

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEM
CITY OF SHORELINE, WASHINGTON

AGENDA TITLE:	Preferred Option for the Shoreline Swimming Pool Master Plan
DEPARTMENT:	Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Department Public Works Department
PRESENTED BY:	Wendy Barry, Director <i>WB</i> Paul T. Cornish, Capital Projects Manager <i>PC</i>

EXECUTIVE / COUNCIL SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to gain City Council concurrence on its preferred option for the Shoreline Swimming Pool Master Plan and to direct staff to present the preferred option to the Shoreline School Board for their review.

On November 9, 1998, the twenty year Parks, Open Space and Recreation Services Program (POSP) was adopted with the six-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The 1999-2004 CIP includes top priority projects from the POSP including the Swimming Pool Improvements project. The POSP noted that many of the City's parks are in need of basic repairs, and some existing facilities require renovation. This CIP project was established to provide a mechanism to evaluate the existing condition and to improve and/or upgrade the existing pool facility through a master planning process that includes involvement from users and citizens of the City.

During the 1998 budget presentation, members of the community voiced their concern over the inadequacy of the women's locker room facilities at the Shoreline Pool and the lack of adequate parking. Because of the design and age of the pool, it was recommended that a master plan for the facility be prepared.

Included in the City's 1999 Budget adopted by your Council on November 23, 1998, is a budget of \$55,000 for the design of the additional parking along 1st Avenue NE and the development of the Swimming Pool Master Plan. In March of 1999, the City Manager executed a consultant contract to prepare the master plan. At your May 3, 1999 Council meeting, staff presented the project background and outlined the proposed public involvement process for the development of the Swimming Pool Master Plan. The public process has been completed and a preferred option has been developed for your consideration.

An extensive public process was utilized to develop the preferred option. The Shoreline School District staff have been active participants on the project team and have participated at public meetings. Two public meetings were held and the three options were discussed and evaluated. (See Attachment A for drawings of Options 1, 2, and 3.) The three options include 1) basic upgrades to the existing facility 2) basic upgrades plus expansion and improvements to the locker rooms, lobby, balcony viewing area, office work space and the addition of a classroom, and 3) the features of Options 1 and 2 plus the addition of a family oriented pool and changing area.

In addition to public meetings, 17,500 surveys were distributed as an insert in the *Shoreline Enterprise* and 157 citizens responded to a survey. (See Attachment B for survey and Attachment C for survey results). This information helped staff to identify needs and concerns of the community.

The existing budget in the 1999-2004 Capital Improvement Plan for the master plan and the design and construction of both parking and facility improvements is \$1,400,000. The development of the master plan and the parking improvements to be constructed this fall are estimated to cost \$393,000. The remaining funds, \$1,007,000 will be available for the design and construction of improvements to the swimming pool.

The Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services (PRCS) Advisory Committee has reviewed the three options. While the concept of a family aquatic center was more in alignment with their 20 year vision for the facility, they were hesitant to recommend expanding the current facility because of site constraints for parking and the potential negative impacts on the park located adjacent to and north of the pool.

The PRCS Advisory Committee discussed the importance of maintaining the existing infrastructure to provide service to the community until the City has identified appropriate land and financial resources to develop a family aquatic center. They debated the merits of extending the life of the pool systems 10 years versus 20 years. They are recommending the City address the immediate need for more space in the women's locker room and renovate the existing facility's systems to assure that the facility will last 20 years. It was the Committee's opinion that this would allow adequate time for the City to plan a family aquatic center that would best reflect and serve the community. At their September 9th meeting, the PRCS Advisory Committee moved and approved two motions as follows:

- Recommend Option 2 modified to do what is necessary to stay within the budget.
- Recommend that the City continue to plan an aquatic facility that better reflects the current and future needs of the community; and, recommended the study of an appropriate location that fits the needs of such a facility.

Staff concurs with the PRCS Advisory Committee recommendations. Staff has worked with the consultant using the criteria of a) extending the life of the facility by 20 years, b) expanding the women's locker room, and c) staying within the available budget, to develop the preferred option being recommended to your council in this report. (See Attachment D for the Preferred Option.) Specific items that were eliminated from Option 2 include installation of windows in the balcony viewing area, a new heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system for the balcony area, a lift for handicap access to the balcony, a new classroom, and a portion of the work on the lobby and natatorium HVAC systems.

The estimated cost of the preferred option, however, is \$1,231,000 which exceeds the remaining funds of \$1,007,000 budgeted within the CIP. And, the life of the facility is extended by an estimated 20 year.

If the Preferred Option is pursued, staff would need to study phasing possibilities and other potential means for accomplishing the project within budget or seek a budget adjustment of \$224,000.

The Preferred Option includes:

- Extend life of facility HVAC, electrical, plumbing, boiler and pool filtering systems by 20 years
- Replacement of roofing
- Improve and replace deteriorated fixtures and upgrade interior finishes
- Enlarge women's dressing room and lobby and add work and storage space

RECOMMENDATION

Staff requests that Council provide a consensus on the Preferred Option for the Shoreline Swimming Pool Master Plan as recommended by the City's Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Advisory Committee. Staff will present this consensus to the Shoreline School District Board for review and return to Council with options for the phasing and funding of this master plan as well as the master plans for the Paramount School Park and Richmond Highlands Recreation Center.

Approved By: City Manager LB City Attorney N/A

BACKGROUND / ANALYSIS

HISTORY

The Shoreline pool was built with Forward Thrust Bonds by King County in 1967 and is situated on Shoreline School District property. In recent years, King County spent \$72,000 on strengthening the roof diaphragms and interconnecting the diaphragms. We are not aware of any other significant renovation or reinvestment in the facility by King County. After the incorporation of the City of Shoreline in 1995, the Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Services Department was created. King County Parks facilities including the Shoreline Pool, were transferred to the City of Shoreline on June 1, 1997. As part of the transfer of property, King County committed to reimbursing the City up to \$150,000 for expenses incurred through December 2000 for repairs that were unforeseen at the time of the transfer of the pool. Approximately \$75,000 has been reimbursed to the City.

On November 9, 1998, the twenty year Parks, Open Space and Recreation Services Program (POSP) was adopted with the six-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The 1999-2004 CIP includes top priority projects from the POSP including the Swimming Pool Improvements project. The POSP noted that many of the City's parks are in need of basic repairs, and some existing facilities require renovation. This CIP project was established to provide a mechanism to evaluate the existing condition and to improve and/or upgrade the existing pool facility through a master planning process that includes involvement from users and citizens of the City.

CURRENT CONDITIONS

The consultants visited the site to analyze the existing systems in the pool facility in relation to applicable building, plumbing and electric codes. They also considered State Board of Health, Water Recreation Facilities Regulations, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and various USA Diving, Swimming and National Collegiate Athletics Association (NCAA) rules and regulations.

The following items are examples of deficiencies that need to be corrected and systems that are nearing the end of their anticipated lifespan.

- Roof and flashings are in need of replacement within 2-5 years.
- Acoustical treatment in the natatorium is in poor condition and needs replacement.
- The guardrail in the balcony area does not meet current code.
- There is no designated first aid area and this is a code requirement.
- The main pool concrete deck curbs are cracked and spalling at several locations.
- There are deficiencies in ADA access to different parts of the building.
- Restroom facilities do not meet current ADA requirements for size and clearances.
- Doors in the building do not comply with ADA and are rusting and deteriorated.
- Boiler replacement is recommended to extend the life of the facility beyond 20 years
- There is no backflow preventer in the makeup water line to the boiler that is required by code.

- Plumbing deficiencies include some leaks in the walls in the locker rooms.
- Various pool water system components need repair or replacement. HVAC systems in the building are original and are wasteful of energy. The existing systems could be retrofitted with a heat recovery system that will provide increased efficiency.
- Pneumatic control systems for the air distribution system are deteriorating.
- The current electrical service and distribution system does not contain spare capacity. Additional receptacles are needed in the office area specifically to support computer and office equipment. Interior lighting levels do not meet current code.
- There is no fire alarm system.

This list may appear lengthy. However, the consultant found the facility building and pool infrastructure to be sound and of significant value to warrant reinvestment in the facility.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

The public involvement process included two open houses and a citywide survey included in the July 21st edition of the *Shoreline Enterprise*. The process involved direct mailings to residents within a half mile radius of the pool, notification in the *Shoreline Enterprise*, posting meeting dates on the Master Calendar and monthly updates to the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Advisory Committee.

Approximately 2,000 newsletters were mailed, for each open house, to residents and property owners within a half mile radius of the Pool (See Attachment E).

First Open House - July 15, 1999

At the first Open House, three options were displayed and informal discussions were held with City staff, the architect and the residents in attendance. Ten residents signed in at the first open house. Participants were asked to complete surveys. The three options being considered were:

Option 1 Basic renovation of the existing facility, \$650,000

This includes a minor renovation to heating and ventilation system, replacement of electrical panel boards and deck lighting, install fire alarm system, replace domestic water heater, and give a facelift to the facility by replacing interior finishes and fixtures, and utilizing existing space upstairs for storage and work space. In this option there would be no change in the building footprint. This would extend the facility life by 10 years, and provide energy savings.

Option 2 Option 1 plus expansions and improvements to the locker rooms, lobby balcony viewing area, office work space and add a classroom. \$1,750,000

This would include all of Option 1 work plus minor expansions of the building footprint to expand the women's locker room, improvements to the lobby and office/reception area, add a public classroom, rehabilitate the filler tank, replace HVAC systems in the natatorium locker and lobby areas, replace plumbing fixtures and domestic water piping, and replacement of electrical circuit wiring and general area lighting fixtures. This would extend the facility life by 20 years.

Option 3 Option 1 and 2 plus the addition of a family pool, and additional parking for the expanded usage. \$4,171,000

This would include all of Option 1 and 2 plus it would add a zero-depth warm water family pool with shallow water play area, tots pool, lazy river, spa, sauna, viewing terrace, and mechanical systems to serve the new pool. It would replace the boiler system, add meeting and party room spaces, and add handicapped accessible family changing rooms. This would extend the facility life by 30-40 years and expand the programming opportunities for dry land and aquatic facilities.

Citywide Survey - July 21, 1999

17,500 surveys were distributed through an insert in the July 21 edition of the Shoreline Enterprise. This survey asked residents several questions about how they use the existing facility, what are the important features desired in the swimming pool, what they like and don't like about each option, and which of the three options they prefer. The cost of each option was not included in the survey.

Survey results

The 157 surveys that were returned showed improvements to the women's locker room, lap lanes, and a shallow play area as their highest priority. Option 3 received the most support at 68%. The results of the survey are included as Attachment C to this report.

Second Open House - August 19, 1999

At the second Open House, the results of the survey were presented. Six residents attended this Open House. Residents in attendance agreed that the deficiency with the women's locker room needed to be included in any option. Option 3 has impacts to the existing park and would require parking improvements in addition to the parking presently proposed for construction this fall along 1st Avenue NE. The potential impacts of Option 3 were seen as too large for the site and the surrounding neighborhood. The residents in attendance indicated a preference for Option 2 because it extends the life on the building (20 years) a sufficient amount for the investment, and meets the need of improvements to the locker rooms.

Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Committee – September 9, 1999

At their September 9, 1999 meeting, the Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Committee reviewed the results of the two public meetings and the survey.

The Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services (PRCS) Advisory Committee has reviewed the three options. The concept of a family aquatic center was more in alignment with their 20 year vision for the facility. However, they were hesitant to recommend expanding the current facility because of site constraints for parking and the potential negative impacts on the park located adjacent to and north of the pool.

The Committee discussed the importance of maintaining the existing infrastructure to provide service to the community until the City has identified appropriate land and financial resources to develop a family aquatic center. They debated the merits of extending the life of the pool systems 10 years versus 20 years. They are recommending the City address the immediate need for more space in the women's locker room and renovate the existing facility's systems to assure that the facility will last 20 years without major reinvestment. This would allow adequate time for the City to plan a family aquatic center that would best reflect and serve the community. The PRCS Advisory Committee noted that the community of Shoreline is made up largely of families. The pool was constructed as a competition swim facility as part of a school site, and is not conducive to family programming due to the limited amount of shallow water. Also, family pools are typically warmer water pools with water temperatures that are not well suited for competition or lap swimming.

The Parks and Recreation and Cultural Services Committee requested staff include the improvement to the women's locker room and the air handling system as the key features from Option 2 that need to be included in the Preferred Alternative to be recommended to your Council for consideration. At their September 9th meeting, the PRCS Advisory Committee moved and approved two motions as follows:

- Recommend Option 2 modified to do what is necessary to stay within the budget.
- Recommend that the City continue to plan an aquatic facility that better reflects the current and future needs of the community; and, recommended the study of an appropriate location that fits the needs of such a facility.

Staff concurs with the PRCS Advisory Committee recommendations. The existing site is not conducive to further expansion due to the negative impacts building and parking expansion would have on the passive park area located north of the pool building. The City has not identified land or resources to develop a family aquatic center. It is likely that a 20 year horizon could be needed in order to accomplish this vision. The existing pool facility needs immediate attention or it will be subject to emergency closures and unplanned capital expenses in the near future. Infusing money into the existing facility rather than replacing it makes sense from a cost and benefit perspective.

Staff has worked with the consultant using the criteria of a) extending the life of the facility by 20 years, b) expanding the women's locker room, and c) staying within the available budget, to develop the Preferred Option (See Attachment D) being recommended to your Council in this report. Specific items that were eliminated from Option 2 include installation of windows in the balcony viewing area, a new heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system for the balcony area, a lift for handicap access to the balcony, a new classroom, and a portion of the work on the lobby and natatorium HVAC systems. The Preferred Option will extend the life of the facility by 20 years.

Cost Analysis of the Preferred Option.

Architectural/Structural	\$ 390,000
Mechanical	\$ 417,000
Electrical	\$ 140,000
Contingency	\$ 142,000
Planning and Design	\$ 142,000
Total	\$1,231,000

Master Plan Phasing and Funding Scenarios

At this time there are three Parks and Recreation Facility Master Plans being developed that are funded through the six-year CIP. The Paramount School Park was presented to your City Council September 20, 1999 and the Richmond Highlands Recreation Center Master Plan will be presented in November. After your review of the three Master Plans, staff will develop and present phasing and funding scenarios for your Council's consideration. This timeline and process is recommended by staff as it is anticipated that the funding needed for design and construction of these preferred master plans may exceed available funds. Also, staff believes the status of I-695 should be determined before we recommend funding alternatives.


RECOMMENDATION

Staff requests that Council provide a consensus on the Preferred Option for the Shoreline Swimming Pool Master Plan as recommended by the City's Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Advisory Committee. Staff will present this consensus to the Shoreline School District Board for review, and return to Council with options for the phasing and funding of this master plan as well as the master plans for the Paramount School Park and Richmond Highlands Recreation Center.


ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A:	Options 1, 2, and 3 Drawings
Attachment B:	Survey
Attachment C:	Survey Results
Attachment D:	Preferred Option Drawing
Attachment E:	Newsletters


Pool Option 1 - Current Building



Pool Option 2



Pool Option 3



SHORELINE SWIMMING POOL

We Need A Couple of Minutes of Your Time

The Shoreline Swimming Pool is a community asset that could use your help. The City needs you to tell us how you feel about the swimming pool. What do you like about the pool? What don't you like? How could the pool be improved to meet your needs? As part of the City's public participation process, please take a couple of minutes and fill out this survey. We appreciate and value your opinion.

Through the course of the summer, the City will be developing the master plan for the swimming pool. This master plan will reflect the community's vision for the future of the swimming pool. The master plan will include what changes and upgrades should be considered when future funding is allocated.

Three alternatives are being considered. Please take a look at them and give us your comments. Call Paul Cornish at 546-0786 or e-mail pcornish@ci.shoreline.wa.us for any questions or to obtain information on future meetings regarding the development of the master plan.

The Swimming Pool Today

In early 1998, the City commissioned a survey of the condition of the City owned facilities including the Shoreline Swimming Pool. Several deficiencies were discovered. The heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) systems and the plumbing and lighting systems were discovered to be worn, outdated and in need of upgrades.

Additional deficiencies include the worn and outdated plumbing and lighting systems. On the exterior if the building, deficiencies include wear on the roof and drainage system. In addition to this survey, members of the community have voiced concern over the inadequacy of the locker room facilities and limited parking at the pool. At a minimum, basic repairs to the mechanical system are required for continued operation of the pool.

What ideas are being considered?

Three options are being considered.

Option 1: Basic renovation of the existing facility.

Option 2: Basic Renovation of the existing facility plus the addition of rooms and offices within the existing building footprint, and additional parking for the expanded usage.

Option 3: Basic renovation of the existing facility, additional rooms and office plus the addition of a family pool, and additional parking for the expanded usage.

Please mail your completed survey by **August 7**, to:

Paul Cornish
Public Works Department
City of Shoreline
17544 Midvale Avenue North
Shoreline, Washington 98133


OR DROP OFF AT:

Shoreline Swimming Pool
19030 First Avenue N.E.
Shoreline City Hall
17544 Midvale Avenue North
Police Storefront, East
521 N.E. 165th Street
Police Storefront, West
630 N.W. Richmond Beach Road

(Circle letter of choice.)

Would you prefer that the design of any added pool space be:

- A. Oriented toward lap swimming and competitive sports.
- B. Oriented toward family activities with shallow areas, water features, etc.
- C. A combination of the two.
- D. Do not want added pool space.



How do you or your family currently use the facility?


- | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| A. Never or rarely use facility | B. Competitive Teams | C. Swim Lessons |
| D. Lap Swimming | E. Water Exercise Program | F. Open Recreational Swims |
| G. Therapy or Rehabilitation | H. Family Swim | |

Rate, in importance to you and your family, the following items. (No. 1 being the highest priority and No. 8 the lowest.)

- | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| A. More lap lanes _____ | B. Shallow play area _____ | C. Sauna _____ |
| D. Party/Meeting Classroom _____ | E. Dry land exercise area _____ | F. other idea (please state) _____ |
| G. Larger Locker Rooms _____ | H. Jacuzzi _____ | |

Additional Comments: _____

Please note which options you like the best: OPTION 1 ☐ OPTION 2 ☐ OPTION 3 ☐



FEATURES

Mechanical System Upgrade:

- ◆ HVAC (heating, ventilation & air conditioning)
- ◆ electrical system including lighting
- ◆ circulation & filtration
- ◆ chlorination system

Face Lift:

- ◆ interior improvements
- ◆ increase in office space
- ◆ additional work space and storage area upstairs
- ◆ no change in building footprint.


IMPACTS

- ◆ extend facility life by 10 years
- ◆ energy savings
- ◆ minimal impact to park
- ◆ no increase in usage
- ◆ doesn't expand women's locker room
- ◆ gain storage and work space
- ◆ more pleasant atmosphere
- ◆ least costly option...cost estimates to be provided at a later date

Option 1: *Basic renovation of the existing facility.*

What do you like about Option 1? _____

What do you dislike about Option 1? _____



FEATURES

All of Option 1 plus minimal expansion for...

- ◆ improving/expanding women's locker room
- ◆ improvements to lobby
- ◆ public classroom

IMPACTS


All of Option 1 plus...

- ◆ extend facility life by 20 years
- ◆ no additional increase in parking
- ◆ new public classroom space
- ◆ increased office and staff space
- ◆ median cost option... cost estimates to be provided at a later date

Option 2: *Basic renovation of the existing facility plus the addition of more rooms and offices with minimal expansion of the existing building footprint, and additional parking.*

What do you like about Option 2? _____

What do you dislike about Option 2? _____



FEATURES

All of Option 2 plus...

- ◆ zero depth warm water family pool
- ◆ shallow water play area
- ◆ tots pool
- ◆ lazy river
- ◆ spa & sauna
- ◆ viewing terrace
- ◆ mechanical room
- ◆ family and handicapped viewing area

IMPACTS

All of Option 2 plus...

- ◆ added viewing area
- ◆ increase in usage by family and seniors (50%-80%)
- ◆ increase useful life by 30-40 years
- ◆ better handicap access
- ◆ provides viewing of training area by parents
- ◆ addition of meeting/party space
- ◆ expands programming opportunities for both dry and wet
- ◆ warm water expands use of facility for: therapy, recreation, lessons
- ◆ most costly option... cost estimates to be provided at a later date

Option 3: Basic renovation of the existing facility, additional rooms and office plus the addition of a family pool, and additional parking for the expanded usage.

What do you like about Option 3? _____


What do you dislike about Option 3? _____



Shoreline Swimming Pool *Survey Results*




Prioritization for Design of Added Pool Space




- No Choice
- Lap Swimming & Competitive Sports
- Family Activities
- Combination of the two
- Don't add pool space

Prioritization for Current Use of Facility





- No Choice
- Never or rarely use facility
- Competitive teams
- Swim lessons
- Lap swimming
- Water exercise program
- Open recreational swims
- Therapy or rehabilitation
- Family swim


Prioritization of Need for More Lap Lanes




Prioritization of Need for Shallow Play Area



Prioritization of Need for Sauna





Prioritization of Need for Party/Meeting Classroom Space





Prioritization of Need for Dry Land Exercise Area




Prioritization of Need for Larger Locker Room Area




Prioritization of Need for Jacuzzi



Prioritization of Pool Options



Preferred Option





SWIMMING POOL

Master Plan Update

The Swimming Pool Today

In early 1998 the City commissioned a survey of the condition of the City owned facilities. As part of this survey, the Shoreline Swimming Pool was investigated and several deficiencies were discovered. The deficiencies include several problems with the heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) system. Several components of the HVAC system were discovered to be worn, outdated and in need of upgrades. Additional deficiencies include the worn and outdated plumbing and lighting systems. Exterior deficiencies include wear on the roof and drainage system. In addition to this survey, members of the community have voiced concern over the inadequacy of the women's locker room facilities and limited parking at the pool.

Where We Are Today

We are beginning the Master Plan process and soliciting public input.

Why the Master Plan Process Now?

When City Council adopted the 1999-2004 Capital Improvement Program, it allocated funding for several high priority projects. One of these projects is improvements to the Shoreline Swimming Pool. The Council allocated money for the development of a master plan in 1999. This will be followed, in 2000, by design of the improvement identified by the master plan. Construction will take place in 2001.

What is a Master Plan?

The master plan will reflect the community's vision for the future of the swimming pool. The master plan will include what changes and upgrades should be considered when future funding is allocated by City Council. The next step will be the actual design and construction of any improvements.

What ideas are being considered?

Three options are being considered.

Option 1: Basic renovation of the existing facility.

Option 2: Basic Renovation of the existing facility plus the addition of rooms and offices within the existing building footprint, and additional parking for the expanded usage.

Option 3: Basic renovation of the existing facility, additional rooms and office plus the addition of a family pool, and additional parking for the expanded usage.

What is the Master Plan Schedule & Budget?

1999 SCHEDULE:

July - First Open House

August - Second Open House

September - Parks Committee will review the options and public comments and make a recommendation to City Council.

October - City Council will determine their preferred alternative.

BUDGET:

1999	Develop Master Plan	\$20,000
1999/2000	Design	\$75,000
2000/2001	Construction	\$625,000
Total		\$720,000

When is the first Open House

Thursday, July 15, 7-9:00 p.m.
Shoreline Conf. Center
Aurora Room
18560 - 1st Avenue North

Who can I contact?

Paul T. Cornish
Capital Projects Manager
Phone: 546-0786
E-mail: pcornish@ci.shoreline.wa.us





SWIMMING POOL Master Plan Update

What has been happening with the development of the Master Plan?

The master planning for the Shoreline Swimming Pool began July 15th with an Open House. At this Open House staff presented three alternatives for comment and review. The three options being considered are:

◆ **Option 1**

Basic renovation of the existing facility.

◆ **Option 2**

Basic renovation of the existing facility plus small expansions for the existing facility to allow for room to expand the women's locker room and add a classroom.

◆ **Option 3**

Basic renovation of the existing facility, additional rooms and office space plus the addition of a family pool, and additional parking for the expanded usage.

Swimming Pool Survey

In your July 21st copy of the *Shoreline Enterprise*, you may have noticed a survey asking readers several questions. These questions related to how often they use the pool, how would they like to see any additional space used and what type of activities at the pool are important to them. Additionally, the three options were presented and readers were asked what they liked and didn't like

about each one. Over 100 surveys have been returned and the results will be discussed at the second public meeting.

Why is it important for me to attend the second Open House?

At this second open house the pluses and minus of the three options will be discussed as well as the results of the survey. From here a preferred alternative will be developed and forwarded on the Parks Committee.

Has the project schedule changed?

No, we are still on schedule to present a preferred alternative to the Park's Committee in early September with City Council determining its preferred alternative in early October.

When is the second Open House

August 19, 7:00-9:00 p.m.
Shoreline Conference Center
Aurora Room
18560 1st Avenue North

Is there someone at the City I call with any questions?

Yes, if you have any questions please contact:

Paul T. Cornish
Capital Projects Manager
Phone: 546-0786

E-Mail: pcornish@ci.shoreline.wa.us

