

CITY OF SHORELINE
SHORELINE CITY COUNCIL
SUMMARY MINUTES OF BUSINESS MEETING

Monday, January 12, 2015
7:00 p.m.

Council Chambers - Shoreline City Hall
17500 Midvale Avenue North

PRESENT: Mayor Winstead, Deputy Mayor Eggen, Councilmembers McGlashan, Hall, McConnell, Salomon, and Roberts

ABSENT: None

1. CALL TO ORDER

At 7:00 p.m., the meeting was called to order by Mayor Winstead, who presided.

2. FLAG SALUTE/ROLL CALL

Mayor Winstead led the flag salute. Upon roll call by the City Clerk, all Councilmembers were present.

Mayor Winstead read a proclamation declaring January 19, 2015 as Martin Luther King, Jr. Day in the City of Shoreline. Shoreline Youth Ambassadors Jamie Walker, Owen Campbell, Pearl Lam and Allison Chou accepted the proclamation. Waldo Nambo-Ojeda, Advisor, explained that the Ambassadors address teen issues affecting the Shoreline community. The Ambassadors shared what Dr. King's inspirational message of peace means to them and the significance of advancing equality for all races.

3. REPORT OF CITY MANAGER

Debbie Tarry, City Manager, provided reports and updates on various City meetings, projects and events.

4. COUNCIL REPORTS

Councilmember Hall announced the retirement of Chief Executive Officer Mike McCarty of the Association of Washington Cities, and shared that the search is beginning for his replacement.

Deputy Mayor Eggen reported attending a King County Metropolitan Solid Waste Advisory Committee Meeting and discussing the formation of a subcommittee tasked with developing a plan to achieve a 70% recycling rate by 2030.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

Charlotte Haines, Shoreline resident since 1962, spoke about the two light rail stations being proposed at 145th Street and 185th Street. She commented that the subarea plans propose overly aggressive zoning, and getting rid of single family homes. She asked if this is what Shoreline wants.

Jerry Patterson, Richmond Beach Advocates (RBA), commented that RBA supports tolling at the county line. He shared that tolling will allow for trucks and future residents to pay for the roads they will use, and provide the City the ability to negotiate with the Developer. He asked the Council to examine all options, gather further information for analysis, and recommended the City host a public workshop. He talked about Point Wells being the most importance issue that Council will face, and provided a written copy of his comments for the record.

Al Wagar, Shoreline resident, spoke on tolling in Shoreline, and recommended installing additional stop signs and filling side streets with traffic calmers. He commented that tolling might restore the fairness of one jurisdiction dumping problems on another, but added the better solution would be for Snohomish County to share the revenue.

Susan Chang, spoke in support of tolling and commented that it gives the City a tool in which to negotiate with the Developer. She asked that the City hire a professional consultant to answer tolling questions.

Tom McCormick, Shoreline resident, commented on the City's over dependence on the Developer and the leverage that would be available through tolling. He spoke about upfront mitigations that the Developer would have to address as part of the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), and ongoing revenue needed to pay for roads. He talked about tolling revenue, and asked that tolling be placed on the ballot for the residents to decide. He concluded by reading the Transportation Benefit Districts statute that allows consideration of voter approval for road improvements.

Denis Casper, Richmond Beach resident, supports tolling and stated it provides the best connection between increased traffic and the strain on road infrastructure from the Point Wells development.

6. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

The agenda was adopted by unanimous consent.

7. CONSENT CALENDAR

Upon motion by Councilmember McConnell, seconded by Councilmember McGlashan and unanimously carried, the following Consent Calendar items were approved:

- (a) Minutes of Special Meeting of December 8, 2014 and Minutes of Business Meeting of December 8, 2014**

**(b) Approval of expenses and payroll as of December 26, 2014 in the amount of
\$5,022,162.73:**

***Payroll and Benefits:**

Payroll Period	Payment Date	EFT Numbers (EF)	Payroll Checks (PR)	Benefit Checks (AP)	Amount Paid
11/9/14-11/22/14	11/28/2014	58569-58766	13563-13587	58596-58603	\$588,086.46
11/23/14-12/6/14	12/12/2014	58767-58957	13588-13606	58726-58731	\$444,148.23
					<u>\$1,032,234.69</u>

***Wire Transfers:**

Expense Register Dated	Wire Transfer Number	Amount Paid
11/24/2014	1088	\$4,900.42
		<u>\$4,900.42</u>

***Accounts Payable Claims:**

Expense Register Dated	Check Number (Begin)	Check Number (End)	Amount Paid
11/24/2014	58505	58520	\$130,849.66
12/2/2014	54578	54578	(\$16.00)
	56280	56280	(\$40.32)
	56715	56715	(\$1,630.25)
12/2/2014	58521	58523	\$1,745.43
12/4/2014	58524	58550	\$117,166.14
12/4/2014	58551	58560	\$24,109.57
12/4/2014	58561	58583	\$373,769.63
12/4/2014	58584	58595	\$1,524.57
12/11/2014	58604	58604	\$15,000.00
12/18/2014	58605	58650	\$351,766.48
12/18/2014	58651	58669	\$38,611.25
12/18/2014	58670	58717	\$1,031,406.81
12/18/2014	58686	58686	(\$1,489.14)
12/18/2014	58718	58725	\$1,429.53
12/22/2014	58732	58733	\$37,974.74
12/22/2014	58734	58734	\$6,369.69
12/22/2014	58735	58735	\$3,410.00
12/24/2014	58736	58766	\$1,748,593.87
12/24/2014	58767	58776	\$38,013.36
12/24/2014	58777	58797	\$66,049.42
12/24/2014	58798	58805	\$413.18
			<u>\$3,985,027.62</u>

8. STUDY ITEMS

(a) Discussion of City Street Regulatory Options

Alex Herzog, Management Analyst, and Mark Relph, Public Works Director, provided the staff report. Mr. Herzog reviewed the City's regulatory powers and authority over its streets. Mr. Relph highlighted the regulatory tools available for use and reviewed level of service standards. Mr. Herzog described the City's ability to implement tolling within the City and the process in

which it could be authorized by the Transportation Benefit District (TBD) and approved for by voters. He discussed how tolling revenue can be used, options for payment, and that tolling can be implemented for revenue generation and traffic control.

Councilmembers asked if tolling would be applicable citywide, would there need to be a certain amount of time from voter passage to implementation, and if there is a State mandated process that dictates revenue disbursement. Mr. Herzog responded that it appears the City's ballot language would need to identify tolling booth locations, detail anticipated revenue, and list what projects the money would be allocated on. Margaret King, City Attorney, added that the amount of detail provided in the ballot language and the potential legal issues would need to be analyzed.

Councilmembers discussed that research on tolling would require a significant amount of work and staff time, and that preparing for light rail is the City's first priority. They advised that it is not a realistic timeframe to address this issue in time to add it to the ballot, and agreed that they do not want to modify the City Council's Work Plan. They discussed the value of tolling revenue, a preference for the Developer paying costs up front, annexation providing the greatest revenue source, and reviewing the results of the Point Wells Traffic Corridor Study prior to making decisions about tolling. They expressed support for maintaining equity for all residents and finding a way for the people benefiting from the roads to share in the cost. They asked about the amount of staff time that would be needed to commit to this effort. Ms. Tarry summarized the work that would need to be completed to have tolling on the ballot.

Councilmember Salomon said he supports moving forward with putting tolling on the ballot this year. He pointed out if a Point Wells Development Agreement does not move forward, tolling would provide a second option with no risk. He stated his support for allocating money to retain a consultant.

Councilmembers commented on mitigation options other than tolling, like pedestrian crossings and on-ramp metering, and asked if a meter could be installed to help mitigate traffic issues. Mr. Relph explained that specific conditions are needed for the installation of pedestrian crossings and on-ramp metering, as well as hardware, maintenance, and facility installation.

Councilmember Hall explained why he is not recusing himself from the Discussion of Street Regulatory Options, and stated any decisions made are a local matter, exclusive of Snohomish County.

Councilmembers asked if the decision to put tolling on the ballot is made by the City Council or TBD. Mr. Herzog responded that it would be a TBD decision, and explained that staff recommends further discussion on tolling be conducted by the TBD.

Councilmembers commented that the SEPA process will determine mitigation that the developer pays, and that the TBD can perform maintenance on any city street. Councilmembers asked what the current strategy is regarding mitigation for the Point Wells Development. Ms. Tarry responded that current Council direction is to negotiate an agreement with the Developer which is incorporated in the SEPA process. She explained that even with a budget authorization, it will be a challenge to commit staff time to this endeavor. She summarized that tonight she heard a

majority of Council agree that it is too soon to consider putting tolling on the ballot for voter consideration in 2015, and to keep tolling as a potential tool to be used in the future or by the TBD. She stated staff will provide answers to Council questions from tonight's discussion.

9. ADJOURNMENT

At 8:22 p.m., Mayor Winstead declared the meeting adjourned.

Jessica Simulcik Smith, City Clerk

DRAFT