Shoreline City Hall
17500 Midvale Avenue North
Shoreline, Washington 98133
(206) 801-2230

## SHORELINE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETINGS NOTICE

As required by RCW 42.30, the Open Public Meetings Act, you are hereby notified that the Shoreline City Council will hold a special meeting on Monday, May 23, 2022.

Dates and Monday, May 23, 2022
Times: 5:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.
Meet at: Join Zoom Webinar:
https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84272846889
Call into Webinar: 253-215-8782
Webinar ID: 84272846889
(long distance fees may apply)

The purpose of this meeting is for Council to discuss the upcoming City's Compensation Policy/2022 Study. The meeting agenda and materials are attached to this notice.

Dated this $17^{\text {th }}$ Day of May, 2022.


Jessica Simulcik Smith
City Clerk

# SHORELINE CITY COUNCIL VIRTUAL/ELECTRONIC SPECIAL MEETING AGENDA Monday, May 23, 2022 at 5:30 p.m. on Zoom 

Join Zoom Webinar: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84272846889
Call into Webinar: 253-215-8782 | Webinar ID: 84272846889
(long distance fees may apply)

|  | Page | Estimated |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1. CALL TO ORDER SPECIAL MEETING | $\frac{\text { Time }}{5: 30}$ |  |
| 2. ROLL CALL |  |  |
| 3. UPDATE ON THE 2022 COMPENSATION STUDY |  |  |
| 4. ADJOURN | $6: 30$ |  |

Any person requiring a disability accommodation should contact the City Clerk's Office at 206-801-2230 in advance for more information. For TTY service, call 206-546-0457. For up-to-date information on future agendas, call 206-801-2230 or visit the City's website at shorelinewa.gov/councilmeetings. Council meetings are shown on the City's website at the above link and on Comcast Cable Services Channel 21 and Ziply Fiber Services Channel 37 on Tuesdays at 12 noon and 8 p.m., and Wednesday through Sunday at 6 a.m., 12 noon and 8 p.m.

## Memorandum

DATE: May 23, 2022
TO: $\quad$ Shoreline City Councilmembers
FROM: Melissa Muir, Human Resources Director John Norris, Assistant City Manager

RE: Update on the 2022 Compensation Study
CC: Debbie Tarry, City Manager

In 2015, the City completed a comprehensive citywide Compensation and Classification Study. At that time, we committed to working with a consultant again to study our market competitiveness following two cycles of annual review. In 2022, having reviewed approximately one third of the City's salary schedule for each of the last six years to complete the two cycles, it is time for a broader organizational compensation study.

Before initiating a salary survey, staff seeks concurrence from Council on the scope of our 2022 Compensation Study. This memo outlines the policy question we are asking for concurrence on. For context, we include information and historical background from the 2015 study.

Once we have direction from Council, staff will conduct the 2022 Study over the summer with results presented for Council consideration as part of the 2023-2024 biennial budget process. Human Resources staff and the City's consultant will provide education sessions for staff at the outset and share information and updates throughout the Study.

Joining us this evening is Doug Johnson from Ralph Anderson and Associates, ${ }^{1}$ the City's consultant for the 2022 Compensation Study. Mr. Johnson led both our 2015 Classification and Compensation Study and the compensation study analysis as part of the City's Collective Bargaining Agreement negotiation with the Teamsters Local 763 (Maintenance Union) in 2020.

## Background

Following the City's first compensation study in 1997 and minor adjustments to the City's compensation plan in the years following, the City conducted a comprehensive Classification and Compensation study in 2015. The 2015 Study's goals were to ensure the City can:

[^0]- Attract and retain well-qualified personnel for all job classes;
- Compete with comparable public sector employers for qualified employees;
- Defend City salary ranges based on the pay practices of similar employers;
- Ensure pay consistency and equity within classes based on duties and responsibilities; and
- Ensure that the City's compensation policies and long-term financial sustainability plan/goals align.

The 2015 study reconfirmed the City's salary schedule structure (salary ranges and steps), as shown in the City's current schedule in Attachment $\boldsymbol{A}$. Our salary schedule is designed with:

- Multiple salary ranges each $2.5 \%$ apart;
- Within each salary range, six salary steps each $4 \%$ apart;
- Employees moving up one step each year on their anniversary date; and
- Once employees reach step six, they remain at that top step.

The 2015 study also reconfirmed the City's compensation philosophy that we follow today:

- Use Defined Labor Market
- Use Council-identified comparable cities, based on historical practices, nature of services provided, geographic proximity, employer size, and economic similarity
- Using this criteria, in 2015 the City Council set the following 13 comparable jurisdictions as the City's labor market:
- Bellevue, Bothell, Burien, Edmonds, Everett, Kenmore, Kirkland, Lynnwood, Marysville, Redmond, Renton, Sammamish and Seattle
- Set the City's Market Position at the Median of the Defined Labor Market
- Established that a position salary is at market if it is within $5 \%+/$ - the median ( $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile or "middle point") of the City's defined labor market
- Use the Top Step as the Market Position Comparison Control Point
- Consistent with most agencies, we "anchor" salary ranges to the labor market by using our top Step 6 as the salary data point for comparison
- Use Both Market Data and Internal Equity to Set Compensation
- Use market survey results to determine how our classifications compare to similar ones in our defined labor market
- Internally analyze any recommended classification changes to ensure they do not create equity issues with other classifications
- If so, we may also recommend adjustments to those classifications


## 2015 Compensation Study and Outcome

The 2015 comprehensive Classification and Compensation Study had multiple steps:

1. A full Classification Study, where every employee completed a Job Analysis

Questionnaire (JAQ) and was offered an interview with the consultant to discuss their duties and job description
2. A Compensation Study using the updated job descriptions and analyzing market data from the City's 13 comparable cities against the City's best-matched 43 "benchmark" job classifications

- The 2015 Compensation Report in Attachment $\boldsymbol{B}$ determined that most of the benchmark classifications were "below market"

3. An internal equity analysis linking the 43 benchmarks to other City classifications

- Full results of this market and internal equity analysis are shown in the recommended salary table in Appendix A of the 2015 Compensation Report (Attachment B)

4. Adjustments were made to salary ranges: 74 positions were adjusted up and 5 positions were adjusted down

- The results of the Study were shared with staff in a presentation - see Attachment $\boldsymbol{C}$


## Recommended Scope for 2022 Compensation Study

Staff seeks Council's concurrence on the recommended scope of the 2022 Compensation Study:

- Unlike the 2015 Study, limit this compensation study to current job descriptions
- We have looked at $1 / 3$ of classifications annually for the past six years
- We have an existing process to request an individual classification and job duty review
- While we would not use JAQs, staff could still provide input about their job description/classification to the City's consultant
- Limit this compensation study to non-represented positions
- Union positions were reviewed in a separate study during the collective bargaining process in 2020
- Survey the labor market for base salary, cash supplements, and health insurance benefits. Cash supplements include such things as longevity pay and employer paid deferred compensation.
- Consult with Ralph Anderson and Associates to conduct a comprehensive study to set the City's employee Salary Range table
- Use the existing compensation philosophy as the basis for this 2022 Compensation Study
- Use the same 13 existing comparable cities as our labor market, use the top step to anchor our salary ranges, use the median to assess the market position, and use both market data and internal equity to set compensation


## Policy Questions for Council

- Does Council concur with staff's proposed scope of the 2022 Compensation Study?


## Attachments

Attachment A - City of Shoreline Current Salary Schedule
Attachment B - Ralph Anderson and Associates 2015 Compensation Report
Attachment C - 2015 Compensation Study Close Out Meeting Presentation

## Attachment A

City of Shoreline
Range Placement Table
2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps

2022 Min wage: \$14.49

| June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
| Estimated \% Change | $5.52 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ of \% Change. | $5.52 \%$ |

June '21 cpi-U
$100 \%$ of \% Change:
28.055 5.52\% 5.52\%

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022



## Attachment A

City of Shoreline
Range Placement Table
2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps

2022 Min wage: $\$ 14.49$

| June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
| Estimated \% Change | $5.52 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ of \% Change. | $5.52 \%$ |

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calculation.

|  | Title | FLSA Status | Training Step 0 | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range |  |  |  | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 14.71 | 15.29 | 15.91 | 16.54 | 17.20 | 17.89 |
|  |  |  |  | 30,587 | 31,811 | 33,083 | 34,406 | 35,783 | 37,214 |
| 12 |  |  |  | 15.07 | 15.68 | 16.30 | 16.96 | 17.63 | 18.34 |
|  |  |  |  | 31,352 | 32,606 | 33,910 | 35,267 | 36,677 | 38,144 |
| 13 |  |  | 14.83 | 15.45 | 16.07 | 16.71 | 17.38 | 18.07 | 18.80 |
|  |  |  | 30,850 | 32,136 | 33,421 | 34,758 | 36,148 | 37,594 | 39,098 |
| 14 |  |  | 15.20 | 15.84 | 16.47 | 17.13 | 17.81 | 18.53 | 19.27 |
|  |  |  | 31,621 | 32,939 | 34,257 | 35,627 | 37,052 | 38,534 | 40,075 |
| 15 |  |  | 15.58 | 16.23 | 16.88 | 17.56 | 18.26 | 18.99 | 19.75 |
|  |  |  | 32,412 | 33,763 | 35,113 | 36,518 | 37,978 | 39,497 | 41,077 |
| 16 |  |  | 15.97 | 16.64 | 17.30 | 18.00 | 18.72 | 19.46 | 20.24 |
|  |  |  | 33,222 | 34,607 | 35,991 | 37,430 | 38,928 | 40,485 | 42,104 |
| 17 |  |  | 16.37 | 17.05 | 17.74 | 18.45 | 19.18 | 19.95 | 20.75 |
|  |  |  | 34,053 | 35,472 | 36,891 | 38,366 | 39,901 | 41,497 | 43,157 |
| 18 |  |  | 16.78 | 17.48 | 18.18 | 18.91 | 19.66 | 20.45 | 21.27 |
|  |  |  | 34,904 | 36,359 | 37,813 | 39,325 | 40,898 | 42,534 | 44,236 |
| 19 |  |  | 17.20 | 17.92 | 18.63 | 19.38 | 20.15 | 20.96 | 21.80 |
|  |  |  | 35,777 | 37,268 | 38,758 | 40,309 | 41,921 | 43,598 | 45,342 |
| 20 |  |  | 17.63 | 18.37 | 19.10 | 19.86 | 20.66 | 21.48 | 22.34 |
|  |  |  | 36,671 | 38,199 | 39,727 | 41,316 | 42,969 | 44,688 | 46,475 |

## Attachment A

## City of Shoreline

Range Placement Table
2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps

2022 Min wage: $\$ 14.49$

June '20 cpi-U
June '21 cpi-U
Estimated \% Change
100\% of \% Change:
281.055
296.573
5.52\%
5.52\%

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calculation.

|  | Title | FLSA Status | Training Step 0 | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range |  |  |  | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 21 |  |  | 18.07 | 18.82 | 19.58 | 20.36 | 21.17 | 22.02 | 22.90 |
|  |  |  | 37,588 | 39,154 | 40,720 | 42,349 | 44,043 | 45,805 | 47,637 |
| 22 |  |  | 18.52 | 19.29 | 20.07 | 20.87 | 21.70 | 22.57 | 23.48 |
|  |  |  | 38,528 | 40,133 | 41,738 | 43,408 | 45,144 | 46,950 | 48,828 |
| 23 |  |  | 18.99 | 19.78 | 20.57 | 21.39 | 22.25 | 23.14 | 24.06 |
|  |  |  | 39,491 | 41,136 | 42,782 | 44,493 | 46,273 | 48,124 | 50,049 |
| 24 |  |  | 19.46 | 20.27 | 21.08 | 21.93 | 22.80 | 23.71 | 24.66 |
|  |  |  | 40,478 | 42,165 | 43,851 | 45,605 | 47,430 | 49,327 | 51,300 |
| 25 |  |  | 19.95 | 20.78 | 21.61 | 22.47 | 23.37 | 24.31 | 25.28 |
|  |  |  | 41,490 | 43,219 | 44,948 | 46,746 | 48,615 | 50,560 | 52,582 |
| 26 |  |  | 20.45 | 21.30 | 22.15 | 23.04 | 23.96 | 24.92 | 25.91 |
|  |  |  | 42,527 | 44,299 | 46,071 | 47,914 | 49,831 | 51,824 | 53,897 |
| 27 |  |  | 20.96 | 21.83 | 22.70 | 23.61 | 24.56 | 25.54 | 26.56 |
|  |  |  | 43,591 | 45,407 | 47,223 | 49,112 | 51,077 | 53,120 | 55,244 |
| 28 |  |  | 21.48 | 22.38 | 23.27 | 24.20 | 25.17 | 26.18 | 27.22 |
|  |  |  | 44,680 | 46,542 | 48,404 | 50,340 | 52,353 | 54,448 | 56,626 |
| 29 |  |  | 22.02 | 22.94 | 23.85 | 24.81 | 25.80 | 26.83 | 27.90 |
|  |  |  | 45,797 | 47,706 | 49,614 | 51,598 | 53,662 | 55,809 | 58,041 |
| 30 |  |  | 22.57 | 23.51 | 24.45 | 25.43 | 26.44 | 27.50 | 28.60 |
|  |  |  | 46,942 | 48,898 | 50,854 | 52,888 | 55,004 | 57,204 | 59,492 |

## Attachment A

City of Shoreline
Range Placement Table
2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps

2022 Min wage: \$14.49

June '20 cpi-U June '21 cpi-U 281.055 Estimated \% Change 296.573

100\% of \% Change:
296.573 5.52\%
5.52\%

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022


| Range | Title | FLSA Status | Training Step 0 | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 31 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 23.13 \\ 48,116 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.10 \\ 50,121 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.06 \\ 52,126 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.06 \\ 54,211 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.11 \\ 56,379 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.19 \\ 58,634 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.32 \\ 60,980 \end{array}$ |
| 32 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 23.71 \\ 49,319 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.70 \\ 51,374 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.69 \\ 53,429 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.71 \\ 55,566 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.78 \\ 57,788 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.89 \\ 60,100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.05 \\ 62,504 \end{array}$ |
| 33 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 24.30 \\ 50,552 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.32 \\ 52,658 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.33 \\ 54,764 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.38 \\ 56,955 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.48 \\ 59,233 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.62 \\ 61,602 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.80 \\ 64,067 \end{array}$ |
| 34 | Administrative Assistant I WW Utility Administrative Assist I WW Utility Customer Service Rep | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 24.91 \\ 51,816 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.95 \\ 53,974 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.99 \\ 56,134 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 29.19 \\ 60,714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.36 \\ 63,143 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.57 \\ 65,668 \end{array}$ |
| 35 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 25.53 \\ 53,111 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.60 \\ 55,324 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.66 \\ 57,537 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.77 \\ 59,838 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.92 \\ 62,232 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.12 \\ 64,721 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.36 \\ 67,310 \end{array}$ |
| 36 |  | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 26.17 \\ 54,439 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.26 \\ 56,707 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.35 \\ 58,975 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.49 \\ 61,334 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.67 \\ 63,788 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.89 \\ 66,339 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.17 \\ 68,993 \end{array}$ |
| 37 | Finance Technician <br> Recreation Specialist I <br> WW Utility Accounting Technician | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 26.83 \\ 55,800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.94 \\ 58,125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.06 \\ 60,450 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.22 \\ 62,868 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.43 \\ 65,382 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.69 \\ 67,998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.00 \\ 70,718 \end{array}$ |
| 38 | Administrative Assistant II | Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 27.50 \\ 57,195 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.64 \\ 59,578 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.79 \\ 61,961 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.98 \\ 64,439 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.22 \\ 67,017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.51 \\ 69,698 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.85 \\ 72,486 \end{array}$ |

## Attachment A

City of Shoreline<br>Range Placement Table<br>2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps<br>2022 Min wage: \$14.49

| June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
| Estimated \% Change | $5.52 \%$ |
| 100\% of \% Change: | $5.52 \%$ |

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calculation.

|  | Title | FLSA Status | Training Step 0 | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range |  |  |  | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 39 |  |  | 28.18 58,625 | $\begin{array}{r} 29.36 \\ 61,067 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.53 \\ 63,510 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.75 \\ 66,050 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.03 \\ 68,692 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.35 \\ 71,440 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.72 \\ 74,298 \end{array}$ |
| 40 | Permit Technician <br> Public Disclosure Specialist | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 28.89 \\ 60,090 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.09 \\ 62,594 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.30 \\ 65,098 \end{array}$ | 32.55 67,702 | 33.85 70,410 | $\begin{array}{r} 35.20 \\ 73,226 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.61 \\ 76,155 \end{array}$ |
| 41 | Public Art Coordinator <br> Recreation Specialist II Senior Finance Technician Special Events Coordinator | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 29.61 \\ 61,592 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.85 \\ 64,159 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.08 \\ 66,725 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.36 \\ 69,394 \end{array}$ | 34.70 72,170 | $\begin{array}{r} 36.08 \\ 75,057 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.53 \\ 78,059 \end{array}$ |
| 42 | Administrative Assistant III Communication Specialist Human Resources Technician Legal Assistant <br> Records Coordinator <br> Transportation Specialist <br> Surface Water Program Specialist | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 30.35 \\ 63,132 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.62 \\ 65,763 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.88 \\ 68,393 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.20 \\ 71,129 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.56 \\ 73,974 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.99 \\ 76,933 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.47 \\ 80,010 \end{array}$ |
| 43 | Environmental Program Specialist Payroll Officer <br> Purchasing Coordinator | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 31.11 \\ 64,710 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32.41 \\ 67,407 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.70 \\ 70,103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.05 \\ 72,907 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.45 \\ 75,823 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.91 \\ 78,856 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.43 \\ 82,011 \end{array}$ |
| 44 | Engineering Technician | Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 31.89 \\ 66,328 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.22 \\ 69,092 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.55 \\ 71,856 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.93 \\ 74,730 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.36 \\ 77,719 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.86 \\ 80,828 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.41 \\ 84,061 \end{array}$ |

## Attachment A

City of Shoreline<br>Range Placement Table<br>2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps<br>2022 Min wage: \$14.49

| June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
| Estimated \% Change | $5.52 \%$ |
| 100\% of \% Change: | $5.52 \%$ |

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calculation.

|  |  |  |  | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range | Title | FLSA Status | $\text { Step } 0$ | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 45 | Assistant Planner <br> CRT Representative PRCS Rental \& System Coordinator | EXEMPT, Annual Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | 32.69 67,986 | $\begin{array}{r} 34.05 \\ 70,819 \end{array}$ | 35.41 73,652 | 36.83 76,598 | 38.30 79,662 | $\begin{array}{r} 39.83 \\ 82,849 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.42 \\ 86,163 \end{array}$ |
| 46 | Deputy City Clerk <br> GIS Technician <br> IT Specialist <br> Senior Surface Water Program Specialist <br> Staff Accountant <br> Traffic Operations Specialist | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly EXEMPT, Annual Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 33.50 \\ 69,686 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.90 \\ 72,590 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.29 \\ 75,493 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.75 \\ 78,513 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.26 \\ 81,654 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.83 \\ 84,920 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.46 \\ 88,317 \end{array}$ |
| 47 | Code Enforcement Officer <br> Construction Inspector <br> Executive Assistant to City Manager <br> Plans Examiner I | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly EXEMPT, Annual Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 34.34 \\ 71,428 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.77 \\ 74,405 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.20 \\ 77,381 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38.69 \\ 80,476 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40.24 \\ 83,695 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41.85 \\ 87,043 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43.52 \\ 90,524 \end{array}$ |
| 48 |  |  | 35.20 73,214 | 36.67 76,265 | $\begin{array}{r} 38.13 \\ 79,315 \end{array}$ | 39.66 82,488 | 41.24 85,787 | 42.89 89,219 | $\begin{array}{r} 44.61 \\ 92,788 \end{array}$ |
| 49 | Associate Planner <br> GIS Analyst <br> Grounds Maintenance Supervisor IT Functional Analyst PRCS Supervisor I - Recreation | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | 36.08 75,044 | $\begin{array}{r} 37.58 \\ 78,171 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.09 \\ 81,298 \end{array}$ | 40.65 84,550 | 42.28 87,932 | $\begin{array}{r} 43.97 \\ 91,449 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.72 \\ 95,107 \end{array}$ |

## Attachment A

City of Shoreline<br>Range Placement Table<br>2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps<br>2022 Min wage: \$14.49

| June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
| Estimated \% Change | $5.52 \%$ |
| 100\% of \% Change: | $5.52 \%$ |

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calculation.

|  |  |  |  | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range | Title | FLSA Status | Step 0 | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 50 | Combination Inspector | Non-Exempt, Hourly | 36.98 | 38.52 | 40.06 | 41.67 | 43.33 | 45.07 | 46.87 |
|  | Diversity and Inclusion Coordinator | EXEMPT, Annual | 76,921 | 80,126 | 83,331 | 86,664 | 90,130 | 93,736 | 97,485 |
|  | Housing \& Human Services Coordinator | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Limited Term Communtiy Support Specialist | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Limited Term Light Rail Project Coordinator | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Neighborhoods Coordinator | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Utility Operations Specialist | Non-Exempt, Hourly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | WW Utility Specialist | Non-Exempt, Hourly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | B\&O Tax Analyst | EXEMPT, Annual | 37.91 | 39.48 | 41.06 | 42.71 | 44.42 | 46.19 | 48.04 |
|  | Budget Analyst | EXEMPT, Annual | 78,844 | 82,129 | 85,414 | 88,830 | 92,384 | 96,079 | 99,922 |
|  | Emergency Management Coordinator | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Management Analyst | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Plans Examiner II | Non-Exempt, Hourly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Senior Accounting Analyst | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | IT Systems Analyst I | EXEMPT, Annual | 38.85 | 40.47 | 42.09 | 43.77 | 45.53 | 47.35 | 49.24 |
|  |  |  | 80,815 | 84,182 | 87,549 | 91,051 | 94,693 | 98,481 | 102,420 |
| 53 | Communications Program Manager | EXEMPT, Annual | 39.82 | 41.48 | 43.14 | 44.87 | 46.6 |  |  |
|  | Environmental Services Program Manager | EXEMPT, Annual | 82,835 | 86,286 | 89,738 | 93,327 | 97,060 | 100,943 | 104,981 |
|  | PRCS Supervisor II - Recreation | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Senior Human Resources Analyst | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Web Systems Analyst | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Code Enforcement and CRT Supervisor | EXEMPT, Annual | 40.82 | 42.52 | 44.22 | 45.99 | 47.83 | 49.74 | 51.73 |
|  | PW Maintenance Superintendent | EXEMPT, Annual | 84,906 | 88,444 | 91,981 | 95,661 | 99,487 | 103,467 | 107,605 |
|  | Senior Planner | EXEMPT, Annual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attachment A

City of Shoreline<br>Range Placement Table<br>2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps<br>2022 Min wage: \$14.49

| June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
| Estimated \% Change | $5.52 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ of \% Change: | $5.52 \%$ |

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022
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|  |  |  |  | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range | Title | FLSA Status | Step 0 | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 60 | Community Services Manager IT Systems Analyst III Recreation Superintendent | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | 47.34 98,465 | $\begin{array}{r} 49.31 \\ 102,567 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.28 \\ 106,670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.34 \\ 110,937 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.47 \\ 115,374 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.69 \\ 119,989 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.99 \\ 124,789 \end{array}$ |
| 61 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 48.52 \\ 100,926 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.54 \\ 105,132 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.57 \\ 109,337 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.67 \\ 113,710 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.86 \\ 118,259 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.13 \\ 122,989 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.49 \\ 127,909 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 62 | Engineer III - Lead Project Manager IT Supervisor | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 49.74 \\ 103,450 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51.81 \\ 107,760 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.88 \\ 112,070 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.04 \\ 116,553 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.28 \\ 121,215 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.61 \\ 126,064 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.03 \\ 131,106 \end{array}$ |
| 63 | Building Official <br> Economic Development Program Manager Intergovernmental / CMO Program Manager Planning Manager SW Utility Manager | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 50.98 \\ 106,036 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.10 \\ 110,454 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.23 \\ 114,872 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.44 \\ 119,467 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.73 \\ 124,246 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.12 \\ 129,216 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.61 \\ 134,384 \end{array}$ |
| 64 | Finance Manager | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 52.25 \\ 108,687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.43 \\ 113,215 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.61 \\ 117,744 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.87 \\ 122,454 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.23 \\ 127,352 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.68 \\ 132,446 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.22 \\ 137,744 \end{array}$ |
| 65 | Assistant City Attorney <br> City Traffic Engineer <br> Development Review and Construction Manager <br> Engineering Manager <br> Transportation Services Manager | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 53.56 \\ 111,404 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.79 \\ 116,046 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.02 \\ 120,688 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.34 \\ 125,515 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.76 \\ 130,536 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.27 \\ 135,757 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.88 \\ 141,187 \end{array}$ |
| 66 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 54.90 \\ 114,189 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.19 \\ 118,947 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.47 \\ 123,705 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.85 \\ 128,653 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.33 \\ 133,799 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.90 \\ 139,151 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.58 \\ 144,717 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 67 | Information Technology Manager Parks, Fleet and Facilities Manager Utility \& Operations Manager | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | 56.27 <br> 117,044 | $\begin{array}{r} 58.62 \\ 121,921 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.96 \\ 126,797 \end{array}$ | 63.40 <br> 131,869 | 65.93 <br> 137,144 | 68.57 <br> 142,630 | 71.31 <br> 148,335 |

## Attachment A

| City of Shoreline | June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Range Placement Table | June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
| 2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps | Estimated \% Change | $5.52 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ Min wage: $\$ 14.49$ | $100 \%$ of \% Change: | $5.52 \%$ |

Estimated Mkt Adj:
Effective:
5.52\%

January 1, 2022

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calculation.
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City of Shoreline<br>Range Placement Table<br>2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps<br>2022 Min wage: \$14.49

| June '20 cpi-U | 281.055 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '21 cpi-U | 296.573 |
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|  |  |  |  | Min |  |  |  |  | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range | Title | FLSA Status | Step 0 | Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| 68 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 57.68 \\ 119,970 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.08 \\ 124,969 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.48 \\ 129,967 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.98 \\ 135,166 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.58 \\ 140,573 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.29 \\ 146,196 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.10 \\ 152,043 \end{array}$ |
| 69 | City Engineer | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 59.12 \\ 122,969 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.58 \\ 128,093 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.05 \\ 133,216 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.61 \\ 138,545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.27 \\ 144,087 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.04 \\ 149,850 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.93 \\ 155,844 \end{array}$ |
| 70 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 60.60 \\ 126,043 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.12 \\ 131,295 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.65 \\ 136,547 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.27 \\ 142,009 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.00 \\ 147,689 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.84 \\ 153,597 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.80 \\ 159,741 \end{array}$ |
| 71 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 62.11 \\ 129,194 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.70 \\ 134,577 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.29 \\ 139,961 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.98 \\ 145,559 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.78 \\ 151,381 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.69 \\ 157,437 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.72 \\ 163,734 \end{array}$ |
| 72 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 63.67 \\ 132,424 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.32 \\ 137,942 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.97 \\ 143,460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.73 \\ 149,198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.60 \\ 155,166 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.58 \\ 161,373 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.69 \\ 167,827 \end{array}$ |
| 73 | Human Resource and Org. Development Director | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 65.26 \\ 135,735 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.98 \\ 141,390 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.70 \\ 147,046 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.52 \\ 152,928 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.46 \\ 159,045 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.52 \\ 165,407 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82.70 \\ 172,023 \end{array}$ |
| 74 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 69.68 \\ 144,925 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.46 \\ 150,722 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.36 \\ 156,751 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.38 \\ 163,021 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.51 \\ 169,542 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.77 \\ 176,324 \end{array}$ |
| 75 | Administrative Services Director <br> Planning \& Community Development Director <br> Recreation, Cultural \& Community Services Director | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 68.56 \\ 142,606 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.42 \\ 148,548 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.27 \\ 154,490 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.25 \\ 160,670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80.33 \\ 167,097 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83.55 \\ 173,781 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86.89 \\ 180,732 \end{array}$ |
| 76 | City Attorney <br> Public Works Director | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 70.27 \\ 146,172 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.20 \\ 152,262 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.13 \\ 158,353 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.18 \\ 164,687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82.34 \\ 171,274 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85.64 \\ 178,125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 89.06 \\ 185,250 \end{array}$ |
| 77 | Assistant City Manager | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 72.03 \\ 149,826 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.03 \\ 156,069 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 78.03 \\ 162,311 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81.16 \\ 168,804 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 84.40 \\ 175,556 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 87.78 \\ 182,578 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.29 \\ 189,881 \end{array}$ |
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## SECTION I <br> PROJECT OVERVIEW

Ralph Andersen \& Associates was retained by the City of Shoreline to conduct a Compensation Study involving all of the City's job classifications. This report presents the results of the study through the following sections:

- Section I - Project Overview
- Section II - Methodologies
- Section III - Compensation Findings \& Recommendations

The methodologies described in this report are similar to those used for any public or private employer, with a customized approach to fit the location and nature of services of the City.

## EFFECTIVE COMPENSATION PLANS

The City's compensation plan is one of the most important elements in its personnel system. Combining a sound compensation system with an effective classification system contributes to the overall effectiveness of an organization. In broad terms, the City's compensation plan should:

- Ensure that the City has the ability to attract and retain well-qualified employees
- Provide a defensible and rational basis for compensating employees
- Allow flexibility and adaptability for making City-wide compensation decisions based on changing market conditions
- Recognize the City's responsibility as a public agency in establishing a pay plan that is consistent with public practices
- Ensure that the City's compensation practices are competitive and consistent with those of comparable employers.

Policy decisions resulting from the compensation study will ultimately balance the above goals with City's ability to pay and other budget priorities.

## STUDY OBJECTIVES

Based on the identified needs of the City, this study was designed to achieve the following overall objectives:

- Review job titles, position allocations, and update the City's job descriptions
- Conduct a compensation and benefits survey using representative market employers
- Collect and analyze salary and benefits data to provide a picture of base salary and total compensation trends
- Document comparisons with the City compensation plan and identify any issues with the data, comparable jobs, or market agencies
- Conduct an internal relationship analysis and develop internal relationship guidelines using job evaluation criteria
- Develop salary and range recommendations based on the results of the market survey and internal relationship analysis.

The primary objective of the compensation survey and subsequent analysis is to provide a "picture" of wage practices in the labor market for comparable jobs. Additionally, the compensation survey documents how City management classifications compare to similar employers in terms of compensation. The results of the compensation survey provide a basis for compensating employees in a consistent, equitable, defensible, and competitive manner. The methodologies used to accomplish these objectives are presented in Section II.

## SECTION II <br> METHODOLOGIES

This section provides an overview of the methodologies that have been used to conduct the compensation analyses and develop specific recommendations. Specific methods and systems presented include:

- Why Compensation Surveys
- Labor Market Survey Agencies
- Labor Market Position
- Market Data Collection Process
- Point of Comparison.

All methodologies used by Ralph Andersen \& Associates are consistent with established professional standards of compensation.

## WHY COMPENSATION SURVEYS?

Compensation surveys are an effective tool for compensation professionals to utilize in assessing an employer's competitiveness with market practices. Survey data is necessary because labor markets are constantly changing in response to the availability of skill sets and fluctuations in economic conditions. These changes can vary among regions and across industries and employer types. Thus, an effective survey will provide data that closely reflects market conditions that the employer is competing against. Survey data is important for the following reasons:

- Detailed data allows an employer to anticipate changing market conditions and understand what peer employers are doing with respect to compensation and benefits.
- Market data allows an employer to be deliberate in making compensation related decisions by reducing guesses or reliance on indexes that may not reflect compensation practices.
- Survey data can provide defensibility and transparency for employees and other stakeholders.

At a minimum, survey data can help an employer reduce undesired employee turnover and optimize the ability to hire employees when filling vacant positions. The use of market data is a common practice in both public and private employers, however, it is more critical for public employers who may need to meet the requirements of labor bargaining and related laws.

## LABOR MARKET SURVEY AGENCIES

One of the most important policy components of a compensation plan is a definition of the labor market within which the City must compete. There are typically five important criteria utilized in identifying those employers that comprise an agency's labor market. They are:

- Historical Practices - Over time, an employer will develop some level of continuity regarding labor market comparables for the purposes of conducting compensation surveys. There may be a strong history of surveying a specific set of employers either by agreement or by practice.
- Nature of Services Provided - In order to ensure comparable jobs are found when conducting a market survey, it is important to utilize employers that provide similar services to the City. This factor recognizes that employers who provide similar services are most likely to compete with one another for employees, have similar jobs, and share organizational and economic characteristics. For this survey, we have focused on cities.
- Geographic Proximity - Geographic proximity of potential employers is a major factor utilized in identifying an organization's labor market. This factor is particularly important because it identifies those employers that directly compete with the City to recruit and retain personnel. If a sufficient number of comparable agencies exist within close proximity to the City, the defined geographic area may be confined to a one, two or "surrounding" county region. For this survey, we have identified agencies within a reasonable commuting distance within the Seattle metropolitan region.
- Employer Size - The more similar employers are in size and complexity, the greater the likelihood that comparable positions exist within both organizations. This factor is less important for jobs where employer size makes little difference in the nature of duties and more important where employee or other resources are a defining characteristic of the job. To the degree size can impact the comparability of specific jobs, our survey analysis will consider this when identifying job matches (e.g., not using Seattle for department head job matches).
- Economic Similarity - While there are a number of economic factors that can be compared among agencies, the most important factor related to compensation is cost of living. In some regions or states, living costs can vary significantly and have an important impact on how potential candidates evaluate compensation. This factor can be important if labor market agencies are used beyond the local market, or there are significant differences in the cost of living.

Using these factors, the following table identifies the recommended survey agencies for this survey.

| Survey Agency | Population <br> Served | Distance | ERI <br> COL | ERI <br> Wage | Govt Form | Hist |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shoreline | 53,990 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 100.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | Council-Manager |  |
| Seattle | 626,600 | 11 | 127.0 | 100.4 | Mayor-Council |  |
| Bellevue | 132,100 | 16 | 121.4 | 100.4 | Council-Manager | X |
| Everett | 104,200 | 18 | 97.0 | 100.1 | Mayor-Council | X |
| Renton | 95,540 | 23 | 97.7 | 100.3 | Mayor-Council | X |
| Kirkland | 81,730 | 17 | 113.4 | 100.0 | Council-Manager | X |
| Marysville | 62,100 | 24 | 97.6 | 99.7 | Mayor-Council |  |
| Redmond | 55,840 | 21 | 114.2 | 100.3 | Mayor-Council | X |
| Sammamish | 48,060 | 28 | 101.5 | 100.0 | Council-Manager |  |
| Burien | 48,030 | 23 | 90.7 | 100.0 | Council-Manager |  |
| Edmonds | 39,950 | 5 | 91.8 | 99.9 | Mayor-Council | X |
| Lynnwood | 35,960 | 6 | 94.1 | 99.8 | Mayor-Council |  |
| Bothell | 34,460 | 14 | 102.3 | 99.9 | Council-Manager |  |
| Kenmore | 21,170 | 5 | 93.0 | 99.5 | Council-Manager |  |
|  | 55,840 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 97.7 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Data Sources:

Population - State of Washington, City and Town Profiles
Distance -Google Maps
Cost of Living Index - Economic Research Institute Relocation Assessor; Jan 2015
Wage Index - Economic Research Institute Geographic Assessor; Jan 2015
Measurement criteria for several factors are included in the table including commuting distance, population served, cost of living differences (COL), and relative wage differences. The cost of living and wage differential indexes are provided by the Economic Research Institute (ERI) and are useful in understanding the economic differences between different communities. The cost of living index (ERI COL) quantifies the percentage
differences in goods, services, transportation, healthcare, fuel, utilities, taxes, and housing costs each employer city location. The wage differential index (ERI Wage) shows the relative wage differences for each city location and includes data from a large number of employers at each city location. This index is used by corporations to adjust wages from one market to another (e.g. a Chicago wage equivalent for a Seattle office).

These agencies represent cities that meet the market selection criteria with a balance in parameters, smaller/larger, 5-30 miles, and higher/lower cost of living.

## LABOR MARKET POSITION

If the survey agencies represent a balanced set of employers, the City should consider a minimum market position at the labor market median (defined as the "middle" of the labor market or $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile). The median statistic will not be significantly skewed with market anomalies or the inclusion of larger survey agencies. Most employers will establish a market position somewhere between the $50^{\text {th }}$ and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

Ultimately, when establishing the City' desired labor market position, some key elements for consideration will include:

- The City' ability to pay
- Historical practices
- Priority of compensation versus other expenditures
- Recruitment and retention needs
- Differences in benefits, including retirement formulas.

A solid, defensible labor market position will rely on a balancing of these factors in order to meet the City' compensation goals and objectives. Options for market position are provided in the recommendations presented later in this report.

## MARKET DATA COLLECTION PROCESS

To ensure reliability and completeness, survey data was collected according to a structured methodology. In conducting the compensation survey, the following specific steps were taken:

- Survey employers were contacted to confirm participation and to request background information including current salary schedules, job descriptions, benefits information, position control documents, and organizational charts
- Source documents were analyzed for each survey agency in order to determine comparability issues and obtain salary/benefit data
- Follow-up reviews were conducted by e-mail and telephone to verify and clarify the data to ensure accuracy and comparability.

Throughout the data collection process, careful efforts were made to document the full range of duties and requirements of all job classes as compared to the City's corresponding survey classes.

When conducting labor market surveys, one of the most important objectives is to ensure that the labor market data is sufficiently comparable to City jobs while also serving as a strong indicator of market trends. Since the purpose of the labor market analysis is to identify general wage trends with other agencies, broad comparability guidelines are used when collecting data. If the comparability guidelines are too narrow, then insufficient data will be found.

Common comparability criteria typically include similar core functional duties, education/skill requirements, level of duties, and scope of supervisory and management duties. It is not as critical for all job duties to be the same or for the number of employees supervised to be the same. Furthermore, it is not essential that comparable market jobs use the same equipment, have the same workload, or work in an identical facility. While Ralph Andersen \& Associates has been careful not to include outlier job comparisons, there will be some variability in the job matches. In some instances, a comparable market job may exceed the responsibilities and duties of the City's job and in other cases, the market job may perform duties at a slightly lower level. Overall, the market comparabilities are intended to provide a balanced indication of market trends.

## STATISTICS USED IN ANALYZING THE MARKET DATA

The salary survey data has been analyzed using a variety of statistical measures that are standards in compensation analysis. The purpose of the statistics is to describe the data and identify data trends that can be used to describe the labor market. The three most common statistics used in analyzing compensation data include:

- Mean (average) - This is a common statistical measure in which the market data is summed and divided by the number of agencies in which data is reported. While this is a valuable statistical measure, it is not stable for data sets of less than 30 agencies. In addition, this statistic can be significantly skewed by a significantly high or low paying agency that may not represent the entire sample.
- Median (50th percentile) - This statistic is based on the ranking of the data and represents the "middle" of the data set; as such, half of the data is above the median and half is below. This is the most stable statistical measure of the market, even for highly variable data sets, and is not skewed by unusually high or low payers.
- 75th Percentile (3rd quartile) - This is also a rank based statistic in which one quarter of the data is above the 75 th percentile and three quarters of the data are below this point. This statistic effectively captures the high end of the data set, however, it is not as stable a measure as the median. Since the relationship between the median and the 75th percentile is based both on the ranking and on variability of the data, no consistent percentage relationship exists between these statistics.

It is a policy decision as to which market reference point best serves the City for purposes of establishing a competitive salary plan. Our analysis has focused on the market median, which is the most stable statistical measure.

## POINT OF COMPARISON

When comparing City salaries with market agencies, it is important to establish a consistent point of comparison. Since all the survey agencies used in the market study utilize pay range structures, a critical review was needed to find the salary range "control point." This is the point in the salary range that:

- Is used to "anchor" the pay range to the labor market
- Employees will attain through step or other increases based on satisfactory performance (range progression beyond the control point is usually based on superior job performance)
- The majority of employee salaries cluster around as measured by calculating a compa-ratio (employee salary divided by the range maximum).

For the vast majority of agencies, the salary range maximum (top step) is the reference control point. Longevity steps, one-time lump sum payments, and incentive pays are not included in this comparison (but are included in the benefits analysis). These salaries are compared to the City's salary range.

## SECTION III <br> COMPENSATION FINDINGS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

This section of the report documents the key findings and observations resulting from the consultant's compensation analyses. The focus of the compensation analysis is to identify significant differences in the pay practices of the City as compared to the other labor market agencies. Ideally, the City should be consistent with any pay or benefit item that is a common practice in the market (half or more of the survey agencies).

## SALARY SURVEY RESULTS - BASE PAY

Based on an evaluation of the survey data, general salary trends in the marketplace have been identified. As a starting point, the consultants surveyed 81 job titles, from which sufficient data was found for 67 job classes. This data was further analyzed to determine the most comparable jobs and the best data using statistical analyses (reliability and validity) which resulted in a sample of 43 benchmark survey jobs. A summary of the 43 benchmark survey jobs is provided in the following graph and includes all thirteen survey employers who participated in the survey. The survey data is effective September 2015.


As indicated in the graph, no survey jobs are more than $5 \%$ above the median with 15 jobs being more than $5 \%$ below median. Ideally, the City's pay plan should be within $+/-5 \%$ of the desired market position (in this case, market median). While increases to market median are appropriate, the above chart serves as a macro level of assessment - is the City's pay plan generally competitive with the labor market? In this instance, the answer to that question is no. On average, the City is $3.9 \%$ below the market with some jobs being more than $10 \%$ below median and fewer jobs being slightly above median.

The following summary table that shows the survey results for all jobs where sufficient data was found.

| Class Title | Range Max | \# of Obs. | Market Median | $\begin{gathered} \hline \%+/- \\ \text { Median } \end{gathered}$ | Percentile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Assistant III | 5,224 | 10 | 5,631 | -7.8\% | 0 |
| Assistant City Attorney | 9,227 | 6 | 9,919 | -7.5\% | 16 |
| Assistant City Manager | 12,407 | 4 | 13,764 | -10.9\% | 15 |
| Associate Planner | 6,372 | 13 | 6,472 | -1.6\% | 30 |
| Budget Analyst | 6,526 | 8 | 6,868 | -5.2\% | 10 |
| Building Official | 9,001 | 9 | 9,428 | -4.7\% | 32 |
| Central Services Manager | 8,568 | 8 | 8,819 | -2.9\% | 28 |
| City Attorney | 12,407 | 7 | 13,086 | -5.5\% | 12 |
| City Clerk | 7,758 | 9 | 7,934 | -2.3\% | 32 |
| City Traffic Engineer | 9,454 | 10 | 9,463 | -0.1\% | 49 |
| Code Enforcement Officer | 6,209 | 13 | 6,338 | -2.1\% | 36 |
| Combination Inspector | 6,857 | 10 | 6,601 | 3.7\% | 75 |
| Communications Program Manager | 7,207 | 9 | 7,301 | -1.3\% | 35 |
| Construction Inspection Supervisor | 7,387 | 3 | 7,314 | 1.0\% | 53 |
| Construction Inspector | 6,372 | 9 | 6,306 | 1.0\% | 55 |
| Deputy City Clerk | 5,628 | 10 | 6,173 | -9.7\% | 21 |
| Engineer II | 8,568 | 13 | 8,610 | -0.5\% | 44 |
| Engineering Manager | 9,934 | 7 | 9,517 | 4.2\% | 100 |
| Engineering Technician | 5,356 | 12 | 5,980 | -11.6\% | 9 |
| Executive Assistant to City Manager | 6,060 | 12 | 6,312 | -4.2\% | 22 |
| Facilities Maintenance Worker II | 5,628 | 11 | 5,405 | 4.0\% | 92 |
| Finance Manager | 8,568 | 9 | 9,666 | -12.8\% | 0 |
| Finance Technician | 4,732 | 12 | 4,918 | -3.9\% | 28 |
| Human Resources Technician | 5,224 | 7 | 5,677 | -8.7\% | 17 |
| Information Technology Manager | 10,182 | 10 | 9,902 | 2.7\% | 64 |
| IT Specialist | 6,209 | 10 | 6,003 | 3.3\% | 69 |
| Legal Assistant | 4,971 | 7 | 5,654 | -13.7\% | 23 |
| Neighborhoods Coordinator | 6,692 | 4 | 6,895 | -3.0\% | 36 |
| Network Administrator | 7,387 | 8 | 8,060 | -9.1\% | 32 |
| Parks Maintenance Worker II | 5,224 | 12 | 5,361 | -2.6\% | 39 |
| Parks Project Coordinator | 6,692 | 5 | 7,605 | -13.6\% | 0 |
| Plans Examiner II | 6,857 | 12 | 6,854 | 0.0\% | 50 |
| PRCS Supervisor I-Recreation | 6,526 | 12 | 6,611 | -1.3\% | 37 |
| Public Works Director | 12,407 | 12 | 12,763 | -2.9\% | 18 |
| PW Maintenance Superintendent | 7,569 | 7 | 7,277 | 3.9\% | 62 |
| Recreation Specialist II | 4,732 | 8 | 5,437 | -14.9\% | 10 |
| Recreation Superintendent | 8,568 | 6 | 8,883 | -3.7\% | 43 |
| Senior Human Resources Analyst | 7,207 | 5 | 7,277 | -1.0\% | 42 |
| Senior Planner | 7,027 | 12 | 7,635 | -8.7\% | 7 |
| Staff Accountant | 6,210 | 8 | 6,254 | -0.7\% | 35 |
| Surface Water Quality Specialist | 5,491 | 6 | 6,143 | -11.9\% | 3 |
| SW Utility \& Environmental Svcs Manager | 9,454 | 5 | 9,428 | 0.3\% | 57 |
| Web Developer | 7,027 | 7 | 7,277 | -3.6\% | 31 |
| Average |  | 9 |  | -3.9\% | 34 |

## SALARY SURVEY RESULTS - BENEFITS

In order to provide the City with a more accurate assessment of how its compensation plan compares with those of other agencies, Ralph Andersen \& Associates collected and analyzed key employer provided benefits for each survey agency's comparable class. All comparisons of the City to the labor market agencies are based on the labor market median so that differences in benefit categories can be analyzed in a trend analysis. The total compensation data is broken into three categories:

- Base Salary_- This column contains base salary range maximum data for each agency where a comparable job was identified.
- Cash Supplements - These columns display the following cash equivalent benefits:
- longevity pay
- deferred compensation paid by the employer
- Insurances - These columns show the maximum employer contribution for the following insurance benefits:

```
- health insurance, including dependent coverage as provided
- dental insurance
- vision insurance
```

An average cumulative sub-total of each benefit category is shown in the following graph. While the City's cash and insurance benefits are slightly lower than the labor market, the differences are insignificant. Benefit differences or variances of less than $3.0 \%$ indicate the City is consistent and competitive with market practices.


## SALARY RANGE RECOMMENDATIONS

Since it is impossible to compare all of the City's jobs to comparable market jobs, the objective of a market based compensation study is to identify wage differences for selected "benchmark" jobs. Benchmark jobs are jobs that are easily compared with the pay practices of other agencies and are directly comparable to many City jobs. This process not only maximizes the use of available market data but also preserves important salary relationships that currently exist in the City's compensation plan.

Appendix A contains recommended salary ranges for each City job classification. The process used to develop the recommendations in Appendix A included the following:

- Benchmark job classifications have been identified using a detailed analysis of the survey data. The salary range placement for these jobs are based on the market deviation to the median.
- Salary ranges for non-benchmark job classifications have been set using internal relationship salary differentials. These differentials are based on industry guidelines as well as a review of historical and current salary relationships. As needed, the consultants have also reviewed internal relationships for nonbenchmark jobs using job evaluation criteria. These criteria include the following factors:

| Expertise | Contacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Education \& Training | - Type |
| - Complexity | - Purpose |
| - Experience | - Effort |
| Decision Making | - Environment |
| - Impact |  |
| - Independence |  |

## Resources

- Monetary/contracts
- Staff/supervision

Independence
Differences within and across these factors can be used to determine pay relationships with minor differences equaling a $5 \%$ difference, moderate differences equaling $10 \%$, and significant differences equaling $15 \%$ or higher differentials between jobs.

- Salary range comparisons are conducted using the range maximum, which serves as the control point for the market survey ranges as well as the City's ranges. The percentage change from the current salary range to the new salary range is shown as a percentage change.

As a result of this process, Appendix A contains salary range recommendations for all City job classifications. The document presents 43 benchmark jobs that are used to establish pay ranges for 104 job classifications with the appropriate internal alignment documented. All salary range placements use the City's current salary range table at the time of the market survey and salary range analysis. Appendix B contains the City's salary table effective January 1, 2016. This salary table incorporates a 2016 cost of living adjustment and establishes salary ranges that are consistently $2.5 \%$ between ranges.

## SALARY PLAN MAINTENANCE \& ADMINISTRATION

Once the above salary range adjustments are implemented, the City should continue to conduct market surveys every third year to maintain equity with market practices. In the interim years, the City can use a Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) or other market estimate during the budget process to maintain overall equity with annual
changes in the market. This can be done by using an index such as CPI or by conducting a limited survey of key agencies to determine what overall increase are being implemented that year. A more extensive market survey every third year will provide additional data regarding changes in labor rates for different City jobs as well as changes in benefit practices. The City could also conduct detailed surveys for a third of the benchmark jobs each year on a rolling basis as it has done historically.

## City of Shoreline

Salary Recommendations
Benchmark Classes set to Market Median (50th Percentile)
Same as current salary if less than $5.0 \%$ above market

| Classification Job Title | Range | Current Range Max |  | Market Deviation | Recomm. Range | Recomm. Max. | Percent Change | Internal Alignment/Salary Setting Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{1}$ Sr. Management Analyst | 52 | \$ | 7,207 |  | 54 | \$7,569 | 5.0\% | Approx. 10\% above Management Analyst |
| 2 Management Analyst | 48 | \$ | 6,527 |  | 50 | \$6,857 | 5.1\% | Same as Budget Analyst |
| 4 Administrative Assistant III | 39 | \$ | 5,224 | -7.8\% | 42 | \$5,628 | 7.7\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| 5 Administrative Assistant II | 35 | \$ | 4,732 |  | 38 | \$5,096 | 7.7\% | Approx. 10\% below Administrative Assistant III |
| 6 Administrative Assistant I | 31 | \$ | 4,290 |  | 34 | \$4,619 | 7.7\% | Approx. 10\% below Administrative Assistant II |
| 8 Administrative Services Director | 74 | \$ | 12,407 |  | 75 | \$12,719 | 2.5\% | Same as Parks, Rec \& Cultural Svcs Director |
| Central Services Manager | 59 | \$ | 8,567 | -2.9\% | 60 | \$8,779 | 2.5\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| ${ }_{1}$ Purchasing Coordinator | 39 | \$ | 5,224 |  | 43 | \$5,770 | 10.5\% | Same as Payroll Officer |
| Sr. Facilities Maintenance Worker | 44 | \$ | 5,912 |  | 46 | \$6,209 | 5.0\% | Approx. 10\% above Facilities Maintenance Worker II |
| Facilities Maintenance Worker II | 42 | \$ | 5,628 | +4.0\% | 42 | \$5,628 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Facilities Maintenance Worker I | 38 | \$ | 5,096 |  | 38 | \$5,096 | 0.0\% | Approx. 10\% below Facilities Maintenance Worker II |
| Finance Manager | 59 | \$ | 8,567 | -12.8\% | 64 | \$9,693 | 13.1\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Budget Supervisor |  |  |  |  | 56 | \$7,956 | -- | Approx. 15\% above Budget Analyst |
| Grants Administrator | 52 | \$ | 7,207 |  | 54 | \$7,569 | 5.0\% | Approx. 10\% above Budget Analyst |
| Budget Analyst | 48 | \$ | 6,527 | -5.2\% | 50 | \$6,857 | 5.1\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Payroll Officer | 39 | \$ | 5,224 |  | 43 | \$5,770 | 10.5\% | Approx. 5\% above Senior Finance Technician |
| Staff Accountant | 46 | \$ | 6,210 | -0.7\% | 46 | \$6,209 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Senior Finance Technician | 37 | \$ | 4,971 |  | 41 | \$5,491 | 10.5\% | Approx. 10\% above Finance Technician |
| Finance Technician | 35 | \$ | 4,732 | -3.9\% | 37 | \$4,971 | 5.1\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Information Technology Manager | 66 | \$ | 10,182 | +2.7\% | 66 | \$10,182 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| IT Systems Analyst | 59 | \$ | 8,567 |  | 59 | \$8,568 | 0.0\% | Approx. 5\% above Network Administrator |
| Network Administrator | 53 | \$ | 7,387 | -9.1\% | 57 | \$8,154 | 10.4\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Web Developer | 51 | \$ | 7,027 | -3.6\% | 52 | \$7,207 | 2.6\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| IT Specialist | 46 | \$ | 6,209 | +3.3\% | 46 | \$6,209 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
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## City of Shoreline

## Salary Recommendations

## Benchmark Classes set to Market Median (50th Percentile)

Same as current salary if less than $5.0 \%$ above market

| Classification Job Title | Range | Current Range Max | Market Deviation | Recomm. Range | Recomm. Max. | Percent Change | Internal Alignment/Salary Setting Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Official | 61 | \$ 9,001 | -4.7\% | 63 | \$9,454 | 5.0\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Plans Examiner III | 54 | \$ 7,569 |  | 54 | \$7,569 | 0.0\% | Approx. 10\% above Plans Examiner II |
| Plans Examiner II | 50 | \$ 6,857 | +0.0\% | 50 | \$6,857 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Plans Examiner I | 46 | \$ 6,209 |  | 46 | \$6,209 | 0.0\% | Approx. 10\% below Plans Examiner II |
| Structural Plans Examiner | 59 | \$ 8,567 |  | 59 | \$8,568 | 0.0\% | Same as Engineer II - Development Review |
| Combination Inspector | 50 | \$ 6,857 | +3.7\% | 50 | \$6,857 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Code Enforcement Officer | 46 | \$ 6,209 | -2.1\% | 47 | \$6,372 | 2.6\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Permit Services Manager | 59 | \$ 8,567 |  | 60 | \$8,779 | 2.5\% | Approx. 15\% above Senior Planner |
| Permit Technician | 38 | \$ 5,096 |  | 40 | \$5,356 | 5.1\% | Approx. 5\% above Administrative Assistant II |
| Planning Manager | 59 | \$ 8,567 |  | 60 | \$8,779 | 2.5\% | Approx. 15\% above Senior Planner |
| Senior Planner | 51 | \$ 7,027 | -8.7\% | 54 | \$7,569 | 7.7\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Associate Planner | 47 | \$ 6,371 | -1.6\% | 48 | \$6,526 | 2.4\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Assistant Planner | 43 | \$ 5,769 |  | 44 | \$5,912 | 2.5\% | Approx. 10\% below Associate Planner |
| Public Works Director | 74 | \$ 12,407 | -2.9\% | 75 | \$12,719 | 2.5\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| City Engineer | 71 | \$ 11,520 |  | 69 | \$10,965 | -4.8\% | Approx. 10\% above Engineering Manager |
| Engineering Manager | 65 | \$ 9,933 | +4.2\% | 65 | \$9,934 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Engineer II - Capital Projects | 59 | \$ 8,567 | -0.5\% | 59 | \$8,568 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Engineer I - Capital Projects | 55 | \$ 7,758 |  | 55 | \$7,758 | 0.0\% | Approx. 10\% below Engineer II - Capital Projects |
| Engineer II - Development Review | 59 | \$ 8,567 |  | 59 | \$8,568 | 0.0\% | Same as Engineer II - Capital Projects |
| Engineer I - Development Review | 52 | \$ 7,207 |  | 55 | \$7,758 | 7.7\% | Approx. 10\% below Engineer II - Development Review |
| Engineer II - Surface Water | 59 | \$ 8,567 |  | 59 | \$8,568 | 0.0\% | Same as Engineer II - Capital Projects |
| Engineer I - Surface Water | 56 | \$ 7,956 |  | 55 | \$7,758 | -2.5\% | Approx. 10\% below Engineer II - Surface Water |
| Engineering Technician | 40 | \$ 5,356 | -11.6\% | 44 | \$5,912 | 10.4\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| SCS* - Same as Current Salary |  |  |  | 33 |  |  | Print Date: 12/7/2 |

## City of Shoreline

Salary Recommendations
Benchmark Classes set to Market Median (50th Percentile)
Same as current salary if less than $5.0 \%$ above market

| Classification Job Title | Range | Current Range Max | Market Deviation | Recomm. Range | Recomm. Max. | Percent Change | Internal Alignment/Salary Setting Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Inspection Supervisor | 53 | \$ 7,387 | +1.0\% | 53 | \$7,387 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Construction Inspector | 47 | \$ 6,372 | +1.0\% | 47 | \$6,372 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Utility \& Operations Manager | 71 | \$ 11,520 |  | 67 | \$10,440 | -9.4\% | Approx. 10\% above SW Utility \& Environmental Svcs Manager |
| PW Maintenance Superintendent | 54 | \$ 7,569 | +3.9\% | 54 | \$7,569 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Senior PW Maintenance Worker | 44 | \$ 5,912 |  | 46 | \$6,209 | 5.0\% | Approx. 15\% above PW Maintenance Worker II |
| PW Maintenance Worker II | 39 | \$ 5,224 |  | 40 | \$5,356 | 2.5\% | Same as Parks Maintenance Worker II |
| PW Maintenance Worker I | 34 | \$ 4,619 |  | 36 | \$4,855 | 5.1\% | Approx. 10\% below PW Maintenance Worker II |
| SW Utility \& Environmental Svcs Manager | 63 | \$ 9,454 | +0.3\% | 63 | \$9,454 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market; SCS* |
| Environmental Services Analyst | 43 | \$ 5,770 |  | 50 | \$6,857 | 18.8\% | Same as Management Analyst |
| Environmental Program Specialist | 39 | \$ 5,224 |  | 42 | \$5,628 | 7.7\% | Approx. 20\% below Environmental Services Analyst |
| Utility Operations Specialist | 44 | \$ 5,912 |  | 50 | \$6,857 | 16.0\% | Approx. 15\% above Engineering Technician |
| Surface Water Quality Specialist | 41 | \$ 5,491 | -11.9\% | 46 | \$6,209 | 13.1\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| City Traffic Engineer | 63 | \$ 9,454 | -0.1\% | 63 | \$9,454 | 0.0\% | Benchmark; set to market |
| Engineer II - Traffic | 59 | \$ 8,567 |  | 59 | \$8,568 | 0.0\% | Same as Engineer II - Capital Projects |
| Engineer I - Traffic | 56 | \$ 7,956 |  | 55 | \$7,758 | -2.5\% | Approx. 10\% below Engineer II - Traffic |
| Transportation Services Manager | 65 | \$ 9,933 |  | 65 | \$9,934 | 0.0\% | Same as Engineering Manager |
| Transportation Specialist | 35 | \$ 4,732 |  | 42 | \$5,628 | 18.9\% | Approx. 5\% below Engineering Technician |

APPENDIX B
SALARY RANGE TABLE

Attachment B

City of Shoreline
Range Placement Table
2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps

| June '14 cpi-U | 247.642 |
| :--- | :---: |
| June '15 cpi-U | $\underline{251.622}$ |
| \% Change | $1.61 \%$ |
| $90 \%$ of \% Change: | $1.45 \%$ | \% Change $\quad 1.61 \%$ $1.45 \%$

Mkt Adj: 1.45\% Effective: January 1, 201€

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calk

| Range | Title | FLSA Status | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min } \\ \text { Step } 1 \end{gathered}$ | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { Step } 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 9.83 20,449 | 10.22 21,267 | 10.63 22,117 | 11.06 23,002 | $\begin{array}{r} 11.50 \\ 23,922 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.96 \\ 24,879 \end{array}$ |
| 2 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10.08 \\ 20,960 \end{array}$ | 10.48 21,798 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.90 \\ 22,670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.34 \\ 23,577 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.79 \\ 24,520 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.26 \\ 25,501 \end{array}$ |
| 3 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10.33 \\ 21,484 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.74 \\ 22,343 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.17 \\ 23,237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.62 \\ 24,166 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.08 \\ 25,133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.57 \\ 26,138 \end{array}$ |
| 4 |  |  | 10.59 22,021 | 11.01 22,902 | 11.45 23,818 | 11.91 24,770 | 12.39 25,761 | $\begin{array}{r} 12.88 \\ 26,792 \end{array}$ |
| 5 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10.85 \\ 22,571 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.29 \\ 23,474 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.74 \\ 24,413 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.21 \\ 25,390 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.69 \\ 26,405 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.20 \\ 27,462 \end{array}$ |
| 6 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.12 \\ 23,136 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11.57 \\ 24,061 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.03 \\ 25,024 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.51 \\ 26,024 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.01 \\ 27,065 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.53 \\ 28,148 \end{array}$ |
| 7 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.40 \\ 23,714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11.86 \\ 24,663 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.33 \\ 25,649 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.82 \\ 26,675 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.34 \\ 27,742 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.87 \\ 28,852 \end{array}$ |
| 8 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.69 \\ 24,307 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.15 \\ 25,279 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.64 \\ 26,290 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.15 \\ 27,342 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.67 \\ 28,436 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.22 \\ 29,573 \end{array}$ |
| 9 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.98 \\ 24,915 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.46 \\ 25,911 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 12.96 \\ 26,948 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.47 \\ 28,026 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.01 \\ 29,147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.57 \\ 30,312 \end{array}$ |
| 10 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 12.28 \\ 25,537 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 12.77 \\ 26,559 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.28 \\ 27,621 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.81 \\ 28,726 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.36 \\ 29,875 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.94 \\ 31,070 \end{array}$ |
| 11 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12.58 \\ 26,176 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.09 \\ 27,223 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.61 \\ 28,312 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.16 \\ 29,444 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 14.72 \\ 30,622 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.31 \\ 31,847 \end{array}$ |
| 12 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12.90 \\ 26,830 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.42 \\ 27,904 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.95 \\ 29,020 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.51 \\ 30,180 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.09 \\ 31,388 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.69 \\ 32,643 \end{array}$ |
| 13 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 13.22 \\ 27,501 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.75 \\ 28,601 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.30 \\ 29,745 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.87 \\ 30,935 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.47 \\ 32,172 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.09 \\ 33,459 \end{array}$ |
| 14 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 13.55 \\ 28,189 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.09 \\ 29,316 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.66 \\ 30,489 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.24 \\ 31,708 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,85 \\ 32,977 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.49 \\ 34,296 \end{array}$ |
| 15 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 13.89 \\ 28,893 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.45 \\ 30,049 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.02 \\ 31,251 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15,63 \\ 32,501 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.25 \\ 33,801 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.90 \\ 35,153 \end{array}$ |
| 16 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14.24 \\ 29,616 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 14.81 \\ 30,800 \end{array}$ | 15.40 32,032 | 16.02 33,314 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16.66 \\ 34,646 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.32 \\ 36,032 \end{array}$ |
| 17 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14.59 \\ 30,356 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.18 \\ 31,570 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.79 \\ 32,833 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.42 \\ 34,146 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.07 \\ 35,512 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.76 \\ 36,933 \end{array}$ |
| 18 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 14.96 \\ 31,115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.56 \\ 32,360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16.18 \\ 33,654 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 16.83 \\ 35,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.50 \\ 36,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.20 \\ 37,856 \end{array}$ |
| 19 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15.33 \\ 31,893 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.95 \\ 33,168 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.58 \\ 34,495 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.25 \\ 35,875 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.94 \\ 37,310 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.66 \\ 38,802 \end{array}$ |
| 20 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15.72 \\ 32,690 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.35 \\ 33,998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.00 \\ 35,358 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.68 \\ 36,772 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.39 \\ 38,243 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.12 \\ 39,773 \end{array}$ |
| 21 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16.11 \\ 33,507 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.75 \\ 34,848 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.42 \\ 36,242 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.12 \\ 37,691 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18,85 \\ 39,199 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.60 \\ 40,767 \end{array}$ |

Attachment B

City of Shoreline
Range Placement Table
2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps

| June '14 cpi-U | 247.642 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '15 cpi-U | $\underline{251.622}$ |
| \% Change | $1.61 \%$ |
| $90 \%$ of $\%$ Change: | $1.45 \%$ |

47.642
$\frac{51.622}{1.61 \%}$
$1.45 \%$

Mkt Adj: 1.45\%
Effective: January 1, 201€

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calk

| Range | Title | FLSA Status | Min <br> Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Max <br> Step 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16.51 \\ 34,345 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.17 \\ 35,719 \end{array}$ | 17.86 37,148 | $\begin{array}{r} 18.57 \\ 38,634 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.32 \\ 40,179 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.09 \\ 41,786 \end{array}$ |
| 23 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16.92 \\ 35,204 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.60 \\ 36,612 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.31 \\ 38,076 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.04 \\ 39,599 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.80 \\ 41,183 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 20.59 \\ 42,831 \end{array}$ |
| 24 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 17.35 \\ 36,084 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.04 \\ 37,527 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.76 \\ 39,028 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.51 \\ 40,589 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.29 \\ 42,213 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.11 \\ 43,901 \end{array}$ |
| 25 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 17.78 \\ 36,986 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.49 \\ 38,465 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.23 \\ 40,004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.00 \\ 41,604 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.80 \\ 43,268 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.63 \\ 44,999 \end{array}$ |
| 26 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 18.23 \\ 37,911 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.96 \\ 39,427 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.71 \\ 41,004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.50 \\ 42,644 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.32 \\ 44,350 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.17 \\ 46,124 \end{array}$ |
| 27 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 18.68 \\ 38,858 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.43 \\ 40,413 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.21 \\ 42,029 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.01 \\ 43,710 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.86 \\ 45,459 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.73 \\ 47,277 \end{array}$ |
| 28 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 19.15 \\ 39,830 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.91 \\ 41,423 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.71 \\ 43,080 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.54 \\ 44,803 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 22.40 \\ 46,595 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 23.30 \\ 48,459 \end{array}$ |
| 29 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 19.63 \\ 40,825 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.41 \\ 42,458 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.23 \\ 44,157 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.08 \\ 45,923 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.96 \\ 47,760 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.88 \\ 49,670 \end{array}$ |
| 30 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 20.12 \\ 41,846 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.92 \\ 43,520 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.76 \\ 45,261 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.63 \\ 47,071 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.54 \\ 48,954 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.48 \\ 50,912 \end{array}$ |
| 31 | Senior Lifeguard | Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 20.62 \\ 42,892 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 21.45 \\ 44,608 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.30 \\ 46,392 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.20 \\ 48,248 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.12 \\ 50,178 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.09 \\ 52,185 \end{array}$ |
| 32 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 21.14 \\ 43,965 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.98 \\ 45,723 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.86 \\ 47,552 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.78 \\ 49,454 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.73 \\ 51,432 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.72 \\ 53,490 \end{array}$ |
| 33 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 21.67 \\ 45,064 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.53 \\ 46,866 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.43 \\ 48,741 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.37 \\ 50,691 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.35 \\ 52,718 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.36 \\ 54,827 \end{array}$ |
| 34 | Administrative Assistant I | Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 22.21 \\ 46,190 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.10 \\ 48,038 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.02 \\ 49,959 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.98 \\ 51,958 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 25.98 \\ 54,036 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.02 \\ 56,198 \end{array}$ |
| 35 |  | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 22.76 \\ 47,345 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.67 \\ 49,239 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.62 \\ 51,208 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.60 \\ 53,257 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.63 \\ 55,387 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.69 \\ 57,602 \end{array}$ |
| 36 | Parks Maintenance Worker I PW Maintenance Worker I |  | $\begin{array}{r} 23.33 \\ 48,529 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.26 \\ 50,470 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.23 \\ 52,489 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.24 \\ 54,588 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.29 \\ 56,772 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.39 \\ 59,043 \end{array}$ |
| 37 | Finance Technician Recreation Specialist I | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 23.91 \\ 49,742 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24.87 \\ 51,732 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.87 \\ 53,801 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.90 \\ 55,953 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.98 \\ 58,191 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.10 \\ 60,519 \end{array}$ |
| 38 | Administrative Assistant II Facilities Maintenance Worker I | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 24.51 \\ 50,985 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.49 \\ 53,025 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.51 \\ 55,146 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.57 \\ 57,352 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.68 \\ 59,646 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.82 \\ 62,032 \end{array}$ |
| 39 |  | Non-Exempt, Hourly Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} 25.13 \\ 52,260 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.13 \\ 54,350 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.18 \\ 56,524 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.26 \\ 58,785 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.39 \\ 61,137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.57 \\ 63,582 \end{array}$ |
| 40 | Parks Maintenance Worker II <br> Permit Technician <br> PW Maintenance Worker II | Non-Exempt, Hourly <br> Non-Exempt, Hourly <br> Non-Exempt, Hourly | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 25.75 \\ 53,567 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 26.78 \\ 55,709 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 27.85 \\ 57,938 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 28.97 \\ 60,255 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 30.13 \\ 62,665 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 31.33 \\ 65,172 \end{array}$ |
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Attachment B

City of Shoreline
Range Placement Table
2.5\% Between Ranges; 4\% Between Steps

| June '14 cpi-U | 247.642 |
| :--- | ---: |
| June '15 cpi-U | $\underline{251.622}$ |
| \% Change | $1.61 \%$ |
| $90 \%$ of $\%$ Change: | $1.45 \%$ |

Mkt Adj: 1.45\%
Effective: January 1, 201€

The hourly rates represented here have been rounded to 2 decimal points and annual rates to the nearest dollar. Pay is calculated using 5 decimal points for accuracy and rounded after calk

| Range | Title | FLSA Status | Min Step 1 | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Max <br> Step 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | Information Technology Manager | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 48.94 \\ 101,792 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.90 \\ 105,864 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.93 \\ 110,099 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.05 \\ 114,502 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.25 \\ 119,083 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.54 \\ 123,846 \end{array}$ |
| 67 | Utility \& Operations Manager | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 50.16 \\ 104,337 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52.17 \\ 108,511 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 54.26 \\ 112,851 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.43 \\ 117,365 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.68 \\ 122,060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.03 \\ 126,942 \end{array}$ |
| 68 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 51.42 \\ 106,945 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53.47 \\ 111,223 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.61 \\ 115,672 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.84 \\ 120,299 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.15 \\ 125,111 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.56 \\ 130,116 \end{array}$ |
| 69 | City Engineer | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 52.70 \\ 109,619 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 54.81 \\ 114,004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 57.00 \\ 118,564 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 59.28 \\ 123,307 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 61.65 \\ 128,239 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.12 \\ 133,368 \end{array}$ |
| 70 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 54.02 \\ 112,360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.18 \\ 116,854 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.43 \\ 121,528 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.76 \\ 126,389 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.19 \\ 131,445 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.72 \\ 136,703 \end{array}$ |
| 71 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 55.37 \\ 115,169 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.58 \\ 119,775 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 59.89 \\ 124,566 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.28 \\ 129,549 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.77 \\ 134,731 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 67.37 \\ 140,120 \end{array}$ |
| 72 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 56.75 \\ 118,048 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 59.02 \\ 122,770 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 61.38 \\ 127,681 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 63.84 \\ 132,788 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 66.39 \\ 138,099 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 69.05 \\ 143,623 \end{array}$ |
| 73 | Human Resource Director | EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 58.17 \\ 120,999 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 60.50 \\ 125,839 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 62.92 \\ 130,873 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 65.44 \\ 136,107 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 68.05 \\ 141,552 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.78 \\ 147,214 \end{array}$ |
| 74 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 59.63 \\ 124,024 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.01 \\ 128,985 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.49 \\ 134,144 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.07 \\ 139,510 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.76 \\ 145,091 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 72.55 \\ 150,894 \end{array}$ |
| 75 | Administrative Services Director <br> Parks, Rec \& Cultural Svcs Director <br> Planning \& Community Development Director <br> Public Works Director | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 61.12 \\ 127,125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.56 \\ 132,210 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.10 \\ 137,498 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68.75 \\ 142,998 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.50 \\ 148,718 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 74.36 \\ 154,667 \end{array}$ |
| 76 | Assistant City Manager City Attorney | EXEMPT, Annual EXEMPT, Annual | $\begin{array}{r} 62.65 \\ 130,303 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.15 \\ 135,515 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.76 \\ 140,935 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 70.47 \\ 146,573 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.29 \\ 152,436 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76.22 \\ 158,533 \end{array}$ |

## City of Shoreline

## 2015 Compensation Study

Close Out Meeting

## Goals of the Compensation Study

- Ensure the City has the ability to attract and retain well-qualified personnel for all job classes
- Ensure the City's compensation practices are competitive with those of comparable public sector employers
- Provide defensibility to City salary ranges based on the pay practices of similar employers
- Ensure pay consistency and equity among related classes based on the duties and responsibilities assumed
- Ensure that the City's compensation policies and long-term financial sustainability plan/goals are coordinated


## Foundation for the Compensation Plaatn ${ }^{\text {menc }}$

- Job Analysis Questionnaires
- Labor market defined, 13 comparable cities
- Median data at top step
- 43 benchmark job classifications
- Internal relationship analysis


## 13 Comparable Cities:

| Bellevue | Lynnwood |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bothell | Marysville |
| Burien | Redmond |
| Edmonds | Renton |
| Everett | Sammamish |
| Kenmore | Seattle |
| Kirkland |  |

- Clearly understood jobs that comparable cities employ that have reliable and available data.
- Tied to market data and are points of comparison for non-benchmark jobs.


## 43 Benchmarks Classifications Used ${ }^{\text {Altacmmentc }}$

| Administrative Assistant III | Communications Program <br> Manager | Human Resources <br> Technician | PRCS Supervisor I - Recreation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assistant City Attorney | Construction Inspection <br> Supervisor | Information Technology <br> Manager | Public Works Director |
| Assistant City Manager | Construction Inspector | IT Specialist | PW Maintenance Superintendent |
| Budget Analyst | Deputy City Clerk | Legal Assistant | Recreation Specialist II |
| Building Official | Engineer II - Capital Projects | Neighborhoods Coordinator | Recreation Superintendent |
| Central Services Manager | Engineering Manager | Network Administrator | Senior Human Resources Analyst |
| City Attorney | Engineering Technician | Parks Maintenance Worker <br> II | Staff Accountant |
| City Clerk | Executive Assist to City <br> Manager | Parks Project Coordinator | Surface Water Quality Specialist |
| City Traffic Engineer | Facilities Maintenance | Planners - Associate <br> Planner | SW Utility \& Environmental Svcs Mgr |
| Worker II | Planners - Senior Planner | Web Developer |  |
| Combination Inspector | Finance Technician | Plans Examiner II |  |

## Market Summary - 43 Benchmark Jobs



## Market Summary - 43 Benchmarks; New Ranges



# Benchmark Positions Are Linked to Nommenc Benchmark Positions 

|  | Market Benchmark | Links |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Administrative Assistant III | Communication Specialist, Same as Administrative Assistant III |
|  |  | Administrative Assistant II, 10\% below Administrative Assistant III |
|  |  | Administrative Assistant I, 10\% below Administrative Assistant II |
|  |  | Permit Technician, 5\% above Administrative Assistant II |
|  | Budget Analyst | Budget Supervisor, 15\% above Budget Analyst |
|  |  | CMO Management Analyst, 10\% above Budget Analyst |
|  |  | Grants Administrator, 10\% above Budget Analyst |
|  |  | Senior Management Analyst, 10\% above Management Analyst |
|  |  | Management Analyst, Same as Budget Analyst |
| 6) |  | Emergency Management Coordinator, Same as Management Analyst |
| ciror |  | Environmental Services Analyst, Same as Management Analyst |
| SHORELINE |  | Environmental Prggram Specialist, 20\% below Environmental Services Analyst |

Attachment C

## Outcome:

- 33 job titles were changed or slightly modified
- 74 positions went up
- 5 positions went down


## The Final Consultant Report - Table ouffimenc Contents

- Project Overview
- Methodologies
- Compensation Findings and Recommendations
- Salary Range Recommendations
- Salary Range Table


## Market Maintenance Going Forward

- Survey $1 / 3$ of the benchmark positions each year for 2 full cycles ( 6 years)
- Use the same 13 comparable cities
- Use the same benchmark and linking logic
- +/- 5\% of the median data is required for a change
- After 2 full cycles (the $7^{\text {th }}$ year), hire a consultant to study Shoreline's competitiveness in the market place


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ralph Andersen and Associates has provided human resource consulting services since 1972. Their firm has a strong focus on serving public sector clients, and they have expertise conducting compensation and classification studies for cities across the country.

