

**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM**  
CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON

<b>AGENDA TITLE:</b>	Discussion of Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan Six and Twenty Year Capital Improvement Priorities		
<b>DEPARTMENT:</b>	Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services		
<b>PRESENTED BY:</b>	Eric Friedli, PRCS Department Director Maureen Colaizzi, Parks Project Coordinator		
<b>ACTION:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Resolution	<input type="checkbox"/> Motion
	<input type="checkbox"/> Public Hearing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Discussion	

**PROBLEM/ISSUE STATEMENT:**

Over the past year, the City conducted an extensive public process to update the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan (PROS Plan) for Shorelines Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services (PRCS) department. The Plan establishes a 20-year vision and framework for Shoreline’s parks, recreation and cultural programs, and guides maintenance and investment in park, recreation and open space facilities.

As part of that process, PRCS staff, working with a PRCS/Tree Board subcommittee has developed a capital improvement project prioritization system (Attachment A) to develop a prioritized capital improvement project list that has been organized to facilitate its incorporation into the PROS Plan and the City’ Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) process (Attachment B). This list was prioritized using values-based criteria. The top ranked priority projects will be incorporated into the City’s six-year CIP.

**FINANCIAL IMPACT:**

The PROS Plan Update project is listed in the 2016-2021 Capital Improvement Plan with a budget of \$130,000.

**RECOMMENDATION**

No formal action is required; this is a discussion item intended to provide Council with an opportunity to provide staff feedback on the prioritized capital improvement list that will be incorporated into the PROS Plan and the City’s Capital Improvement Plan. Staff will return this summer to provide additional opportunities for input and direction on the PROS Plan document and the PRCS projects for the City’s 2018-2023 CIP.

Approved By:            City Manager            *DT*    City Attorney *JA-T*

## **INTRODUCTION**

Over the past year, the City conducted an extensive public process to update Shoreline's Plan for Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Services and Open Space. The Plan establishes a 20-year vision and framework for Shoreline's recreation and cultural programs, and guides maintenance and investment in park, recreation and open space facilities.

As part of that process, PRCS staff, the PRCS/Tree Board and Subcommittee developed a prioritized capital improvement list (Draft List) and a values-based criteria and rating system to proactively plan for the 20-year vision.

A list of project ideas for the maintenance and improvement of the Shoreline parks system was generated from an assessment of the condition of parks assets performed in 2016, from ideas heard from community members through the PROS Plan public input process in 2016 and from staff who work in the field every day. The list was then vetted and ranked using values-based criteria.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **Public Involvement and Input**

Over the past year, the City conducted an extensive public process to update the City's Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan. The results of the public involvement process can be found on the PROS Plan webpage at: [www.shorelinewa.gov/prosmeetings](http://www.shorelinewa.gov/prosmeetings).

Staff presented a summary of the public involvement process and introduced the topic of capital improvement needs to City Council at its October 10, 2016 meeting. The PRCS/Tree Board discussed the criteria and a previous version of the Draft List at its June 23 and October 27, 2016 meetings. The criteria and a list of capital project needs were shared with the community at the November 1<sup>st</sup> Public Workshop and the Draft List was further refined.

The October 10 staff report can be found here:

<http://cosweb.ci.shoreline.wa.us/uploads/attachments/cck/council/staffreports/2016/staffreport101016-8b.pdf>.

The October 10 minutes for that discuss can be found at:

<http://cosweb.ci.shoreline.wa.us/uploads/attachments/cck/Council/Minutes/2016/101016.htm>

## **DISCUSSION**

The Draft List in Attachment B has 328 project ideas that would secure our foundation and shape our future. Rough order of magnitude costs were generated for each project and indicate the total cost of almost \$70 million if all projects were implemented. The total rough order of magnitude costs does not include projected costs for some property acquisitions or a new aquatics and community center facility. The prioritization criteria and process below provides a way to identify the most important projects that is based on values important to the community.

### **Prioritization Criteria**

The results from the asset condition assessments and the public input process help shape a list of necessary improvements to continue to secure the foundation and shape the future of the City's parks, recreation and cultural services system. A review process with a list of criteria was needed to help prioritize what projects need to be completed first.

With the assistance of the PRCS/Tree Board, staff developed a draft list of criteria and a rating system (Attachment A).

### **Priority Criteria**

1. Health & Safety
2. Code Requirements
3. Facility Integrity and Operating Efficiency

### **Secondary Criteria**

4. Level of Facility Use
5. Shovel Ready Projects
6. Projects Meet Environmental, Sustainable or Adopted Plan Goals
7. Important Community Unmet Need
8. Projects located in Areas of Economic Need

Each criterion is based on a scale from 0-3. A rating of three (3) points means that the project completely meets the category and zero (0) points means that the project does not meet the category.

While all the criteria are important some address more critical issues than others. Recognizing that it is most important to have healthy and safe facilities that meet applicable codes and that will last and operate efficiently, the first three Criteria were determined to be priority criteria. The remaining five criteria, while important, are secondary in importance.

### **Prioritizing the List – Applying the Criteria**

Each project was reviewed and scores were applied based on the criteria. Two totals were calculated for each project. A total was calculated for the three priority criteria resulting in a Priority Criteria Score for each project. A total was also calculated for the five secondary criteria resulting in a Secondary Criteria Score for each project. The list was sorted by the Priority Criteria Score. This makes it easier to identify those projects that are most in need of investment based on the overall health, safety and integrity of the facility.

Many projects received the same Priority Criteria Score. For example, there were eight (8) projects that received a score of six (6). In order to distinguish which of those projects would be the highest priority, the master list was sorted based on the Secondary Criteria Score. In essence, the secondary criteria were used as tie-breakers. The projects that rate with the most points are shown as high priority projects. Projects that rate with the least number of points are shown as low priority projects. The end result is a CIP list prioritized based on a set of criteria important to the community.

### **The Draft List Categories**

A master list of prioritized capital projects was generated for inclusion in the PROS Plan and organized into seven categories list below (Table 1). The rough order of magnitude cost for all projects is almost \$70M.

Projects were categorized based on how they will be funded and implemented (Attachment B). The first six categories of projects will be included within the General Capital Fund and the Major Maintenance Fund of the City's Capital Improvement Plan. Some projects were identified as projects awaiting a park master plan before being incorporated into the prioritized list for the General Capital Fund. In addition, there are great projects ideas that were generated through the public process but are not proposed for inclusion in the City's CIP at this time.

Table 1: Summary of count and cost of projects

<b>CIP Project Lists</b>	<b>Number of Projects</b>	<b>Rough Order of Magnitude Costs</b>
1. Repair and Replacement Projects	27	\$1,215,000
2. General Capital Projects	25	\$10,820,000
3. Facility Maintenance – Buildings	16	\$2,950,000
4. Ecological Restoration Program	14	\$700,000
5. Capacity Expansion Projects	61	\$22,170,000
6. Projects Awaiting Master Plans	64	\$11,230,000
7. Other Great Ideas	121	\$20,020,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>\$69,105,000</b>

### **General Capital Projects**

Within the General Capital Fund most projects are identified individually such as replacement of athletic fields, development of master plans, and major trail replacement projects. Parks has a large number of small capital improvement projects that do not warrant being identified in the CIP as separate projects. These are grouped into the Parks Repair and Replacement project and the Parks Ecological Restoration Projects within the General Capital Fund.

### **Facility Maintenance Projects**

In the City Facilities Major Maintenance Fund, projects are categorized as either General Facilities or Parks Facilities. Parks restrooms, the Richmond Highlands Recreation Center and the Shoreline Pool repair and replacement projects are included in this fund.

### **Capacity Expansion Projects**

One central theme of the PROS Plan is the need and desires to proactively shape our future. Throughout the planning process ideas have been generated for new park amenities that would enhance the parks and recreation system and prepare the city for expected population growth. Many of these projects are directly tied to the growth anticipated in the light rail station subareas and are incorporated from the Light Rail Station Subarea Parks and Open Space Plan. The Draft List of capacity expansion projects presented in Attachment B (pages 11-14) includes all project ideas and has not yet been organized in a way that links it to the PROS Plan level of service analysis that is nearing completion.

### **Projects Awaiting Master Plans**

Some projects are located in parks that are in need of a base level of conceptual master planning before implementing. These include projects in Brugger's Bog, James Keough, Ridgecrest, and the lower level of Shoreview. A project titled "Recreation Amenities Planning" has been included in the General Capital Projects list to capture that planning need. A more complete master plan is proposed in the General Capital Projects list for Hillwood to coincide with the School District planning for the adjacent Einstein Middle School. It is anticipated that these projects will be reevaluated and incorporated into the Repair and Replacement list or the General Capital Projects list once the plans are completed.

### **Other Great Ideas**

Through the PROS Plan public process and review by PRCS staff and PRCS/Tree Board, a number of great ideas were generated that would enhance parks in different ways. Unfortunately it is not realistic to expect the entire project list to be implemented. However Staff does not want to lose those ideas so they have been included for the record. The Draft List of other great ideas presented in Attachment B (pages 20-27) includes all project ideas and has not yet been edited to remove ideas that are not capital improvement projects.

### **PRCS/Tree Board Review, Discussion and Action**

- The PRCS/Tree Board was an active participant in the public outreach process and developing the prioritization system and the Draft List. The Board

established a subcommittee that met numerous times to review the proposed criteria and various versions of the Draft List. The full board discussed the criteria and a previous version of the draft list at its June 23 and October 27, 2016 meetings. The full PRCS/Tree Board reviewed the final Draft List and Prioritization System on February 23<sup>rd</sup> and unanimously supported the Draft List and CIP prioritization system.

### **Next Steps**

Following Council's input and direction, staff will finalize the Draft List and incorporate the projects into the PROS Plan document and the City's 2018-2023 CIP as appropriate. Staff will return to the City Council this summer to provide additional opportunities for input and direction on the draft PROS Plan and the six-year CIP.

### **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The PROS Plan Update project is listed in the 2017-2022 Capital Improvement Plan with a budget of \$130,000.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

No formal action is required; this is a discussion item intended to provide Council with an opportunity to provide staff feedback on the Prioritized Capital Improvement List that will be incorporated into the PROS Plan and the City's Capital Improvement Plan. Staff will return this summer to provide additional opportunities for input and direction on the PROS Plan document and the PRCS projects for the City's 2018-2023 CIP.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

Attachment A: Draft CIP Prioritization System  
Attachment B: Draft Prioritized Capital Improvement List



## Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services DRAFT CIP Prioritization System

---

A list of project ideas for the maintenance and improvement of the Shoreline parks system was generated from an assessment of the condition of parks assets, from ideas heard from community members through the PROS Plan public input process in 2016 and from staff who work in the field every day. The list has 200 project ideas that would secure our foundation and shape our future. Rough order of magnitude costs were generated for each project and indicate the total cost of \$35 million if all projects were implemented. The project count and total rough order of magnitude costs does not include projected costs for property acquisition or a new aquatics and community center facility. The prioritization criteria and process below provides a way to identify the most important projects so that the list is prioritized based on what is most valued.

### Prioritization Criteria

While all the criteria are important some address more critical issues than others. Recognizing that it is most important to have healthy and safe facilities that meet applicable codes and that will last and operate efficiently, the first three Criteria were determined to be priority criteria. The remaining five criteria, while important, are secondary in importance.

Projects are assessed for which criteria they fall under. Each criteria is based on a scale from 0-3. A rating of three (3) points means that the project completely meets the category and zero (0) points means that the project does not meet the category.

#### Priority Criteria

**Criteria 1 – Health & Safety:** The project will eliminate a condition that poses a health or safety concern. Examples of a health or safety concerns include a lack of seismic elements, play equipment replacement due to not meeting safety requirements, lighting deficiencies, trail closures due to safety issues, emergency management elements, documented environmental health or safety hazards, crime prevention strategies.

- 3- Documented safety standards are not being met. i.g., documented claims and studies that show the facility is not meeting safety standards
- 2- Safety concern exists; however, there are no documented safety standards.
- 1- Community complaints exists around health & safety conditions
- 0- No Health & Safety conditions exist.

**Criteria 2 – Code Requirements:** The project brings a facility or element up to federal, state, and city code requirements or meets other legal requirements. (Note: Projects that are primarily ADA-focused fall under this priority. ADA elements will be completed as part of projects that fall under other priorities.)

- 3- Does not meet code requirements.
- 0- Meets code requirements.

**Criteria 3 – Facility Integrity and Operating Efficiency:** The project will help keep the facility or park element operating efficiently and extend its life cycle by repairing, replacing, and renovating systems and elements of the facility, including building envelope (roof, walls, and windows), electrical, plumbing, irrigation, storm and sewer line replacements, indoor or outdoor lighting, synthetic turf replacement, outdoor trail enhancements.

- 3- Documented reduction in operating and maintenance costs, including energy and water savings. Has the opportunity to increase revenue. Extends its operational life, high usage/heavily programmed.
- 2- Energy and water savings without a known reduction in operating and maintenance costs or staff efficiency. Has the opportunity to maintain revenue. Extends its operational life. , high usage/programmed.
- 1- Maintaining existing operating costs and/or increase staff efficiency without any change in revenue.
- 0- Increases operating costs with no improved operating efficiency or energy savings. Not associated with revenue.

## Secondary Criteria

**Criteria 4 – Level of Facility Use:** This Criteria assesses the impact the project will have on parks visitors.

- 3- High usage/heavily programmed.
- 2- Moderate usage/lightly programmed.
- 1- Light usage/ not programmed
- 0- Minimal usage/not programmed

**Criteria 5 – Shovel Ready Projects:** Projects identified in supporting plans and other documents that require being done in the upcoming year or has outside available funding to support implementation.

- 3- Project has available funding, is identified in supporting plans and is required to be done in the upcoming year.
- 0- Project does not have available funding, is not identified in supporting plans and is not required to be done in the upcoming year.

**Criteria 6 – Projects Meets Environmental, Sustainable or Adopted Plan Goals :** Projects that meet adopted plan, environmental, sustainable or larger citywide goals. Documents such as the Recreation Demand Study, Light Rail Station Subarea Park & Open Space Plan, Urban Forest Strategic Plan, Vegetation Management Plans, Master Plans, etc. .

- 3- Is identified in a planning document as a priority.
- 2- Is not separately mentioned in a planning document but is part of the plans implementation goals.
- 1- Not related to a plan but meets citywide goals.
- 0- No unique focus or part of larger citywide goal.

**Criteria 7- Important Community Unmet Need:** Projects that improve or meet the unmet facility and/or program needs identified in the 2016 Community Survey.

- 3- Top Priorities: High Importance/High Unmet Need
- 2-Continued Emphasis: Higher importance/Low unmet Need
- 1- Lower Priority: Lower Importance/High Unmet Need
- 0- Lowest Priority: Lower Importance/Low Unmet Need

**Criteria 8: Projects Located in Areas of Economic Need** – Projects are located in areas of economic need based on the Median Household Income Map by Census Block Group\*

- 3- Median Household Income below \$50,679.\*
- 2- Median Household Income is between \$50,680 and \$72,537.\*
- 1- Median Household Income is between \$72,538 and \$96,784.\*
- 0- Median Household Income is above \$96,784\*.

\*Map 3: Median Household Income by Census Block Group, Shoreline Market Analysis Draft Report, August 2016, page 12.

## Prioritizing the List – Applying the Criteria

Each project was rated on the criteria and scores were applied based on the criteria. The initial assessment was made by the Parks Project Coordinator and then each assessment was reviewed by the Senior Maintenance workers, the Parks Superintendent and Director.

Two totals were calculated for each project. A total was calculated for the three priority criteria resulting in a Priority Criteria Score for each project. A total was also calculated for the five secondary criteria resulting in a Secondary Criteria Score for each project. The list was sorted by the Priority Criteria Score. This makes it easier to identify those projects that are most in need of investment based on the overall health, safety and integrity of the facility.

A lot of projects received the same Priority Criteria Score. For example, there were eight (8) projects that received a score of six (6) in multiple categories. In order to distinguish which of those projects would be the highest priority, the master list was sorted based on the Secondary Criteria Score. The highest priority projects were based on the health, safety and integrity of the facility and then the secondary criteria were used as tie-breakers.

The end result is a CIP list prioritized based on a set of criteria important to the community.





## **Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services**

### **DRAFT Prioritized CIP Lists**

---

The City of Shoreline adopts a Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) as part of the City Budget every year. The CIP is a multi-year plan for capital expenditures needed to restore, improve and expand the City of Shoreline's infrastructure, which includes roads, sidewalks, trails, drainage, parks, and buildings owned and/or maintained by the City. The plan identifies projects and funding for improvements over the next six years and is updated annually to reflect ongoing changes and additions. It also details the work to be done for each project and an expected time frame for completion.

#### **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FUNDS**

The City's Capital Improvement Plan includes four capital funds – two of which are used to fund park projects. They are:

##### **General Capital Fund:**

In the General Capital (Gen Cap) Fund projects are categorized as Facilities Projects, Parks Projects, and Open Space Projects. Funding for these projects is primarily a result of the allocation of General Fund support, real estate excise tax (REET), municipal financing, and grants.

Within the General Capital Fund most projects are identified individually such as replacement of athletic fields, development of master plans, and major trail replacement projects. Parks has a large number of small capital improvement projects that do not warrant being identified in the CIP as separate projects. These are grouped into a Parks Repair and Replacement project and a Parks Ecological Restoration Project. Also, projects that expand the parks system capacity to serve the communities needs such as property acquisition and adding new park amenities are typically included in the Gen Cap Fund as well.

##### **City Facilities – Major Maintenance Fund:**

In the City Facilities – Major Maintenance fund, projects are categorized as either General Facilities or Parks Facilities. An annual transfer of monies provides funding for these projects from the General Fund. Parks restrooms, The Richmond Highlands Recreation Center and the Shoreline Pool are included in this fund.

**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS PRIORITIZED LISTS**

Prioritized lists of potential projects have been generated for each of the CIP categories listed below including projects awaiting master plans and other great ideas (Table 1). The rough order of magnitude cost for all projects is \$69,105,000.

Table 1: Summary of count and cost of projects

CIP Project Lists	Number of Projects	Rough Order of Magnitude Costs
1. Repair and Replacement Projects	27	\$1,215,000
2. General Capital Projects	25	\$10,820,000
3. Facility Maintenance – Buildings	16	\$2,950,000
4. Ecological Restoration Program	14	\$700,000
5. Capacity Expansion Projects	61	\$22,170,000
6. Projects Awaiting Master Plans	64	\$11,230,000
7. Other Great Ideas	121	\$20,020,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>\$69,105,000</b>

In addition to the projects that will be prioritized for the City’s CIP there are projects ideas that were generated through the public process but are not proposed for inclusion in the CIP at this time including:

**Projects Awaiting Master Plans**

Some projects are located in parks that are in need of a base level of conceptual master planning before implementing. These include projects in Brugger’s Bog, James Keough, Ridgecrest, and the lower level of Shoreview. A project titled “Recreation Amenities Planning” has been included in the General Capital Projects list to capture that planning need. A more complete master plan is proposed in the General Capital Projects list for Hillwood to coincide with the School District planning for the adjacent Einstein Middle School. It is anticipated that these projects will be reevaluated and incorporated into the Repair and Replacement list or the General Capital Projects list once the plans are completed.

**Other Great Ideas**

Through the PROS Plan public process and review by PRCS staff a number of great ideas were generated that would enhance parks in different ways. Unfortunately it is not realistic to expect the entire project list to be implemented. However we do not want to lose those ideas so they have been included for the record.

## 1. Repair and Replacement

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
1	Paramount School Park	Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$15,000	5	4
2	Eastside Off-Leash Dog Area	Boundary Fence	\$25,000	\$40,000	4	8
3	Hamlin Park	Trail Repair/Replacement	\$100,000	\$140,000	3	11
4	Shoreline Park	Court (Tennis) Repair	\$40,000	\$180,000	3	7
5	Shoreview Park	Tennis Court Resurfacing	\$60,000	\$240,000	3	7
6	Sunset School Park	Parking Repair/Replacement Project	\$40,000	\$280,000	3	6
7	Hamlin Park	Accessible Pathway Development	\$25,000	\$305,000	3	5
8	Twin Ponds	Trail Repair/Replacement	\$100,000	\$405,000	2	7
9	Interurban Trail (160th-155th)	Irrigation Repair/Replacement	\$75,000	\$480,000	2	5
10	Richmond Beach Community Park	Playground Enclosure Replacement	\$150,000	\$630,000	2	5
11	Richmond Highlands Park	Irrigation Repair/Replacement	\$75,000	\$705,000	2	4
12	Hamlin Park	Park Entry Signage	\$15,000	\$720,000	1	8
13	Twin Ponds	Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$735,000	1	8
14	Sunset School Park	Portable Restroom Enclosure Development	\$25,000	\$760,000	1	8
15	Cromwell Park	Pathway Lighting	\$15,000	\$775,000	1	6
16	Shoreline Park	Trail Repair/Replacement	\$150,000	\$925,000	1	6

**Attachment B**

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
17	Hamlin Park	Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$940,000	1	5
18	Paramount Open Space	Trail Repair/Replacement	\$100,000	\$1,040,000	1	5
19	Cromwell Park	Court (Basketball) Repair	\$40,000	\$1,080,000	1	4
20	Innis Arden Reserve	Parking Repair/Replacement Project	\$15,000	\$1,095,000	1	1
21	Densmore Trail	Park Entry Signage	\$15,000	\$1,110,000	0	6
22	Richmond Beach Community Park	Portable Restroom Enclosure Development	\$25,000	\$1,135,000	0	5
23	Strandberg Preserve	Park Entry Signage	\$15,000	\$1,150,000	0	4
24	Ballinger Open Space	Park Entry Signage	\$15,000	\$1,165,000	0	3
25	Boeing Creek Open Space	Park Entry Signage	\$15,000	\$1,180,000	0	3
26	Ronald Bog	Bench Repair/Replacement	\$20,000	\$1,200,000	0	2
27	Strandberg Preserve	Boundary Fence	\$15,000	\$1,215,000	0	1

## 2. General Capital

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
1	Non-Park Specific	Aquatics and Recreation Center Replacement	\$0	\$0	10	0
2	Kruckeberg Botanic Garden	Caretaker Residence Replacement Project: Implement Master Plan	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	9	5
3	Shoreline Park	Field and Light Replacement	\$2,000,000	\$5,000,000	8	7
4	Hamlin Park - Upper	Lighting Improvement	\$50,000	\$5,050,000	7	8
5	Several	Recreation Amenities Planning	\$125,000	\$5,175,000	7	7
6	Hillwood Park	Master Plan	\$75,000	\$5,250,000	6	8
7	Boeing Creek Park	Trail Repair/Replacement	\$1,500,000	\$6,750,000	6	6
8	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park - Exterior	Fire Suppression Line to Beach	\$400,000	\$7,150,000	5	4
9	Richmond Highlands Recreation Center - Exterior	Lighting Improvement	\$50,000	\$7,200,000	3	8
10	Richmond Beach Reserve	Steep Slope Stabilization	\$500,000	\$7,700,000	3	6
11	Shoreview Park - OLDA	Boundary Fence and Entry	\$250,000	\$7,950,000	2	6
12	Twin Ponds	Park Drainage Improvement	\$200,000	\$8,150,000	2	5
13	Paramount School Park	Park Drainage Improvement	\$200,000	\$8,350,000	2	4
14	Twin Ponds	Bridge(s) and Dock Repair/Replacement	\$200,000	\$8,550,000	2	4
15	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park	Steep Slope Stair/Trail Repair/Replacement Project(s)	\$500,000	\$9,050,000	1	12

**Attachment B**

	<b>Park</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Preliminary Cost Estimate</b>	<b>Cumulative Cost</b>	<b>Priority Points</b>	<b>Secondary Points</b>
<b>16</b>	Hamlin Park	Trail Wayfinding Map & Marker	\$50,000	\$9,100,000	1	11
<b>17</b>	Richmond Highlands Park	Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$9,350,000	1	9
<b>18</b>	Eastside Off-Leash Dog Area	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$9,600,000	1	8
<b>19</b>	Twin Ponds	Trail Wayfinding Map & Marker	\$50,000	\$9,650,000	1	7
<b>20</b>	Twin Ponds	Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$9,900,000	1	7
<b>21</b>	Shoreview Park - OLDA	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$10,150,000	1	6
<b>22</b>	Interurban Trail (185th-175th) Park at Town Center	Park at Town Center Phase I Implementation	\$250,000	\$10,400,000	0	11
<b>23</b>	Shoreview Park - OLDA	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$10,420,000	0	8
<b>24</b>	Ronald Bog	Environmental Interpretive Trail & Signage Development	\$200,000	\$10,620,000	0	7
<b>25</b>	Ronald Bog	Wetland Creation/Restoration	\$200,000	\$10,820,000	0	6

### 3. Facility Maintenance – Buildings

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
1	Richmond Highlands Recreation Center	HVAC/Mechanical Replacement	\$0	\$0	6	8
2	Richmond Highlands Recreation Center	Roof Replacement	\$300,000	\$300,000	6	8
3	Hamlin Park - Lower	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$450,000	6	6
4	Twin Ponds	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$600,000	6	6
5	Hamlin Park - Upper	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$750,000	6	5
6	Shoreline Park	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$900,000	6	5
7	Richmond Beach Community Park	Retaining Wall Repair/Replacement	\$1,000,000	\$1,900,000	5	6
8	Richmond Highlands Recreation Center - Interior	Fire Suppression Improvement	\$50,000	\$1,950,000	5	5
9	Richmond Highlands Recreation Center - Gym	Lighting Replacement	\$50,000	\$2,000,000	5	5
10	Paramount School Park	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$2,150,000	5	5
11	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park - Lower	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$2,300,000	5	4
12	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park - Upper	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$2,450,000	5	4
13	Cromwell Park	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$2,600,000	4	5
14	Richmond Highlands Park	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$2,750,000	4	5
15	Echo Lake Park	Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$2,900,000	2	9

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
16	Richmond Highlands Recreation Center	Exterior Building Stair and Door Repair/Replacement	\$50,000	\$2,950,000	2	5

DRAFT



## 4. Ecological Restoration Program

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
1	Ballinger Open Space	Vegetation Management Plan - Develop and Implement	\$50,000	\$50,000	4	11
2	Darnell	Vegetation Management Plan - Develop and Implement	\$50,000	\$100,000	4	7
3	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$250,000	3	12
4	Twin Ponds	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$300,000	3	12
5	Hamlin Park	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$350,000	3	11
6	South Woods	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$400,000	3	10
7	Boeing Creek Park	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$450,000	3	9
8	Innis Arden Reserve	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$500,000	3	6
9	North City Park	Vegetation Management Plan - Develop and Implement	\$50,000	\$550,000	2	8
10	Shoreview Park	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$600,000	2	8
11	Northcrest Park	Vegetation Management Plan - Develop and Implement	\$50,000	\$200,000	2	7

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
12	Paramount Open Space	Vegetation Management Plan - Develop and Implement	\$50,000	\$250,000	2	7
13	Boeing Creek Open Space	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$650,000	2	6
14	Strandberg Preserve	Vegetation Management Plan - Implement	\$50,000	\$700,000	2	6

DRAFT

## 5. Capacity Expansion Projects

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
1	Non-Park Specific	Park Land Acquisition	\$0	\$0	0	0
2	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (F)	Light Rail Station Subarea Acquisition Opportunity F (Paramount Open Space Park)	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000	0	8
3	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (E)	Light Rail Station Subarea Acquisition Opportunity E (145th Street Station)	\$1,500,000	\$3,500,000	0	6
4	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (D)	Light Rail Station Subarea Acquisition Opportunity D (Ridgecrest)	\$1,000,000	\$4,500,000	0	7
5	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (C)	Light Rail Station Subarea Acquisition Opportunity C (Meridian Park)	\$1,000,000	\$5,500,000	0	6
6	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (B)	Light Rail Station Subarea Acquisition Opportunity (185th Street Station - Rotary Park)	\$2,000,000	\$7,500,000	0	7
7	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (A)	Light Rail Station Subarea Acquisition Opportunity A (185th Parcel)	\$3,000,000	\$10,500,000	0	7
8	Light Rail Station Subarea Improvement Opportunity (2)	Access to DNR Property along I-5	\$0	\$10,500,000		
9	Fircrest	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$0	\$10,500,000	0	5
10	Cedarbrook Elementary School	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$0	\$10,500,000	0	6
11	Aurora Avenue N (west side)	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$10,000	\$10,510,000	0	6
12	Aurora Avenue N (east side)	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$10,000	\$10,520,000	0	6
13	South Woods	Frisbee Golf Course Development	\$250,000	\$10,770,000	0	0
14	Richmond Highlands Park	Court (Teen Multi-Sports) Development	\$250,000	\$11,020,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
15	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park	Small Craft Launch (Water Trail) Development	\$250,000	\$11,270,000	0	0
16	Non-Park Specific	Adventure Park (Zipline/High ropes) Development	\$250,000	\$11,520,000		
17	Non-Park Specific	Court (Pickleball) Development	\$15,000	\$11,535,000	0	0
18	Non-Park Specific	Outdoor Theater Development	\$250,000	\$11,785,000	0	0
19	Non-Park Specific	Playground Development Project (All Accessible)	\$250,000	\$12,035,000		
20	Non-Park Specific	Playground Development Project (Nature Play)	\$250,000	\$12,285,000		
21	Non-Park Specific	Skate Park Development	\$250,000	\$12,535,000	0	0
22	Non-Park Specific	Spray Park Development	\$250,000	\$12,785,000	0	0
23	Hamlin Park	BMX - Fee Ride Bike Park Development	\$250,000	\$13,035,000	0	0
24	Hamlin Park	Climbing Structure Development	\$250,000	\$13,285,000	0	0
25	South Woods	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$13,535,000	0	0
26	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park	Beach Activity Center Development - Picnic Shelter Repair/Replacement Project	\$150,000	\$13,685,000	0	0
27	Richmond Beach Community Park	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$13,935,000	0	0
28	Non-Park Specific	Community Garden Development	\$250,000	\$14,185,000	0	0
29	Non-Park Specific	Court (Basketball) Development	\$250,000	\$14,435,000	0	0
30	Non-Park Specific	Off-leash Dog Area Development	\$250,000	\$14,685,000	0	0
31	Non-Park Specific	Outdoor Exercise Equipment Installation	\$250,000	\$14,935,000	0	0
32	Hamlin Park - 25th AVE NE	Playground Development	\$500,000	\$15,435,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
33	Cromwell Park	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$15,685,000	0	0
34	Twin Ponds	Boardwalk Nature Trail Development	\$200,000	\$15,885,000	0	0
35	Strandberg Preserve	Pathway Development	\$200,000	\$16,085,000	0	0
36	Richmond Highlands Park	Pathway (Loop or Measured) Development	\$200,000	\$16,285,000	0	0
37	North City Park	Pathway (Loop or Measured) Development	\$200,000	\$16,485,000	0	0
38	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (2)	Neighborhood Greenways Development Project (Meridian Park/1st Av NE & 175th-185th)	\$200,000	\$16,685,000	0	0
39	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (12)	Neighborhood Greenways Development Project (Briarcrest to LR Station)	\$200,000	\$16,885,000	0	0
40	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (12)	Neighborhood Greenways Development Project (North City to LR Station)	\$200,000	\$17,085,000	0	0
41	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (11)	Neighborhood to Light Rail Greenways Development Project (Ridgecrest to LR Station)	\$200,000	\$17,285,000	0	0
42	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (1)	Neighborhood Greenways Development Project (Echo Lake/195th Street Corridor)	\$200,000	\$17,485,000	0	0
43	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity	Trail Development Project (I-5 East)	\$200,000	\$17,685,000	0	0
44	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity	Trail Development Project (I-5 West)	\$200,000	\$17,885,000	0	0
45	Darnell	Environmental Interpretive Trail & Signage Development	\$200,000	\$18,085,000	0	0
46	Boeing Creek Open Space	Trail Development	\$200,000	\$18,285,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
47	Ballinger Open Space	Boardwalk Nature Trail Development	\$200,000	\$18,485,000	0	0
48	195th Trail	Trail Development Project (Ballinger Commons Shared Use)	\$200,000	\$18,685,000	0	0
49	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (7)	Park (Ridgecrest) Development Project	\$1,500,000	\$20,185,000	0	0
50	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (5)	Park (James Keough) Development Project	\$1,500,000	\$21,685,000	0	0
51	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (4)	Park (Ronald Bog) Development Project)	\$250,000	\$21,935,000	0	0
52	200th Street Ends (W&E)	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$21,960,000	0	0
53	199th Street Ends (W&E)	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$21,985,000	0	0
54	198th Street Ends (W&E)	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$22,010,000	0	0
55	197th Trail	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$22,035,000	0	0
56	197th Street Ends (W&E)	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$22,060,000	0	0
57	196th Trail	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$22,085,000	0	0
58	196th Street Ends (W&E)	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$22,110,000	0	0
59	195th Street Ends (W&E)	Street End Park Development	\$25,000	\$22,135,000	0	0
60	Non-Park Specific	Wayfinding Signage To Parks	\$15,000	\$22,150,000	0	0
61	Non-Park Specific	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$22,170,000	0	0

## 6. Projects Awaiting Master Plans

Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost	Priority Points	Secondary Points
Brugger's Bog Park	Pathway Development	\$200,000	\$200,000	4	8
	Vegetation Management Plan - Develop and Implement	\$50,000	\$250,000	3	13
	Playground Replacement Project (& Relocation)	\$250,000	\$500,000	3	7
	Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$515,000	3	6
	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$535,000	0	11
	Park Entry Signage	\$15,000	\$550,000	0	8
	Court (Basketball) Development	\$250,000	\$800,000	0	8
	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$1,050,000	0	5
Hillwood Park	Restroom Repair/Replacement	\$150,000	\$1,200,000	7	6
	Park Drainage Improvement	\$200,000	\$1,400,000	3	6
	Court (Tennis) Repair/Replacement	\$100,000	\$1,500,000	3	5
	Hillwood Park Master Plan	\$100,000	\$1,600,000	2	9

Pathway (Loop or Measured) Development	\$200,000	\$1,800,000	1	9
Baseball Field Repair/Replacement	\$500,000	\$2,300,000	1	6
Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$2,315,000	1	5
Community Garden Development	\$75,000	\$2,390,000	0	11
Court (Pickleball) Development	\$15,000	\$2,405,000	0	10
Off-leash Dog Area Development	\$250,000	\$2,655,000	0	10
Spray Park Development	\$250,000	\$2,905,000	0	10
Adult Exercise Equipment Development	\$250,000	\$3,155,000	0	9
Exercise stairway Development	\$250,000	\$3,405,000	0	9
Skate Park Development	\$250,000	\$3,655,000	0	9
Court (Basketball) Development	\$15,000	\$3,670,000	0	8
Outdoor Theater Development	\$250,000	\$3,920,000	0	8
Public Art (Permanent) Installation	\$250,000	\$4,170,000	0	8
Public Art (Temporary) Installation	\$250,000	\$4,420,000	0	8



	Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$4,670,000	0	7
	Adventure Park (Zipline) Development	\$250,000	\$4,920,000	0	5
	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$5,170,000	0	5
James Keough Park	Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$5,420,000	7	5
	Court (Tennis) Repair/Replacement	\$100,000	\$5,520,000	4	5
	Accessible Pathway Development	\$200,000	\$5,720,000	4	5
	James Keough Park Master Plan	\$100,000	\$5,820,000	3	4
	Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$5,835,000	1	5
	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$5,855,000	0	6
	Court (Pickleball) Development	\$15,000	\$5,870,000	0	5
	Community Garden Development	\$250,000	\$6,120,000	0	4
Ridgecrest	Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$6,370,000	6	8
	Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$6,385,000	3	9
	Ridgecrest Park Master Plan	\$25,000	\$6,410,000	3	5
	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$6,430,000	1	8

	Pathway (Loop or Measured) Development	\$200,000	\$6,630,000	1	6
	Sound Buffer Development	\$15,000	\$6,645,000	1	3
	Off-leash Dog Area Development	\$250,000	\$6,895,000	0	9
	Trail Development	\$200,000	\$7,095,000	0	8
	Court (Handball) Development Project (Relocated)	\$250,000	\$7,345,000	0	3
Shoreview Park	Trail Repair/Replacement	\$150,000	\$7,495,000	5	5
	Park Drainage Improvement	\$200,000	\$7,695,000	3	3
	Park Drainage Improvement	\$75,000	\$7,770,000	2	2
	Shoreview Park Master Plan	\$100,000	\$7,870,000	1	6
	Wayfinding Signage To Parks	\$15,000	\$7,885,000	1	5
	Wayfinding Signage To Parks	\$15,000	\$7,900,000	0	8
	BMX - Fee Ride Bike Park Development	\$250,000	\$8,150,000	0	8
	Court (Pickleball) Development	\$15,000	\$8,165,000	0	7
	Spray Park Development	\$250,000	\$8,415,000	0	7
	Playground Development Project (All	\$500,000	\$8,915,000	0	7

Accessible)				
Trail Wayfinding Map & Marker	\$15,000	\$8,930,000	0	5
Outdoor Theater Development	\$250,000	\$9,180,000	0	3
Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$9,330,000	6	3
Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$9,580,000	2	4
Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$9,830,000	2	4
Field Repair/Replacement	\$1,000,000	\$10,830,000	3	6
Restroom Repair	\$150,000	\$10,980,000	6	4
Playground Equipment Replacement	\$250,000	\$11,230,000	2	4

## 7. Other Great Ideas

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
1	Fircrest	Activities Building/Chapel Community Use	\$0	\$0	0	0
2	Non-Park Specific	Cross department/jurisdictional	\$0	\$0	0	0
3	Eastside Off-Leash Dog Area	DSHS Lease Agreement Renewal	\$0	\$0	0	0
4	Non-Park Specific	Field Conversion Projects	\$0	\$0	0	0
5	Non-Park Specific	Invasive Species Annual Maintenance Contract Work/Projects	\$0	\$0	0	0
6	Shoreline Park	Multi-Year Use Agreement with Shoreline School District	\$0	\$0	0	0
7	Hamlin Park	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$0	\$0	0	0
8	Ridgecrest	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$0	\$0	0	0
9	South Woods	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$0	\$0	0	0
10	Twin Ponds	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$0	\$0	0	0
11	Fircrest	State Fircrest Master Plan - (advocate for Neighborhood Amenities)	\$0	\$0	0	0
12	Densmore Trail	Park Maintenance Contract Add	\$10,000	\$10,000	0	0
13	Gloria's Path	Park Maintenance Contract Add	\$10,000	\$20,000	0	0
14	Interurban Trail (160th-155th)	SCL/COS Maintenance MOU	\$10,000	\$30,000	0	0
15	Interurban Trail (175th-160th)	SCL/COS Maintenance MOU	\$10,000	\$40,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
16	Interurban Trail (155th-145th)	SCL/COS Maintenance MOU	\$10,000	\$50,000	0	0
17	Interurban Trail (200th-192nd)	SCL/COS Maintenance MOU	\$10,000	\$60,000	0	0
18	Richmond Beach Community Park	Court (Pickleball) Development	\$15,000	\$75,000	0	0
19	Cromwell Park	Electrical Upgrade	\$15,000	\$90,000	0	0
20	Non-Park Specific	Electrical Upgrade	\$15,000	\$105,000	0	0
21	Paramount School Park	Electrical Upgrade	\$15,000	\$120,000	0	0
22	Cedarbrook Elementary School	Entry Improvement	\$15,000	\$135,000	0	0
23	Non-Park Specific	Multi-Lingual Park Rule Signage	\$15,000	\$150,000	0	0
24	Ballinger Open Space	Park Vehicular Way finding Signage	\$15,000	\$165,000	0	0
25	Innis Arden Reserve	Park Vehicular Way finding Signage	\$15,000	\$180,000	0	0
26	Echo Lake Park	Safe Parks Project	\$15,000	\$195,000	0	0
27	Hamlin Park	Safe Parks Project	\$15,000	\$210,000	0	0
28	Interurban Trail (175th-160th)	Safe Parks Project	\$15,000	\$225,000	0	0
29	Interurban Trail (155th-145th)	Safe Parks Project	\$15,000	\$240,000	0	0
30	Non-Park Specific	Safe Parks Projects	\$15,000	\$255,000	0	0
31	Non-Park Specific	Shoreline Park & Recreation Mobility Projects	\$15,000	\$270,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
32	Boeing Creek Park	Trail Way finding Map & Marker	\$15,000	\$285,000	0	0
33	Non-Park Specific	Translated Printed Materials	\$15,000	\$300,000	0	0
34	Interurban Trail (160th-155th)	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$320,000	0	0
35	Meridian Park	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$340,000	0	0
36	Richmond Beach Community Park	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$360,000	0	0
37	Ronald Bog	Park Tree Planting	\$20,000	\$380,000	0	0
38	Non-Park Specific	Street Tree Maintenance	\$20,000	\$400,000	0	0
39	Gloria's Path	Trail Repair/Replacement	\$20,000	\$420,000	0	0
40	Richmond Highlands Recreation Center	Building Life Cycle Cost Analysis	\$25,000	\$445,000	0	0
41	Richmond Highlands Park	Park Greenway Development	\$25,000	\$470,000	0	0
42	Non-Park Specific	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park Water Trail Development Project; Echo Lake Small Craft Launch	\$25,000	\$495,000	0	0
43	Paramount School Park	Vegetation Maintenance Project	\$25,000	\$520,000	0	0
44	Kayu Kayu Ac Park	Environmental Stewardship Program	\$50,000	\$570,000	0	0
45	Shoreline Park	Court (Tennis) & Light Relocation	\$100,000	\$670,000	0	0
46	Hamlin Park	Upper Hamlin Park /25th Avenue NE Park Master Plan	\$100,000	\$770,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
<b>47</b>	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park	Bluff Trail Native Planting	\$200,000	\$970,000	0	0
<b>48</b>	Hamlin Park	Environmental Interpretive Trail & Signage Development	\$200,000	\$1,170,000	0	0
<b>49</b>	Paramount Open Space	Environmental Interpretive Trail & Signage Development	\$200,000	\$1,370,000	0	0
<b>50</b>	South Woods	Environmental Interpretive Trail & Signage Development	\$200,000	\$1,570,000	0	0
<b>51</b>	Meridian Park	Environmental Outdoor Classroom Development	\$200,000	\$1,770,000	0	0
<b>52</b>	South Woods	Environmental Outdoor Classroom Development	\$200,000	\$1,970,000	0	0
<b>53</b>	Non-Park Specific	Environmental Stewardship Program (AmeriCorps Coordinator)	\$200,000	\$2,170,000	0	0
<b>54</b>	Darnell	Environmental Storm Water Improvement	\$200,000	\$2,370,000	0	0
<b>55</b>	Paramount Open Space	Environmental Storm Water Improvement	\$200,000	\$2,570,000	0	0
<b>56</b>	Ballinger Open Space	Environmental Storm Water Improvement	\$200,000	\$2,770,000	0	0
<b>57</b>	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity (8)	Environmental Surface Water Improvement Project (1st Av NE north of 155th Street)	\$200,000	\$2,970,000	0	0
<b>58</b>	Non-Park Specific	Environmental Surface Water Improvement Projects	\$200,000	\$3,170,000	0	0
<b>59</b>	Non-Park Specific	Environmental Outdoor Classroom Development	\$200,000	\$3,370,000	0	0
<b>60</b>	Fircrest	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$3,570,000	0	0
<b>61</b>	Firlands Way N	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$3,770,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
62	Hamlin Park	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$3,970,000	0	0
63	Interurban Trail (205th-200th)	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$4,170,000	0	0
64	James Keough Park	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$4,370,000	0	0
65	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$4,570,000	0	0
66	Paramount Open Space	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$4,770,000	0	0
67	Ronald Bog	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$4,970,000	0	0
68	Rotary Park	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$5,170,000	0	0
69	SCL ROW 10th and 12th NE	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$5,370,000	0	0
70	South Woods	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$5,570,000	0	0
71	Twin Ponds	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$5,770,000	0	0
72	Paramount School Park	Park Greenway Development	\$200,000	\$5,970,000	0	0
73	Non-Park Specific	Parks Recycling	\$200,000	\$6,170,000	0	0
74	Cedarbrook Elementary School	Pathway Development	\$200,000	\$6,370,000	0	0
75	Twin Ponds	Pathway Development	\$200,000	\$6,570,000	0	0
76	Northcrest Park	Pathway Development	\$200,000	\$6,770,000	0	0
77	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity	Pedestrian/Bicycle Connections Projects (East-West)	\$200,000	\$6,970,000	0	0



	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
78	Fircrest	Roadway, Parking and Trail improvement	\$200,000	\$7,170,000	0	0
79	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park	Safe Routes to Parks Development Project	\$200,000	\$7,370,000	0	0
80	Twin Ponds	Twin Ponds Master Plan - Neighborhood Park Amenities	\$200,000	\$7,570,000	0	0
81	Cedarbrook Elementary School	Wetland Creation/Creek Daylighting	\$200,000	\$7,770,000	0	0
82	Hamlin Park	Adventure Park (High Ropes Course) Development	\$250,000	\$8,020,000	0	0
83	Cedarbrook Elementary School	Adventure Park (Zipline) Development	\$250,000	\$8,270,000	0	0
84	Hamlin Park	Adventure Park (Zipline) Development	\$250,000	\$8,520,000	0	0
85	Richmond Highlands Park	Community Garden Development	\$250,000	\$8,770,000	0	0
86	Fircrest	Community Garden Development	\$250,000	\$9,020,000	0	0
87	Hamlin Park	Community Garden Development	\$250,000	\$9,270,000	0	0
88	Twin Ponds	Court (Handball) Development Project (Relocated)	\$250,000	\$9,520,000	0	0
89	Cedarbrook Elementary School	Hillside Slide Development	\$250,000	\$9,770,000	0	0
90	Hamlin Park	Hillside Slide Development	\$250,000	\$10,020,000	0	0
91	Hamlin Park	Off-leash Dog Area Development	\$250,000	\$10,270,000	0	0
92	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity	Off-leash Dog Area Development	\$250,000	\$10,520,000	0	0

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
93	Paramount Open Space	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$10,770,000	0	0
94	Twin Ponds	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$11,020,000	0	0
95	Twin Ponds	Picnic Shelter & Site Furnishings Installation	\$250,000	\$11,270,000	0	0
96	Cedarbrook Elementary School	Playground Development Project (Nature Play)	\$250,000	\$11,520,000	0	0
97	North City Park	Playground Development Project (Nature Play)	\$250,000	\$11,770,000	0	0
98	South Woods	Playground Development Project (Nature Play)	\$250,000	\$12,020,000	0	0
99	Shoreline City Hall	Public Art & Permanent Art Gallery Space	\$250,000	\$12,270,000	0	0
100	Interurban Trail (185th-175th) Park at Town Center	Public Art Installation (Permanent)	\$250,000	\$12,520,000	0	0
101	Rotary Park	Public Art Installation (Permanent)	\$250,000	\$12,770,000	0	0
102	Shoreline City Hall	Public Art Installation (Permanent)	\$250,000	\$13,020,000	0	0
103	Westminster Triangle	Public Art Installation (Permanent)	\$250,000	\$13,270,000	0	0
104	Hamlin Park	Public Art Installation (Temporary)	\$250,000	\$13,520,000	0	0
105	North City Park	Public Art Installation (Temporary)	\$250,000	\$13,770,000	0	0
106	Paramount Open Space	Public Art Installation (Temporary)	\$250,000	\$14,020,000	0	0
107	South Woods	Public Art Installation (Temporary)	\$250,000	\$14,270,000	0	0
108	Ronald Bog	Public Art Relocation ( <i>The Kiss</i> )	\$250,000	\$14,520,000	0	0
109	Shoreview Park - OLDA	Shelter and Water	\$250,000	\$14,770,000	0	0

**Attachment B**

	Park	Project Name	Preliminary Cost Estimate	Cumulative Cost estimate	Priority Points	Secondary Points
110	Cromwell Park	Skate Park Development	\$250,000	\$15,020,000	0	0
111	Sunset School Park	Skate Park Development	\$250,000	\$15,270,000	0	0
112	Echo Lake Park	Small Craft Launch Development (Non-Motorized)	\$250,000	\$15,520,000	0	0
113	South Woods	South Woods Master Plan - Neighborhood Park Amenities	\$250,000	\$15,770,000	0	0
114	Light Rail Station Subarea Opportunity	Spray Park Development	\$250,000	\$16,020,000	0	0
115	Richmond Highlands Park	Spray Park Development	\$250,000	\$16,270,000	0	0
116	Sunset School Park	Spray Park Development	\$250,000	\$16,520,000	0	0
117	Echo Lake Park	Park & Open Space Acquisition	\$500,000	\$17,020,000	0	0
118	Hamlin Park	Playground Development Project (All Accessible)	\$500,000	\$17,520,000	0	0
119	Richmond Highlands Park	Playground Development Project (All Accessible)	\$500,000	\$18,020,000	0	0
120	Fircrest	Playground Development Project (All Accessible)	\$500,000	\$18,520,000	0	0
121	Richmond Beach Saltwater Park	Caretaker's Residence Replacement / Redevelopment	\$1,500,000	\$20,020,000	0	0