# CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM

CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON

AGENDA TITLE: Apprenticeship Utilization Program

**DEPARTMENT:** City Manager's Office

PRESENTED BY: Eric Bratton, Management Analyst

### PROBLEM/ISSUE STATEMENT

Several Councilmembers have asked for the attached resolution (Attachment A) regarding apprenticeship utilization requirements (AURs) for public works projects to be placed before the full Council for discussion and possible adoption. Apprenticeship training programs are an important part of workforce development in Washington State, enabling individuals to gain work experience while learning a specialized skill. In order to encourage the hiring of apprentices, thus allowing them to gain valuable work experience, starting in the early 1990s, some public entities in Washington began requiring contractors and subcontractors to use apprentices on public works projects above a certain dollar amount.

The proposed resolution would require contracts for City of Shoreline public works projects that exceed \$500,000 to include AURs requiring no less than 15% of the total labor hours per trade to be performed by apprentices in those trades. The resolution also establishes goals for women and minority apprentices.

### RECOMMENDATION

No action is required at this time. Staff believes that the increase in administrative costs and staff time associated with implementing an AUR program here in Shoreline, and the potential increase in project costs and loss of bids from small and mid size bidders outweigh any benefits and does not recommend Council pursue an AUR program in Shoreline. However, if Council wishes to proceed with an AURs program, staff recommends making a number of changes to the proposed resolution to help mitigate adverse impacts.

Approved By: City Manager \_\_\_\_ City Attorney \_\_\_

#### BACKGROUND

Apprenticeship training programs provide individuals with the opportunity to learn specialized skills in a trade while earning a living. The training programs are sponsored jointly and separately by unions, individual employers and/or employer associations. Sponsors plan, administer, and pay for the program.

Apprenticeship training programs start with the formation of a committee made up of both business owners and workers. The committee develops a program that must then be approved by the Washington State Apprenticeship and Training Council (WSATC). The committee's proposal must outline the curriculum, the wages for apprentices, eligibility requirements, supervision methods, equal opportunity procedures and the number of apprentices that will be accepted into the program.

Once a program is approved and registered with WSATC it can start accepting apprentices. If it is an employer sponsored program, then the apprentices will work for the employer. If it is not an employer sponsored program, then employers wishing to hire apprentices will become training agents. Training agents provide employment opportunities, but the apprenticeship program provides the training. Training agents will often need to pay administrative costs to the apprenticeship program. The vast majority of state approved apprenticeship-training programs are sponsored by unions and are utilized by union contractors; however, programs must be open to both union and non-union contractors and apprentices.

In the first quarter of this year, there were 12,432 active apprentices in Washington State of which 22% were minorities and 11% were female. These apprentices participated in over 250 different training programs covering over 550 different occupations. In King and Snohomish Counties there are approximately 100 apprenticeship training programs covering over 500 occupations.

#### **Public Entities With AURs for Public Works Projects**

In 1993, the Port of Seattle became the first public entity in Washington to implement AURs for its public works projects. It was followed by the City of Seattle in 1995, King County in 1997 and Sound Transit in 1999. In 2000, Governor Locke issued an executive order requiring that 10% of the total labor hours on state public works projects over \$2 million be performed by apprentices.

The Legislature passed Substitute Senate Bill 5097 in 2005 setting into statute Governor Locke's executive order. Washington became the first state in the country to statutorily require apprenticeship utilization on public works projects. Under the new law, all State public works projects, excluding WSDOT projects, over \$1 million would require no less than 15% of the labor hours to be performed by apprentices. Since then, the state AURs have expanded to include WSDOT programs over \$2 million, all school district projects over \$1 million and by 2012 all projects by four-year institutions of higher education over \$1 million.

A number of public entities around the state have followed the lead of governments in King County and the State and implemented AURs for their public works projects. The attached table (Attachment B) shows some of the public entities around the State that

have implemented AURs and explains a little about their programs. Having AURs for public works projects is still the exception rather than the norm throughout the State. While there are a few cities smaller than Shoreline that have AURs, the large majority of entities that have AURs are large cities (Seattle, Tacoma, and Vancouver), counties, ports and the State. These public entities have large public works projects and the resources needed to effectively implement an AUR program. They have also set higher thresholds for when AURs would be required, with most setting them at \$1 million.

#### DISCUSSION

### **Proposed Resolution**

The proposed resolution (Attachment A) calls for the City to implement an apprenticeship utilization program for certain public works projects. The objective is to increase the number of skilled laborers in the workforce through proven training methods. Below is an explanation of the 3 main sections of the resolution.

Section 1. Apprenticeship Utilization Program.

All public works projects with estimated costs exceeding \$500,000 will require fifteen percent (15%) of the labor hours per trade to be performed by apprentices enrolled in State-approved apprenticeship-training programs. Labor hours means the total hours of workers receiving an hourly wage and that are directly employed on the project by contractors and subcontractors. It does not include hours worked by foremen, superintendents, owners and workers not subject to prevailing wage requirements.

Included in the proposed resolution is a goal of having 21% of the apprentice hours being performed by minorities and 20% by women. This is a goal, not a requirement, which would be illegal after passage of I-200 in 1998.

Under the proposed resolution, contractors would be required to use apprentices to perform at least 15% of the labor hours *per trade*. This means that for every trade involved in a project, whether used by a contractor or a subcontractor, 15% of the labor hours for that trade must be performed by an apprentice in that trade.

# Section 2. Compliance and Enforcement

The resolution also requires contractors to show compliance before they are even considered for a contract. All contractors and subcontractors will be required to submit all relevant documentation showing compliance with the AURs upon request.

If a contractor fails to meet the AURs, it would be considered a breach of contract entitling the City to liquidated damages. In addition, contractors that knowingly fail to comply with AURs or refuse to cooperate with the City in monitoring for compliance with AURs may be disqualified from bidding on future contracts with the City.

# Section 3. Emergencies

The City may waive AURs in the event of an emergency. An emergency is defined as unforeseen circumstances beyond the control of the City that either present an immediate threat to the proper performance of essential functions or will likely result in material loss or damage to property, bodily injury or loss of life if immediate action is not taken.

### **Benefits of Apprenticeship Utilization Programs**

Apprenticeship training programs are valuable workforce development tools that combine on-the-job training with classroom instruction and enable individuals to learn specialized skills while getting paid for their labor. As the current generation of journey level workers begin to retire it is important to have a skilled workforce ready to step in and start working. Apprenticeship training programs provide young people the opportunity to learn valuable skills that will help launch their careers. It is essential for state and local economies to have a ready trained workforce to compete in a global economy.

For many firms, hiring apprentices can provide real benefits. For one, apprentices are paid a lower wage rate than journey level workers. Any extra costs that might be incurred by a contractor that starts its own apprenticeship training program or becomes a training agent can be recouped through the payment of lower wages. Firms that help train apprentices will also have a ready supply of skilled labor that understands their operations.

Washington State has been a leader when it comes to developing strong apprenticeship training programs. Over the past several years, there has been a significant increase in the number of individuals participating in apprenticeship training programs. Between January 2005 and January 2009 there was a 75% increase in the number of active apprentices in the state. This can most likely be attributed to the State requiring AURs in most of its public works contracts, including WSDOT projects, and in all school district construction projects. Due to the recession, there has been a decrease in the number of active apprentices, but the numbers are still very high compared to what they were before 2005.

Minorities and women are often underrepresented in many of the trades currently covered by apprenticeship training programs. Setting goals for the number of labor hours performed by minority and women apprentices is an important way of drawing attention to the need to close this gap. There have been mixed results with the goals for minorities and women set by public entities in King County. According to L&I statistics, apprenticeship training programs in King County have met or exceeded the goals for minorities. However, there are still few women entering the trades. meeting utilization goals for women has fallen short.

Most of the public entities that staff spoke with that have AURs have had positive results in implementing their programs. AURs, particularly the State's, have proven to be an effective way of increasing the number of apprentices entering apprenticeship training programs.

### Possible Negative Impacts

While apprenticeship training programs provide a benefit to individuals trying to learn new, specialized skills, and are an essential part of workforce development in the region, staff are concerned that for a city the size of Shoreline AURs may have adverse impacts on its ability to attract bids and could increase administrative costs. Because of the types of capital projects the City has had over the past several years, and will have into the foreseeable future, AURs may have a negative impact on the City's ability to

attract bids, decrease the competitiveness of bids and increase project costs. It would also increase costs for staff administration of projects, particularly if the resolution remains as drafted.

Currently, the City's budget is tight and staff are stretched thin. Implementation of an apprenticeship utilization program will require staff time and money to review and revise its bidder responsibility criteria and the process for awarding contracts once implemented. Staff will then need to verify that each bidder on a project that has AURs has a plan for ensuring compliance before accepting bids. This would add time and resources to the bid/award process.

Once a contractor is selected, staff will need to monitor the contractor's and all subcontractors' payroll to ensure compliance. Currently, the City does not track this type of information except for projects with federal funding requirements. On those projects, reviewing documents for compliance is labor intensive and requires a significant amount of staff time. Tracking and reporting the labor hours per trade and tracking goals established for minority and women apprentices will require an even deeper analysis of payroll again adding to staff workloads.

Another problem with implementing our own apprentice utilization program is that the proposed goals and requirements may not be in alignment with goals and requirements of funding partners, possibly requiring duplicative efforts in tracking and reporting. Some funding partners will not reimburse costs associated with apprenticeship training programs. Trying to separate and/or define the additional costs associated with a training program could be difficult.

The City will be subject to audit costs related to the AUR policy. Annually the State Auditor's Office audits compliance with federal and state laws and City policy. This will be another policy in which staff will be expected to provide adequate documentation to the auditor to determine compliance with City policy.

Apprenticeship utilization programs have worked well for larger public entities, such as the State, King County, the Port of Seattle, and the City of Seattle because they are large enough to absorb the costs of additional staff and resources needed to implement such a program. They have also created programs that are flexible, enabling the requirements for individual projects to be modified to increase bids and make the project more feasible. Finally, the contractors that work on the large projects that meet the AURs are able to absorb the costs associated with implementation of apprentice utilization programs.

The administrative costs to the City that would be associated with implementation of an apprenticeship utilization program in Shoreline, along with the potential loss of bids or the increase project costs, outweigh the limited benefits that would be gained by the apprentice hours that would be worked on the City's public works projects.

### **Recommended Changes to the Resolution**

If Council wishes to pursue implementation of AURs on some of the City's public works projects, staff recommends making the following changes to the proposed resolution to help mitigate possible adverse impacts.

1. Provide Public Works Director with More Discretion in Implementation
All of the public entities with AURs that staff reviewed allow more flexibility in implementation. If the AURs cannot be met for a variety of defined reasons, such as insufficient number of apprentices in the geographic area or trade to fulfill requirements, the director or project administrator in these public entities has the discretion to modify the requirements or exempt projects from the requirements altogether. The proposed resolution only allows for exemptions if there is an emergency. The Public Works Director should be granted broader authority to modify AURs or exempt certain projects from AURs altogether if it is deemed necessary in order to complete a project in the most cost efficient manner possible.

Some reasons used in other jurisdictions for exceptions and waivers include:

- Contractor used best efforts to meet requirements;
- Disproportionately high ratio of material and equipment costs compared to anticipated labor hours;
- Contract requirements make AURs infeasible;
- Insufficient number of apprentices in geographic area or trade;
- Small size of workforce for project makes compliance impractical;
- To meet requirements, contractor forced to displace part of its workforce;
- · Exempt federally funded projects from AURs; and
- Director's discretion.

Limiting waiver of AURs to just emergencies could prove to be extremely restrictive and could make effective implementation of the program very difficult, especially if it prevents some capital projects from ever being started.

2. Change Requirement from Total Hours Per Trade to Total Project Hours
Applying AURs to each trade would place a significant burden on contractors and staff.
The only AUR policy reviewed by staff that has a per trade requirement is the City of
Longview, which just moved to such a requirement during the fourth quarter of last year
and has only had one project since then that has AURs associated with it. It has been
unable to assess the full impacts of such a requirement. All other AUR policies reviewed
by staff require AURs to be based on total project hours. Requiring AURs to be based
on hours worked per trade would place a greater administrative burden on the
contractor and the City in ensuring compliance. In addition, its is not even feasible to
meet such a requirement for a number of different trades due to the small number of
training programs and apprentices available. Managers of Seattle's apprenticeship
utilization program believe that such a requirement would be very difficult to reach on
their projects and felt that imposing AURs on total project hours was more realistic.

There are two primary concerns with having AURs for each trade. First, some trades have extensive apprenticeship training programs and could easily meet AURs on certain projects. However, other trades have very few training programs associated with them or none at all. For example, there is only one approved landscaping program in the entire state. Looking back over the past six years, many of our capital projects were

parks related and involved extensive landscaping work. Imposing a 15% requirement per trade on our past parks projects would have been infeasible.

Second, even with a \$500,000 contract, several of the subcontracts could be for less than \$50,000 yet the subcontractors would still be required to use apprentices for each trade even where a job only requires the services of a single journey-level worker in a particular trade to complete. As an example, let's say a paving contractor had a \$50,000 subcontract in a \$650,000 project. The contractor's work requires one laborer and one equipment operator. If the contractor doesn't have any apprentices already, it will need to become a training agent for both the laborer and the equipment operator and pay the fees associated with each program. It will then need to hire two additional workers making a two person job a four person job. This would be a significant burden for the subcontractor.

AURs should be based on total project hours. This would allow the utilization requirements to be spread throughout the project and those trades that have an extensive number of training programs could exceed the 15% threshold while those that do not have extensive programs and could not meet the requirements could provide less.

#### 3. Lower Percentage of Labor Hours Requirement

Because many trades have a limited a number of apprenticeship training programs associated with them, some public works projects are better able to meet AURs than others. For trades involved in facility construction, such as electricians, plumbers and carpenters, there are many apprenticeship training programs and it could be relatively easy to meet a 15% threshold. Other projects, however, might have few if any apprenticeship training programs available for the trades involved, such as parks and storm water projects. As stated earlier, currently, there is only one landscaping apprentice training program in the entire state.

Staff recommends lowering or eliminating the percentage requirement depending on the project type. For facilities construction it could remain at 15%. For roads projects it could be reduced to 10% or 5%, and for parks projects it could be eliminated altogether.

### 4. Raise Project Dollar Amount to \$1 Million

A \$500,000 project might be a small project for a big firm that can absorb the costs of an apprenticeship training program. However, a \$500,000 project might be a very large project for a small firm that would have trouble absorbing the additional costs. The City often receives bids from small firms for projects between \$500,000 and \$1,000,000. Imposing AURs on these contracts could discourage smaller firms from bidding on projects.

Starting its own apprenticeship training program, especially for each trade, would be prohibitively high. These firms could become training agents and use apprentices from already established programs, but, again, if there is a utilization requirement per trade, then these small firms would have to hire and pay fees for a multitude of apprentices. This also might require them to let go of some of their regular workers in order to hire all of the necessary apprentices in each trade. In addition, during these hard economic times when there are fewer funded projects, many contractors want to keep their long-

term, experienced workers on the job rather than let them go in order to utilize apprentices or trainees.

Costs for a contractor to participate in an apprenticeship training program vary program to program and trade to trade; however, there will be some costs associated with participation. Proponents of AURs argue that any costs incurred will be offset by savings from using an apprentice at a lower wage than a journey level worker. For large contractors on large projects, there may very well be savings. However, a small or mid size firm may have to hire additional workers in order to meet the AURs, raising their costs and the City's costs. For example, if a small firm is contracted to do just a small part of a project and it only requires the work of two journey level workers in two trades to do the work in two days, the contractor under the proposed resolution will now need to hire two additional workers (apprentices) to do 15% of the labor for each trade. This would add the cost of the additional laborers, their training fees and the administrative costs to document compliance to the subcontractors and City's overall costs.

By raising the limit to \$1 million there is a better chance that those firms wishing to bid on projects will be better situated to absorb any additional costs associated with an apprenticeship utilization program.

## 5. Staff Monitoring

Ensuring compliance of the requirements could place a significant burden on staff, especially if a 15% requirement applies to each trade. Staff would need to obtain and review all certified payroll from the prime contractor and all subcontractors, verify that apprentices are enrolled in approved apprenticeship training programs and possibly interview individual apprentices to verify their wages and hours worked. These tasks would be very labor intensive.

One possible alternative would be to rely on the apprentice training programs to monitor the use of their apprentices and use the Fair Contracting Foundation (FCF) to help ensure compliance. FCF is a non-profit organization that ensures fairness in public works contracting and compliance with prevailing wage laws. It monitors certified payrolls on public works projects and investigates complaints for non-compliance. It could also monitor AURs periodically and investigate complaints of noncompliance.

If FCF or any other outside organization or individual finds proof of a contractor failing to meet the City's AURs, staff would then conduct a thorough review of the offending contractors' payroll to verify the accusation. However, this would not relieve the City of its fiduciary and legal responsibility to verify compliance with our own purchasing and contracting regulations.

#### 6. Penalties

A liquidated damages clause could drive costs higher as contractors include allowances for such financial penalties in their contracts. Calculating the City's liquidated damages for a failure to meet AURs could also prove difficult.

Adding a liquidated damages clause will also require modifying our standard specifications to make it clear and enforceable. Such modifications may not be approved by WSDOT on federally funded projects. Such projects require Shoreline's

standard specifications to match WSDOT's. Adding AURs that don't match the State's will bring our specifications out of line with WSDOT's and could impact our federally funded projects.

Disbarment from bidding on City contracts for a specified period of time would be an effective penalty that would most likely not add costs to a project as a liquidated damages clause might.

#### **SUMMARY**

While apprenticeship training programs are a valuable workforce development tool and large public entities that have utilized AURs have done so successfully, the potential added costs and staff time required to implement such a program in Shoreline would not be worth the limited benefits that would be gained from the apprentices working on our small number of projects that would meet the \$500,000 threshold.

### RECOMMENDATION

No action is required at this time. Staff believes that the high administrative costs and staff time associated with implementing an AUR program here in Shoreline, and the potential increase in project costs and loss of bids from small and mid size bidders outweigh any benefits and does not recommend Council pursue an AUR program in Shoreline. However, if Council wishes to proceed with an AURs program, staff recommends making a number of changes to the proposed resolution to help mitigate adverse impacts.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

**Attachment A:** Proposed resolution from Councilmembers Eggen, Roberts and Scott **Attachment B:** Table of public entities with AURs

#### DRAFT

WHEREAS, the City of Shoreline is committed to provide regional leadership in the area of economic development; and

WHEREAS, the City of Shoreline is committed to working in partnership with labor, business and the community to create a skilled workforce that reflects the diversity of our city's population; and

WHEREAS, a well trained diverse workforce is critical to the economic and social vitality of the region; and

WHEREAS, businesses report serious difficulties finding new workers with adequate basic and technical skills; and

WHEREAS, the City's public works contracts will provide training and job opportunities as a means to increase the skills of the workforce; and

WHEREAS, the experience of other agencies and jurisdictions in the region has shown that apprenticeship programs are effective in providing training and experience to individuals seeking to enter or advance in the workforce; and

WHEREAS, the City is committed to using training that is accepted industry-wide so that the resulting journey workers can enter the region's pool of skilled labor, fully qualified for the jobs throughout the industry; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes the interest of all contractors and employees to choose an apprenticeship program that meets their needs; and

WHEREAS, the City is committed to promoting apprenticeship opportunities on public works projects and ensuring that all contractors participate in this requirement; Now, therefore

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SHORELINE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. A new Chapter 2.XX is hereby added to the Shoreline Municipal Code as follows:

#### 2.XX.010 Definitions

A. "Apprentice" means an apprentice enrolled in a State-approved apprenticeship-training program.

- B. "Craft" includes, but is not limited to, plumbers, iron workers, electrical workers, bricklayers, carpenters, marble polishers, operating engineers, steamfitters, painters, laborers, sheet metal workers, boilermakers, elevator constructors, asbestos workers, lathers, plasterers and roofers.
- B. "Labor hours" shall mean the total hours of workers receiving an hourly wage who are directly employed on the site of the public works project. "Labor hours" shall include hours performed by workers employed by the contractor and all subcontractors working on the

project. "Labor hours" shall exclude hours worked by foremen, superintendents, owners and workers who are not subject to prevailing wage requirements.

C. "State-approved apprenticeship-training program" means an apprenticeship program approved or recognized by the Washington State Apprenticeship and Training Council.

D. "Public work," as defined in RCW 39.04.010, means a complete project, and includes all work, construction, alteration, repair or improvement other than ordinary maintenance, executed at the cost of the city or which is by law a lien or charge on any city property. Public work projects include the related materials, supplies and equipment to complete the project. Public works include contracts for maintenance of city facilities or real property.

#### 2.XX.020 Apprentice Utilization Program

A. All contracts for public works in which the cost is estimated to exceed five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) or more shall require that apprentices perform no less than fifteen (15) percent of the labor hours per craft. Furthermore, it is the City's intent that, on public works projects with an apprentice utilization requirement, there shall be a goal that twenty-one (21) percent of the apprentice labor hours are performed by minorities and twenty (20) percent of the apprentice labor hours are performed by women.

#### 2.XX.030 Compliance and Enforcement

A. By entering into an agreement to provide services or materials for public works, all firms, corporations and persons subject to the provisions of this chapter agree to submit to the city, upon request, all information, reports and policies relevant to the enforcement of applicable provisions of this chapter. Prime contractors shall incorporate this provision into all subcontracts in which a subcontractor is to perform services on a public work project.

- B. Proof of documentation of compliance, including the apprentice's state registration number, must be submitted no later than the time stated in the City's published specifications for a public work project. In no event will any firm, corporation or person be considered for a contract involving a public work project subject to the requirements of this chapter until such time as the proof or documentation of compliance has been submitted to the City.
- C. The failure by a contractor to comply with the apprentice utilization requirements of this chapter shall be deemed a breach of contract, including liquidated damages. Any knowing failure to comply with the apprentice utilization requirements, or refusal to cooperate in compliance monitoring, may disqualify the defaulting contractor or subcontractor from eligibility for future City of Shoreline contracts.

#### 2.XX.040 Emergencies

This chapter shall not apply in the event of an emergency. For the purposes of this section, "emergency" means unforeseen circumstances beyond the control of the county that either: (a) present an immediate threat to the proper performance of essential functions; or (b) will likely result in material loss or damage to the property, bodily injury or loss of life if immediate action is not taken.

Submitted by Councilmembers Eggen, Roberts and Scott.

To: Jeff

Cc: Robert Olander; Carolyn Wurdeman

Subject: RE: Hey Keith-City Benefits Question

Jeff,

Thank you for your inquiry. I have forwarded this email on to the city manager as I don't know who negotiates for insurance on behalf of the city, although I am going to assume that it would be difficult to compete with the rates we get.

If you have any further questions please forward them to the city manager, Bob Olander.

Sincerely,

Keith

Keith A. McGlashan Mayor City of Shoreline

----Original Message-----

From: Jeff [mailto:jeff@myhealthinsurancewa.com]

Sent: Mon 3/22/2010 3:24 PM

To: Keith McGlashan

Subject: FW: Hey Keith-City Benefits Question

Hi Keith,

Just checking in on this question.

Thanks!

Jeff

Jeff Lindstrom

My Health Insurance of Washington

www.MyHealthInsuranceWa.com

Office: 206-729-6586

Cell: 206-356-1607

# Attachment B

	Contract Threshold	Apprentice Reqs.	Special Targets	Discretion in Implementation	Penalties
Burien	\$1,000,000	15% total	No	Yes.	Unmet hours
		labor hours		*Best efforts	assessment
				*Insufficient apprentices	
			,	*Contract requirements make infeasible	
				*Disproportionately high ratio of material	
				costs to labor hours	
				*Labor goals in conflict with funding	
***************************************				*Reasons deemed appropriate	
Longview	\$250,000	15% to 20%	No	Yes.	Debarred from
		labor hours	•,	*Emergency	working on
				*Disproportionately high ratio of material	Longview projects
				and equipment costs compared to	for two (2) years
			·	anticipated labor hours	( ) ,
				*Conflict with funding programs	
				*Insufficient apprentices	
				*Other situations Director deems	
•				appropriate	
Seattle	\$1,000,000	15% labor	21% minorities	Yes.	None
		hours worked	20% women	Director's discretion	
		in each			
		apprenticeable			
		trade on	·		
		project			

4	
10	

Tacoma	Civil	15% to 20%	25% of	Yes.	Assessment for
	projects	total labor	utilization goal	*Determined infeasible at required levels	unmet hours
	\$250,000	hours must be	must be		
	Building	Tacoma	residents of a		
	projects	residents	Renewal		
	\$750,000	(whether or	Community/Co		
		not	mmunity		
		apprentice) or	Enterprise Zone		
		by apprentice	•		
		residing in		·	
		Pierce County			
Vancouver	\$500,000	15% labor	No	Yes.	Assessment for
		hours		*Insufficient apprentices in area or fields	unmet hours
		·		*Disproportionately high ratio of material	
			·	costs to labor hours	
Hoquiam	\$100,000	10% labor	No	Yes.	Breach of contract
		hours		*Insufficient number of apprentices in area	entitling city to all
				*Small size of workforce for project makes	remedies allowed
				compliance impractical	by law and under
					contract
<b>King County</b>	Project by	15% total	Goals for public	Yes.	Breach of contract
	project	labor hours	works projects	*Best efforts	entitling county to
	determina-		as a whole	*To meet requirement, contractor forced to	all remedies under
	tion by		21% minorities	displace part of their workforce	law and contract.
	program		25% women	*Contract requirements make infeasible	
	adminis-		2% persons w/		
	trator, but		disabilities		
	projects		7%		
	under		economically		,
	\$1,000,000		disadvantaged		
	may be		youth	,	
	exempt				

Snohomish	\$1,000,000	15%	No	Yes.	Breach of contract
County		contracted		*Best efforts	entitling city to all
		labor hours		*To meet requirement contractor forced to	remedies under
·			,	displace members of its workforce	law and contract
				*Contract requirements make infeasible	law and contract
Thurston	\$1,000,000	10% labor	No	Yes.	Breach of contract
County	•	hours		*Emergencies	entitling county to
•				*Best efforts	all remedies under
				*To meet requirement contractor forced to	law and contract
	·			displace members of its workforce	iaw and contract
•				*Contract requirements make infeasible	
				*Inadequate number of apprenctices	
School	\$1,000,000	15% labor	No	Yes.	None
District		hours		*Insufficient apprentices in area	TVOILE
				*Disproportionately high ratio of material	
				costs to labor hours	
				*Best efforts	
				*Other situations Director deems	
				appropriate	
WSDOT	\$2,000,000	15% labor	No	Yes.	None
		hours		*Insufficient apprentices in area	Trone
				*Disproportionately high ratio of material	
				costs to labor hours	
				*Best efforts	
				*Other situations Director deems	
		<u> </u>		appropriate	,
State Public	\$1,000,000	15% labor	No	Yes.	None
Works		hours		*Insufficient apprentices in area	
		,		*Disproportionately high ratio of material	
•				costs to labor hours	
				*Best efforts	
		•	,	*Other situations Director deems	
				appropriate	
SHORELINE	\$500,000	15% labor	21% minorities	Yes.	Liquidated
•		hours per	20% women	Emergencies	Damages and
		craft			disbarment from
					future Shoreline
					contracts

This page intentionally left blank.