponds. Habitat improvement near Twin Ponds will also be included as a consideration during the design and planning of the Ronald Bog improvements. In addition, Table 7-3 of the draft SWMP describes funding miscellaneous projects to enhance stream habitat. Twin Ponds would be considered a candidate for a portion of that funding.
101	letter	6/10/2004	Catero	Merilee		Use of term artificial water course.	Surface Water Master Plan	The term "artificial" in "artificial water course" will be removed.
105	Comment form	6/7/2004	Anonymous			Surface Water	Surface Water Master Plan	Ranking the factors for Prioritizing Surface Water Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) and Preference for CIPs will be used by City Staff.
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 61 Regulating the impact of new development on the City's storm drainage system	Surface Water Master Plan	The City plans on regulating new development such that it meets regulatory requirements, enhances the City's system (when feasible), and does not exacerbate existing problems through drainage reviews and increased code enforcement. One of the Council's most important recent initiatives is to increase code enforcement.
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 62 Recommended several changes to the City's actions toward compliance with the Endangered Species Act (ESA) 4(d) rule.	Surface Water Master Plan	The City is covered under the umbrella of King County for the 4(d) rule. The County is currently updating its 1998 Surface Water Design Manual (adopted by the City) to comply with ESA and other regulatory changes. Once the County has adopted the new version of this manual, Shoreline will as well. City Staff will be trained in the new requirements to be able to follow through on code enforcement issues.
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 63 Asked for justification for the City's proposal for assuming ownership of private surface water facilities	Surface Water Master Plan	The proposal was for the City to assume responsibility for the proper operation and maintenance (O&M) of these facilities to help maintain their ability to detain flows and enhance water quality. There is no proposal is obtain ownership of these facilities. This strategy of the City taking on the O&M is one of many subject to future discussion including, for example, enchanted code enforcement at these facilities
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 64 The listing of drainage components on page 29 and 30 should also include "Retention Ponds and underground storage-infiltration slow release."	Surface Water Master Plan	Those that include infiltration from underground detention/retention facilities will be included under the current hearing for "Detention ponds an underground storage facilities."
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 65 Large flood control projects be designed for the 100-year storm event.	Surface Water Master Plan	We concur with this recommendation on large flood control projects. Those projects that are initialed to address minor, occasional flooding may not always be designed for the 100-year event due to physical or financial constraints.

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 66 Reducing street width for drainage projects.	Surface Water Master Plan	The reduced street width could result from the placement of traffic calming ideas such as landscaped chicanes or traffic circles that can provide flow control and water quality enhancement by reducing imperious area and biofiltration. Reductions in street width for flooding or water quality concerns with not override traffic safety concerns.
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 67 Shading the O&M portion of Figure 9-3 in the SW Master Plan to provide grater clarity.	Surface Water Master Plan	The final version of this Figure will be shaded or colored to distinguish it from the chart's background.
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 67 SWM fee revenues	Surface Water Master Plan	An increase in the surface water management fee of \$1 per year for everyone in the City, will result in additional revenue of \$24,000 per year.
71-B	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		SWM Master Plan - Comment 68 Page 1 of Appendix A reference to Peverly (not Peverton) Pond should be corrected	Surface Water Master Plan	
43	e-mail	9/26/2003	Barrett	Tiia-Mai		Aurora / transportation	Transportation Master Plan	
49	e-mail	10/17/2003	Nelson	Christine	Shoreline Community College	SCC/Innis Arden/ transportation	Transportation Master Plan	
52	letter	10/31/2003	Barta	Robert	Highland Terrace Neighborhood Association	pedestrian and traffic safety, and road repair	Transportation Master Plan	
56	e-mail	12/5/2003	Loch	Corbitt		Sidewalks in general and pedestrian safety	Transportation Master Plan	The transportation master plan focus is on safe and friendly streets and building pedestrian infrastructure.
56						Sidewalks at 195th & 196th	Transportation Master Plan	
62	e-mail	3/15/2004	Bruner-Buxton	Barbara		reducing speed and increasing shoulders on Ashworth Ave.	Transportation Master Plan	
63	e-mail	3/17/2004	Helme	Steve		reducing speed on Ashworth	Transportation Master Plan	
64	e-mail	3/22/2004	Kerrigan	Sue		reducing speed on Ashworth	Transportation Master Plan	
67	open house	5/13/2004	Cook	Caradee		Bike lane on Meridian Ave N	Transportation Master Plan	
67	open house	5/13/2004	Cook	Caradee		Traffic calming in neighborhoods related to the Aurora project	Transportation Master Plan	
67	open house	5/13/2004	Cook	Caradee		Consider walking route from Ballinger Shopping area along 205th to the west side of the city (under the freeway).	Transportation Master Plan	
68	open house verbal transcript	5/13/2004	Poysky	Marilyn & Frank		SEE TRANSCRIPT NE 195th st	Transportation Master Plan	
69			Anderson			15th NE (North City) street design	Transportation Master Plan	
69			Anderson			Alley & Tax breaks in North City	Transportation Master Plan	
70	open house	5/19/2004	Mann	Dan		Extension of Stone Avenue to 175th	Transportation Master Plan	
72	e-mail	6/3/2004	Glass, et al.	Becky	Residents at 18003 Stone Ave N	Extension of Stone Avenue to 175th	Transportation Master Plan	
73	letter	6/3/2004	Hughes	Randy (and Leslie Addis)		8th Ave NW Transportation	Transportation Master Plan	
77	e-mail	6/5/2004	Mixdorf	Jeff		N 195th 2-lane collector arterial	Transportation Master Plan	
79	e-mail	6/7/2004	Mount	John		Extension of Stone Avenue to 175th	Transportation Master Plan	

#	Submittal Mechanism	Date	Last Name	First Name	Organizaton	Topic of Comment / Matrix Item Reference	Plan The Comment Affects	Staff Response & Recommendation
80	e-mail	6/8/2004	Bosch	Michael		Extension of Stone Avenue	Transportation Master Plan	
82	letter	6/9/2004	Kral	Martin and Karen		Extending Stone Ave, etc.	Transportation Master Plan	
83	letter	6/9/2004	Maxwell	Jeffrey and Ethel		Arterial Connector on 195th	Transportation Master Plan	
84	letter	6/9/2004	Godfrey	Isabella		Arterial Connector on 195th	Transportation Master Plan	
85	letter	6/9/2004	Sowler	Craig and Donna		Extension of Stone Ave	Transportation Master Plan	
86	letter	6/9/2004	Ahmedulle	M. Ahmad		Extension of Stone Ave	Transportation Master Plan	
87	e-mail	6/10/2004	Anderson	Scott and Caren		Extension of 195th	Transportation Master Plan	
88	Comment form	6/10/2004	Hardy	Naomi		Additional transportation project for consideration: Explore options for additional freeway access at 185th because of the high volume back-up on 175th. There is already back-up on 175th from City Hall to the freeway during off-peak hours on June 10, 2004	Transportation Master Plan	
90	Comment form	6/10/2004	Johnson	Egill		Bicycle projects - scrap them and follow Seattle's lead. On-street parking is more important	Transportation Master Plan	
92	e-mail	6/10/2004	Langton	Tamara		Stone Ave extension	Transportation Master Plan	
94	e-mail	6/10/2004	Daher	George		Arterial Connector on 195th	Transportation Master Plan	
95	letter	6/10/2004	Cottingham	Kenneth		Transportation Master Plan	Transportation Master Plan	
100	letter	6/10/2004	Guthrie	Barbara		Ashworth transportation changes	Transportation Master Plan	
102	letter	6/13/2004	Loch	Corbitt		Transportation Master Plan	Transportation Master Plan	
104	Comment form	6/7/2004	Anonymous			Transportation	Transportation Master Plan	
107	Letter	6/17/2004	Barbon	Erma		Stone Ave extension	Transportation Master Plan	
108	e-mail	7/3/2004	Nelson	Judy		15th NE (North City) street design	Transportation Master Plan	
90	Comment form	6/10/2004	Johnson	Egill		Bicycle Systems	Transportation Master Plan - 2-13	
90	Comment form	6/10/2004	Johnson	Egill		Bicycle Project Evaluation	Transportation Master Plan - 5-1	
90	Comment form	6/10/2004	Johnson	Egill		Bicycle Project Evaluation	Transportation Master Plan - 5-2	
90	Comment form	6/10/2004	Johnson	Egill		Bicycle Project Evaluation	Transportation Master Plan - 5-3	
90	Comment form	6/10/2004	Johnson	Egill		Street classification from N 167th Ashworth to Meridian	Transportation Master Plan - 6-1	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Transportation Master Plan - Comment 1	Transportation Master Plan (p. 2-6)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Transportation Master Plan - Comment 2	Transportation Master Plan (p. 3-4)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Transportation Master Plan - Comment 3	Transportation Master Plan (p. 6-1)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Transportation Master Plan - Comment 5	Transportation Master Plan (p. 6-11)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Transportation Master Plan - Comment 4	Transportation Master Plan (p. 6-12)	
71-A	Letter	6/2/2004	Botham	Ginger		Transportation Master Plan - Comment 6	Transportation Master Plan (p. 6-16)	