Date of			
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up	
9/17	1. The charts on page 8b-5 of the 9/22 council	The following charts were included in the 9/22 staff report on the preliminary 2015 budget.	
	packet show that permit revenue has not	PCD Revenue and Permit Activity	
	rebounded as much as permit activity. Is this	2007-2013	
	due to a shift in permit types? Is it expected to	\$2,000,000 3,000 2,500	
	be temporary or ongoing? (HALL)	be temporary or	\$1,000,000 - 2,000 \$1,000,000 - 1,500 Revenue
		\$500,000 - 1,000 - Permit - 500	
		\$- 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		Revenue and Permit Count
		Jan - June Totals (2007-2014)
		\$1,000,000
		\$800,000
		\$600,000 Revenue
		\$400,000 Permit Count
		\$200,000
		\$- + 0
		2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014
2/22		As the chart above displays, the January through June permit count is higher than the same period of 2007, but the amount of revenue collected is lower. During 2007, the Echo Lake site and YMCA accounted for \$48.1 million in project value and contributed approximately \$220,000 or nearly 15% of the \$1.5 million collected that year. In comparison, for 2014 we have experienced three major projects with an estimated value of \$10.8 million out of a total year to date value of \$34.5 million. In 2013, there were 2,675 permits, very close to the 2,718 experienced in 2007.
9/22	<ol> <li>How are the town center plans coming along?</li> <li>What kind of/how much development have we see as a results of that zoning designation?</li> <li>(SALOMON)</li> </ol>	The two tables below show the projects that have occurred as a result of the zoning changes for the Town Center and the creation of the North City Business District .

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		1		_	
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up			
		Town Center Subarea Projects			
ı		Plan adoption: July 2011			
!					
ļ		Project Name	Address	Construction Valuation	
ļ		Chuck Olson KIA	17001 Aurora Ave N	\$2,000,000	
!		Center Pointe Apartments (under review)	17962 Midvale Ave N	\$31,093,718	
		North City Business District Projects			
		Plan Adoption: July 2001			
		Project Name	Address	Construction Valuation	
ļ		Arabella I Apartments Remodel	17763 15th Ave NE	\$670,000	
!		North City Apartments aka Arabella)	17763 12th Ave NE	\$9,200,000	
! 		Frank Lumber remodel	17727 15th Ave NE	\$24,180	
		Gary East (retail)	17551 15th Ave NE	\$342,447	
I		Hotwire Coffeehouse	17547 15th Ave NE	\$15,000	
		North City Family Apartments (A)	17536 12th Ave NE	\$12,350,049	
		North City Family Apartments (B)	17542 12th Ave NE	\$14,688,600	
		North City Plaza (office)	17547 15th Ave NE	\$20,000	
! 		North City Water District	18013 15th Ave NE	\$4,000,000	
! 		Par Mark LLC (2-story)	17712 15th Ave NE	\$310,000	
! 		Safeway (fueling)	17230 15th Ave NE	\$630,000	
! 		Safeway Remodel	17230 15th Ave NE	\$500,000	
! 		Safeway (add'l remodels)	17230 15th Ave NE	\$202,500	
! 		Sunni's Pizza & Burgers	17751 15th Ave NE	\$45,000	
9/22	3. Parks Maint. Worker	The 2014 budget added a 1.0 Parks Ma	aintenance Worker II v	while also eliminating 4,443 hour	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Request: I don't	of extra help labor, resulting in an overall loss of 2,363 work hours. In 2012 the Parks			
! 	understand how extra	Department assumed the responsibilit	y for right of way tree	maintenance with no additional	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	help was "lost" rather	staff support, a responsibility that has	, ,		

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	than converted to an fte with benefits. I don't recall them being dedicated to a different task so why can't' they be used for tree removal tasks? Also how many trees are we removing and why? Are these hazardous trees? How are new ROW tree regs leading to the increase (?) of our involvement in tree removal? (SALOMON)	budget for right of way tree work has been \$10,000 for many years. As a result, when trees are identified as hazardous the park maintenance staff of licensed Arborists are doing the majority of right of way tree removal. Every tree removal, depending upon size, takes at least one day with some requiring multiple days for safe removal. As a rule, there are three staff required for each removal, with a fourth needed for traffic control on some streets. (One very complicated tree removal recently took four employees five days to complete.) With a staff of seven fulltime maintenance employees right of way tree work takes up a large percentage of time taking away from doing other work in the parks. As a result of decreased labor hours this year and increased tree responsibilities, routine parks maintenance has been compromised. Also, the proposed changes to the Personnel Policy related to the implementation of the Affordable Care Act specifies that extra help or seasonal help will be limited to performing "seasonal" work and could therefore, not participate in routine maintenance work such as litter / graffiti removal. And of course seasonal employees cannot provide assistance for sophisticated work such as tree removal. The new FTE with proper training would be able to assist with tree removal and perform many other ongoing routine work tasks that cannot be performed by extra help or seasonal staff.
		All trees removed in the right of way by park maintenance staff are determined to be hazardous by a licensed city Arborist using specific evaluation criteria. We do no pro-active tree maintenance in the right of way, only hazardous tree removals. No stumps are ground, sidewalks repaired if heaved by tree roots, or trees replanted in the right of way because of a lack of funding and staff. The request for stump grinding and tree re-planting in the 2015 budget request is to begin to replant some of the trees we have removed in the past 2 ½ years. This work would be done by a contractor and I assume 10 – 15 trees that have been removed would have stumps removed and new trees planted for the \$10,000 requested in the budget.  With over 15,000 trees in the right of way in our community we anticipate an ever increasing demand for hazardous tree evaluations and potential removals. With no dedicated right of

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		way tree staff and a very limited budget (\$10,000 annually) we will continue to offer a very low level of service and either leave hazardous trees standing in the right of way, or continue to provide a diminishing level of park maintenance service to deal with the worst of the worst hazardous trees in our right of way.
		There is a current back log of approximately a dozen citizen requests for hazardous tree evaluation that need to be assessed by the Arborists on our park maintenance staff.
9/22	4. Didn't we buy a grinder for sidewalks last year? Is this different than a stump grinder? (SALOMON)	The 2014 budget did include funding to purchase a new sidewalk grinder which is currently on order. The sidewalk grinder will be used by PW Maintenance staff instead of renting equipment each year for a significant cost savings. Parks request for \$10,000 in 2015 would be to purchase stump grinding services to remove the backlog of stumps that remain after hazardous trees have been removed. Although both pieces of equipment are grinders, the equipment is very different for grinding concrete and wood.
9/22	5. Surface Water Requests  – Is this request to spen- money from the Genera Fund or Surface Water Utility Fund? (SALOMON	All requests are proposed to be funded from the Surface Water Utility Fund.
9/22	6. With the inclusion of new construction AV estimated at \$25.9 million, the resulting estimated 2015 propert tax levy would increase to \$10,623,778 while the projected levy rate would decline from the current \$1.60 to an	Since the AV is projected to grow by approximately 12.9% and the property tax levy lid lift limits the growth in the total property tax levy to the rate of inflation (1.99%), the existing equation of AV*levy rate = levy, forces the levy rate to drop by the net difference in the growth of AV and the allowed inflationary growth in the levy. We expect to collect 99.5% of the estimated levy of \$10,623,778 or \$10,571,659 which is an increase over 2014 of \$298,454 or 2.9%.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
-	estimated \$1.45843 per	
	\$1,000 of assessed	
	valuation. Can you	
	explain why the levy rate	
	would decline? Is that	
	because there is a total	
	dollar value cap that's	
	met for Prop 1 before it	
	hits \$1.60? How many	
	dollars will the total levy	
	itself provide for this	
	year's budget?	
	(SALOMON)	
9/22	7. Shoreline Pool study	Yes we recently completed the condition assessment/needs analysis that cost approximately
	\$115,000 to figure out	\$50,000. The projects proposed in the CIP are a result of the Shoreline Pool
	what to do with the pool	Repair/Replacement Needs Analysis that was completed earlier this year. The Pool Master
	seems high. Haven't we	Plan is proposed for funding in 2018/2019. This is a study to analyze the best location, the
	recently done a	needs of an "aquatic" facility, and the partnership opportunities with the School District and
	condition study for	other potential partners.
	\$50,000? (SALOMON)	
9/22	8. Shoreline Pool Long-	As noted above, the CIP now contains some of the repair and maintenance projects
	Term Maintenance: The	recommended in the Needs Analysis report. Staff does not have a current cost estimate to
	total project cost	mothball the Shoreline Pool. However in addition to the initial cost of closing the facility, an
	increased from \$413,546	empty pool, even if drained, is a major liability. The nearest indoor private pool would be a
	to \$846,722. Is it time to	members only pool such as a health club or YMCA. I am not aware of any private pools open
	pull the plug on this	to the public in the area. Many Shoreline residents are dependent upon the Shoreline Pool
	pool? What would it	for lessons and fitness activities. The Shoreline School District is a major user of the Pool and
	cost to mothball it?	would need to find another facility to accommodate its activities.
	Where is the nearest	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
noquost	private pool? I know the 24 Hour Fitness at Northgate has one. (SALOMON)	The budget document states at the bottom of that page that the increase is due to the current CIP request being informed by the ORB report. Prior CIP request for the pool was 'best guess' without professional assessment guiding the projected cost analysis. The ORB report has accurate costs associated with short-term functional needs of the pool facility.
9/22	9. When we approved the Veteran's memorial site placement on City property I thought that was essentially the extent of the City's contribution. Now is there a request for \$75,000 in tax fund? (SALOMON)	No. The \$75,000 is labeled as Private Donations in the proposed CIP. It represents funds expected to be raised by the Shoreline Veteran's Association.
9/22	10. An approximately 40% increase in the cost of the police station seems to be a very high increase indeed. Was this not anticipated as a possible contingency? Is the generator a necessity? Do they currently have one at their site? (SALOMON)	The total project cost included in the proposed CIP is \$5.58 million. The cost has only increased by \$100,000 since staff updated Council in September of 2013 of the revised estimated total cost of \$5.48 million. In June of 2014, staff also advised Council that the estimated cost had increased to \$5.58 million to include other needed maintenance improvements for City Hall. The generator is required as police facilities are considered to be Occupancy Category IV which are buildings or other structures designated as essential facilities. The Police Station currently has a stationary generator available for use during power outages.
9/22	11. What is the City's policy on home detention? Do we have stats?	Staff is researching and will provide information during the Criminal Justice presentation at the October 20 Council meeting.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of	Itomo	Decrease or Schoduled Fellow Up
Request	(ROBERTS)	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	12. Why are revenues down from District Court? Are fines and fees being assessed less often? (SALOMON)	Annual Infractions and Casefilings  10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 4,000 3,000 2,000 1,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
		District Court revenues may be affected for a number of reasons. Overall, the total numbers of casefilings and infractions (traffic and non-traffic) have been trending downward, beginning in 2007 and 2006, respectively. Infractions are a significant revenue generating mechanism. Additionally, fines and fees associated with a particular offense may be subject to a judge's ruling and may be dependent on case details.
9/17	13. Have a broad range of employees participated in and embraced the selection of SharePoint? I am very familiar with expensive, training-	SharePoint was selected as a replacement solution for the City's current portal because it mimics much of the current functionality of the City's Portal, is less expensive than other similar options, and conforms to City technology standards. The existing Portal has reached its end of life and is no longer being upgraded by the vendor, limiting our internet browser options, which hampers the City's ability to implement other web based solutions that support other citywide functions. The current Portal is widely used by City staff for

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	intensive, failed implementations due to employee resistance, commonly attributed to additional complexity without a perceived productivity benefit. I would like to see a more complete business case for the proposed migration to Sharepoint, including what other options were evaluated. (HALL)	Response or Scheduled Follow Up  collaboration and houses a substantial amount of shared operational information. The Portal has been very successful and staff currently relies upon it on a daily basis. SharePoint also offers the additional functionality of a records center that will allow the city to manage electronic documents other than email in accordance with state guidelines.
10/13	14. I would like to see a year over year comparison of extra help hours used. (ROBERTS)	The 2012 and 2013 extra help hours for Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services (PRCS) are below. PRCS is the biggest user of the City's extra help staff. Staff is still researching the other departments' use of extra help and will follow-up with Council through a future matrix release.  The below extra help numbers and the March 31, 2014 staff report on extra help policies can be found here: <a href="http://cosweb.ci.shoreline.wa.us/uploads/attachments/cck/council/staffreports/2014/staffreport033114-8b.pdf">http://cosweb.ci.shoreline.wa.us/uploads/attachments/cck/council/staffreports/2014/staffreport033114-8b.pdf</a>

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of						
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follo	w Up			
				<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	
		Spai	rtan Gym	2,840	2,740	
		Park	Maint	6,645	6,059	
		Spec	cialized Rec	3,130	3,350	
		Poo	I	17,605	16,920	
		тот	AL PRCS	30,220	29,069	
		The extra help hours previo	ously provide	d for Parks,	Recreation	n, and Cultural Services (PRCS)
		from the March 31, 2014 st	taff report w	ere a selection	on of the t	otal of PRCS's extra help hours
		for 2012 and 2013. Specific	ally it exclud	ed the extra	-help hour	rs related to summer recreation
		programming such as Sumi	mer Day Cam	ps. That staf	f report in	cluded a selection of the
		PRCS's total for the purpos	es of discussi	ing extra hel	p staffing <sub>ا</sub>	policy alternatives.
		Below are the total extra h	elp hours for	2012 and 20	013 for all	the City departments.
				<u>2012</u>	201	
		L	СМО			139.00
			City Atty	789		292.25
		L	ASD Parks	221 54061		383.00 989.25
			PCD			024.00
		l L	PW	5597		928.02
		 	TOTA			55.52
		_		<u> </u>	,	
10/13	15. Does the proposed	City Council approved a CO	LA for extra l	help as part	of the 201	4 Budget. This came in the
,	budget include a COLA	1				25, 2013 City Council meeting.
	for extra help			•		cluded in the 2015 Proposed
	employees? (ROBERTS)	Budget.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	o. o		
10/13	16. I would like more details	Staff was asked to provide	further iustif	ication for th	ne \$200.00	00 three-phased <i>Promoting</i>
-0, -0	about the \$200k for	l -	-			er explanation will be to focus
	promoting Shoreline.	2 2 2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2				

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of					
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up			
	(ROBERTS)	on deliverables the City will obtain:			
		Phase 1: Defining Shoreline's Marketing Message.			
		Phase 1 is foundational, as it defines the marketing message for the City. Phase 1 will be a process-oriented effort led by the marketing consultant that will deliver a Shoreline message that is unique, compelling, and allows us to differentiate ourselves from other cities. In order to increase the chances of success, the message will be honed and refined to reach a narrowed and strategic segment of "Shoreline-likely" new residents and new investors; in other words, we want to be reaching out specifically to those who are already looking for the qualities that Shoreline possesses. The process willlike so many major projects in Shoreline-seek input from the public, the Council, and at least three important partners: Shoreline Community College, Shoreline School District, and the Shoreline Chamber of Commerce. Phase 1 will likely take 3 - 5 months and represent approximately one-third of the expenditure.			
		Phase 2: Deliver tools necessary for effective promotion of Shoreline			
		Phase 2 builds on the message defined in Phase 1 by designing tools to promote Shoreline to the targeted recipients. The low-hanging fruit will be an inventory and evaluation of the existing Shoreline communication tools ( <i>Currents</i> , the web page, social media, signage, mailers, meetings, special events, etc.) with the hope that they can be both refined and improved to better support our message. Next, new tools will be instituted to further the reach of our everyday communications outside of Shoreline such as enhancements to social media, online advertising techniques, and introduction of new marketing venues. Finally, new marketing tools and strategies will be designed or proposed for implementation either in 2015 as part of Phase 3 or in the future. The new tools will include at least an Aurora Square identity package, a complementary College Way identity package for N 160th St (designed in cooperation with SCC), a marketing strategy to reach new residents, and a marketing strategy to reach new investors. Phase 2 will progress throughout 2015, overlapping Phases 1 and 3, and it is anticipated that it will an additional one-third of the budget. One additional note: the			

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		consultant will be instructed that they are not to change the Shoreline logo or produce a city slogan as part of Phase 2, as the former is too expensive to change and the latter can backfire so easily.
		Phase 3: Launch a marketing campaign
		The City already runs a marketing campaign of sorts, as we commit significant resources to communication. Phase 3 will be different in that it will devote resources to reach those outside of Shoreline by implementing the recommendations of Phase 2 in advertising buys. It is very important that this outward-focused marketing the city engages in be measurable. Not only will measurement and tracking the campaign's effectiveness provide backwards justification for the 2015 resource allocation, but it will help determine whether the City will continue to engage in marketing in the years to come. Phase 3 will be concentrated in the second half of 2015 and be able to be flexible in size, utilizing whatever budget is remaining.
10/14	17. Chart 17 on page 73 and other charts have \$ and \$ variance lines. Are those variances against some prior adopted forecast or are they the change from prior years?	This is simply a math calculation. (Year $+ 1$ amount)/(Year amount) $- 1$ , with the answer expressed as a percentage change. Thus: $9,654,834 / 10,096,971 - 1 =043789 = (4.4\%)$ In future budget books these amounts will be noted as \$ Change and % Change to clarify that these are changes between years and not variances between budget and actual information.
10/14	(HALL)  18. Have we had to write off any bad debt from casinos? Are payments current on all promissory notes?	To date we have not written off any bad debt from casinos.  Shays - At present Shays is three months delinquent on its promissory note. The amount of the delinquency is \$3,000. The note has a remaining balance of \$37,029. Due to State enforcement they are no longer engaged in gambling activities, but the business is open. We continue to pursue collection, we have a lien on their property, and the City Attorney's Office is aware of this item. We will also follow up on this with the new City Attorney.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
·		Echo Lake Tavern – Last payment was in Jan 2013. This is an old note (2010) that may have been entered into with the wrong party (the property owner versus the business owner). It relates to debts from 2006 and 2007. Amount owing is \$11,629, but we are not sure if it is collectible. We will follow up with the new City Attorney to determine whether there are collection options or if this should be written off.
		Parkers – This note was defaulted on in 2012. The City took legal action and has a court judgment for the outstanding balance of \$149,528. We have been unable to collect the judgment. Ultimately we could seek a court order to seize the property, which would require us to auction off the assets. We do not know if there would be sufficient assets to recover the amount owed. We will follow up with the new City Attorney to determine whether to pursue collection options or write off the amount owed.
		Hideaway – Current on their note and on remittance of current taxes owed. Balance owing is \$2,772 and should be paid by the end of this year.
		Jerseys – They are current. \$5 is still owed on the note and should be paid in the next (final) installment.
		All other notes are now paid in full and all other current operators are current on their City obligations.
10/15	19. Business case and specific intent for \$25K pro services in CMO. (HALL)	In 2014 the City Manager initiated a process of "organizational alignment" by revisiting the organization's vision, mission, and organizational values. In addition the City's Leadership Team developed four key organizational goals and action steps. The action steps will be accomplished over the next three to five years. The \$25,000 is specifically to provide consultant support to move forward on Goal 1, action steps I, ii, iv and Goal No. 2, action step i.:
		<ol> <li>Exceptional Public Service – Continue to make Shoreline a desirable place to live and invest by providing public services that our community desires and deserves</li> </ol>

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
1		<ul> <li>a. Action Steps include: <ol> <li>i. Establish an organizational standard for process documentation and a strategy to document key organizational processes</li> <li>ii. Develop a framework for process review and improvement and integrate into the organizational culture</li> <li>iii. Make strategic technology investments that enhance our ability to deliver public services</li> </ol> </li></ul>
		iv. Establish key performance indicators used to evaluate effectiveness and guide resource allocation decisions.
		<ol> <li>Organizational Strength – Enhance the effectiveness of our organization through development of employee skills and knowledge         <ul> <li>a. Action Steps include:</li></ul></li></ol>
		<ol> <li>Fiscal Sustainability – Secure and sustain long-term financial sustainability to ensure delivery of public services to our community         <ul> <li>a. Action Steps include:</li> <li>i. Engage key stakeholders to advance the seven key strategies adopted in the 10 Year Financial Sustainability Plan</li> <li>ii. Explore biennial budget and performance based budget implementation.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Achieve Council Goals – Complete action steps included in the adopted City Council Goals</li> </ol>
		Establish city-wide workplans that identify project managers, timelines, and cross-functional work teams needed to accomplish Council Goal action steps.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
10/15	20. Any ideas for reducing response time to citizen letters. (HALL)	A number of factors can affect the timeline for developing a response to citizen questions and comments. The volume of correspondence sent to the City and the complexity of the response can greatly affect the amount of time necessary for staff to generate a thoughtful and accurate response. The City's practice is to respond to nearly all questions and comments and increased volumes can have an impact on staff's ability to respond quickly and efficiently. Regardless, the City's goal for responding to questions and comments is no more than 14 calendar days. Since January 1, 2014, the City has exceeded this goal with an average of 12 calendar days to respond.  It is also important to note that in 2015, staff will be investigating ways in which the correspondence program may be modified to reduce response times.
10/15	21. In the expenditure, revenue, and staffing tables, the column called '2014 Current Budget versus 2015 Budget' appears to me to be the opposite. The numbers seem to show how the 2015 budget changes using 2014 as a base. For the dollar amounts, it is only a difference in sign. But the percentages would be different if you really wanted to show how 2014 compares to 2015.	The amounts shown are intended to be a comparison of the 2015 proposed budget to the 2014 current budget. We will change the description of the column in future year's budget books.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	I think the column	
	headers should be	
	changed for clarity in	
	future years. (HALL)	
10/15	22. The staff by program	The amounts in the change column represent the changes from 2013 to 2014. There change
	table for community	between two programs in 2014 and 2015 was 0.04 FTE. This table will be corrected and new
	services on page 130	pages distributed to the Council.
	seems to show	
	something in the change	
	columns that I don't see	
	in the budget columns.	
	(HALL)	
10/15	23. In Community Services, I	This was inadvertently omitted. We will add an explanation at the bottom of page 133 or the
	did not find the list of	top of page 134 and distribute new pages to the Council.
	budget changes I	
	expected on page 134.	
	Those bullets were very	
	helpful for other	
	departments to put the	
	numbers into meaningful	
	context. (HALL)	
10/15	24. For each organization we	PLEASE SEE TABLE AT BOTTOM OF MATRIX
	pay to join, I'd like to see the actual cost for the last	
	couple years, the 2015	
	cost, whether membership	
	is legally required, and the	
	business case or benefits of	
	membership. (HALL)	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
10/15	25. Why shift an officer from patrol to traffic? (HALL)	This is simply a correction of previously reported information. Chief Ledford reports that 5 traffic officers predate his arrival in Shoreline. He would like the budget to reflect that.
10/15	26. Why the drop in SET measurements? (HALL)	Previously, the SET unit focused on narcotics and vice related crimes. This has shifted to a significant body of work related to property crimes and nuisance properties, which take longer to investigate. In addition, the unit was involved in the Mitch Wright investigation, which consumed four months. Also, there are times when we receive multiple Narcotics Activity Reports ("NARs") from citizens on the same location, which can be considered one issue versus multiple issues.
10/15	27. Muni court revenues drop more than expenditures. What is the cause and can it be altered? (HALL)	District Court revenues may be affected for a number of reasons and are based on the types of cases that come before the Court, fines and other charges issued as a result of each case, and volume. Overall, the total numbers of casefilings and infractions (traffic and non-traffic) have been trending downward, beginning in 2007 and 2006, respectively. The decline in casefilings and infractions corresponds to a decline in revenues.
		Court expenditures are determined by King County and distributed to the various entities using the facilities based on their formulae. A large portion of the costs relate to fixed costs such as building overhead, space used by the City, and a fixed proportion of ongoing maintenance costs. Whereas revenues are largely variable in nature, expenditures are largely fixed. For example, revenues via fines and fees associated with a particular offense may be subject to a judge's ruling and may be dependent on case details. As a result of these factors, when revenues drop, expenditures do not drop as fast.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow U	Jp				
Request	Items	Annual Info  10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 4,000 3,000 2,000 1,000 0 8	ractions		Infractions (t non-traffic)	raffic and	
10/16	28. What is the negative revenue in parks administration? I'm glad it's getting smaller, but	The negative amount that appears in the Revenue by Program 2012 – 2015 table for Parks Administration on page 207 of the 2015 Proposed Budget Book is the result of a combination of the following revenue sources:					
	what is it? (HALL)	Revenue	2012 Actual	2013 Actual	2014 Projected	2015 Budget	
		Shoreline Scholarship Clearing	(\$42,573)	(\$36,870)	(\$45,381)	(\$45,500)	
		US DNR Grant	\$0	\$3,600	\$6,400	\$0	
		LFP Residential Discount *	\$0	\$0	\$12,800	\$13,003	
		Miscellaneous	\$186	\$491	\$0	\$0	
		Total	(\$42,387)	(\$32,779)	(\$26,181)	(\$32,497)	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		* This revenue source was previously accounted for in the Aquatics and General Recreation programs.
		Shoreline Scholarship Clearing:
		The sole source of the negative amount shown is the Shoreline Scholarship Clearing line. This is also the only constant throughout the time period reflected on the table.
		US Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Community Forestry Grant:
		In 2013 and 2014, the City received grant funds to pay for urban forestry professional
		services to facilitate a Tree Board Retreat and create an Urban Forest Strategic Plan for the
		DNR Community Forestry Grant.
		<u>LFP Residential Discount</u> :
		The revenue for the LFP Residential Discount, which was previously budgeted 35% in Aquatics and 65% in General Programs is now budgeted and accounted for 100% in Parks
		Administration. The revenue projection is based upon the formula in the new agreement
		with the City of Lake Forest Park. For comparison purposes, revenue totaled \$13,686 in 2012 and \$13,235 in 2013 (please remember this revenue was not accounted for in the Parks
		Administration program in 2012 and 2013).
		Miscellaneous Revenues:
		Small amounts of miscellaneous revenue were accounted for in the Parks Administration
		program in 2012 and 2013.
		As you can see the year-over-year variance in the negative amounts reported for Parks
		Administration is not caused by variations in ongoing revenues but simply a change in how one revenue source, the LFP Residential Discount, will be accounted for in the current and
		future years.
10/16	29. Is there potential to market	Our field use has stayed fairly constant over the years since synthetic turf was installed. We
	to other groups to restore	are in contact with the majority of youth and adult leagues that need field space regularly

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
high utilization of fields given declining use by little	and when we have a cancellation contact these leagues to fill in available prime time.
league and co-ed adult use? I often hear our fields	Changes that have impacted our 2015 Park Facilities / Rentals income are:
are great and there aren't	In 2014, with consent from Little Leagues, we modified the maintenance of Little League
region. Is that changing? (HALL)	fields that resulted in more efficient use of fields and fewer field preps. This change reduced field preparation income by \$5,700.
	A church that had been renting Spartan Recreation Center Sundays has recently moved to another location effective September 2014. This will reduce annual rental revenue at Spartan Recreation Center by \$34,000.
30. From 2012 to 2015, the general fund subsidy to planning increased more than the total dept budget. 2015 permit revenues are	We confirm that the general fund subsidy increased by 15.3% from 2012 to 2015. The 2015 PCD budget has increased 23.7% during this same period. Please be aware that in 2014 professional services in the amount of \$210,000 or 7.4% have been obligated towards the two light rail projects.
and 2014. After accounting for presumed one-time projects, are we confident	We have maintained a conservative approach to projecting revenue from year to year. If you look at the 2015 Proposed Budget on p. 82, the forecast of development revenue shows projections over a million dollars through 2018 and slightly under that for 2019 and 2020.
that our fees are fully recovering costs? Roughly 60% of the funding for the dept is general fund. Does that match the workload pretty closely? (HALL)	General fund coverage at 60% seems to match the workload. ASD provided this table below to show costs recovered by program. Not every program has revenues and they recover at different rates. ASD is leading a cost recovery study in 2015 in support of the 10-Year Financial Sustainability Plan. The goal is to evaluate higher cost recovery percentages for fee based programs with target implementation of the reviewed findings in the 2016 budget.
	high utilization of fields given declining use by little league and co-ed adult use? I often hear our fields are great and there aren't enough fields in the region. Is that changing? (HALL)  30. From 2012 to 2015, the general fund subsidy to planning increased more than the total dept budget. 2015 permit revenues are lower than in 2012, 2013, and 2014. After accounting for presumed one-time projects, are we confident that our fees are fully recovering costs? Roughly 60% of the funding for the dept is general fund. Does that match the workload

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follo	ow !	Up			
		Percentage of Program Reco	over	ed by Rev	enue enue		
		Program	201	5 Revenue	2015 Expenditure	Difference -Rev vs. Exp	% Recovered
		Building/Inspections	\$	560,850	-		
		Permit Services	\$	373,900		, ,	
		City Planning	\$	84,000	-	, .	/
		Code Abatement	\$	80,550	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·
		Code Enforcement	\$	-	\$ 194,686	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
		PCD Admin Services	\$	-	\$ 220,029	\$ (220,029	0.0%
10/16	are explicitly listed as changes for 2015 for some departments. Are all 2014 one-time allocations removed? If not, which ones are continuing? (HALL)	would be highlighted in the Other one-time appropriate budget. These requests wo fithis would be the extraction as a one-time request in 2 when they merge with the members provides GIS supwith the City's current state. This request is essential to the City's current state.	tior /oul hel 2015 e Cit ppo ffing	ns are red ld also be lp for GIS 5. We ard ty in 201 ort and we g before e success	quested as one-tied itemized in the second i	ime again in a succenew year's presented to the second skill sets that the Restanding that one waluate how that standing the addition of a nation of the asset materials.	tation. One example 014 and is repeated WD staff will bring of their staff taff person will fit ew regular position. anagement software
10/10	measure of number of planning commission meetings staffed does not appear to account for all the related meetings and open houses, some of which took the place of	houses, workshops, comm for the two light rail static necessary recommendation those hours in the perform	nuni ns. ons	ity meeti The Plan to Counc	ngs that are an e ining Commission cil. In the future	essential part of the n does their part w there may be oppo	e planning process ell to make the

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	commission meetings. The measured reduction in work load may be misleading. I don't know if another or a different measure is needed, but I wanted to acknowledge and express my appreciation for all the staff and commission time that goes into those meetings. (HALL)	
10/16	33. I see the increases in number of days to approve permits. With the proposed FTE addition, why do we expect them to remain high? (HALL)	We anticipate that the number of days to review permits (especially single family building permits) will go down in 2015 with the addition of an Assistant Planner. This projected 2015 data was included in the performance measures section before requesting the position.
10/16	34. Any thoughts on the increase of vandalism and graffiti? (HALL)	The increase is due to graffiti on the Interurban Bridge across Aurora and on the trail to the east. In one night over 120 tags were placed on at the site and removed by CRT and Roads the following day.
10/16	35. Do you have a list of supplemental department requests for this year's budget? If so, can you share that with Council (with notations of what is	PLEASE SEE TABLE AT BOTTOM OF MATRIX

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	proposed to be funded)? (ROBERTS)	
10/16	36. Do we know how much the City of Bothell set aside from its general fund (yearly and in total) to assist with its property acquisition? (ROBERTS)	Staff followed up with Bob Stowe, City Manager for Bothell and this is the information that they have provided. They were not able to give us yearly set-asides from their general fund. Bothell spent about \$46M in real estate. About half of this amount was specifically for the Crossroads project, which utilized state and federal grants, and a LIFT award. The other half was for the North Shore School District property. The acquisition or ROW was primarily from City funds. In total, Bothell purchased approximately 25 acres of which 18 acres is being sold back for private development. Bothell has dedicated a significant amount of resources to their Downtown. Currently, they have allocated over \$100M towards infrastructure that has generated a return of over \$200M in private capital. A significant portion of their Capital Facilities Plan has required discipline and which has meant that other capital projects have been delayed as lower priorities.
10/16	37. Public Works revenues show a \$432K drop in street operation and a \$351K increase in general fund. What is driving this? (HALL)	Overall Public Works expenditures are down \$345,000 from 2014 to 2015. This is due to two major factors. Total expenditures in the SWM Fund are scheduled to drop \$123,000, due largely to normal budgetary fluctuations in capital spending from one year to another. Total expenditures in the General and Street Funds (combined) are scheduled to drop by \$220,000. This is largely due to a one time equipment purchase (approximately \$210,000) that took place in 2014, with no corresponding one time purchase in 2015. The drop in Streets is actually \$516,000 and the increase in the General Fund is \$294,000. The drop in Streets is again, partially attributable to the one time equipment purchase (\$210,000). Most of the rest (\$250,000) is due to a transfer from where it was historically budgeted (Street Operations) to Traffic Services. This also explains most of the reason for the increase in the General Fund.
10/16	38. Page 265, when do we anticipate proposing use of fund 115? The box	The 2015 Use of Funds box should read: "No expenditures will be proposed."

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
quest	reference to 2015 is out of date. (HALL)	
10/16	39. Page 267, description of fund 190 gives minimum amount for 2014. or maybe just not updated. (HALL)	The year in the box at the top of the page will be corrected from 2014 to 2015.
10/16	40. Page 277, what are the anticipated uses of fund 505 in 2015?	In our current environment the City is not doing layoffs, so we expect very low usage in this fund. However, situations occur where an employee leaves our employment for another job, and is subsequently laid off at their new employer. State law allows them to go back to their previous employer for unemployment benefits if they have a short history at the new employer.
10/20	41. (a) How will we measure success w/ a promotional campaign?  (b) Will the Shoreline business community have a chance to participate?  (Eggen)	(a) Documenting the success of any economic development program is difficult, as so many causes are related to the outcomes one wishes to accomplish. The recent Performance Audit of Economic Development Programs by the Washington State Auditor's Office ( <a href="http://www.sao.wa.gov/state/Documents/PA">http://www.sao.wa.gov/state/Documents/PA</a> Economic Development.pdf) states that the best way to measure success is to focus on the output of the program, rather than outcomes. The output should conform to industry best practices and have sufficient quantity to be effective. This is precisely why the City tracks the number of QuickStart workshops offered and educational hours received (the output) rather than trackingor worse, taking credit forthe attendees' business growth (the desired outcome).
		Using the same philosophical framework of measuring output rather than outcomes, the City's Request For Qualifications (RFQ) will require that prospective consultants provide examples of measurement tools they have used that measure both quantity and quality of output; quantity will be measured in terms of number of impressions by the targeted audience, while quality will be measured by positive responses by those within the targeted audience. As an example, the City's promotional campaign should be able to say

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		something like, "Every \$1,000 marketing purchased results in 2,500 impressions, 15 requests for information, and a 0.5% increase in top-of-mind awareness of the City within the target audience." The measurement will not be able to determine how many new homes were purchased or businesses opened in Shoreline, as many other factors will be at play in these enormous life decisions.
		(b) Yes. Staff is planning to include members of the business community and the Chamber of Commerce in Phase I, which is the process of focusing our message and target audience.
10/20	42. (a) What is a reasonable return on investment for the promotional campaign?  (b) Are there examples from other cities? (Salomon)	(a) As the answer to 41a implies, measuring return on investment is difficult in complex decisions such as resident and investor attraction. While one could point to studies that document increased returns when business, organizations, and agencies employ effective marketing, complex outcomes such as attracting residents or investors to Shoreline are difficult or impossible to conclusively link to specific promotional efforts. Therefore, rather than set or expect a specific return on investment in promoting Shoreline, it may only be possible for Council to support the idea that a healthy city employs effective marketing from time to time to promote itself to future residents and investors.
		(b) Staff is in the initial stages of contacting other cities to learn from their experiences, and their input will be used to fashion the City's Request for Qualifications soliciting a marketing consultant should Council approve this budget item. As of October 30th, though, no cities have provided relevant information.
10/20	43. What is the percentage allocation between General Fund and CDBG for our human service funding? (Eggen)	The 2015 Proposed Budget includes \$504,895 in grants to other agencies. Of those programs, CDBG provides 23% of the total funding (6% for Competitive HHS and 17% for Minor Home Repair). Liquor Tax & Profits account for 4% of the funding, which is for drug and alcohol programs. Domestic violence programs, which are funded 59% by the state, account for 6% of the total grants. In total, the General Fund accounts for 70% (62% total in Competitive HHS, 5% for utility assistance, and 3% (rounded) for domestic violence) of funding to service providers.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		Human Services Grants to Other Agencies 2015 Proposed Budget  Minor Home Repair (CDBG - Capital) 17% Utility Assist (General Fund) 5%  Domestic Violence 6%  Drug & Alcohol Program (Liquor Tax & Profits) 4%  CDBG (Competitive HHS) (Competitive HHS) 1%  Competitive HHS 1%  Competitive HH
10/20	44. Describe/investigate the breakdown in jail days between those in predisposition and post-disposition (pre-sentencing and post-sentencing). What portion of jail days are served in pre-disposition vs. post-disposition? (Roberts)	An exact breakdown of jail days served in pre- and post-disposition is difficult to ascertain because of a variety of factors. Defendants may be booked on multiple charges (sometimes resulting from other jurisdictions), sentences may be suspended, a judge may deem jail days served during pre-disposition as sufficient punishment for an offense, an inmate may be released from jail only to return latersometimes months laterto serve a jail sentence, or alternatives to jail sentences may be imposed (such as electronic home monitoring).  However, to discern the number and breakdown of jail days served pre- and post-disposition (disposition hearings are also called 'first appearances', the point at which

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Probable cause and bail (if any) is established in relation to a criminal charge), staff reached out to its jail partners, and was only able to obtain statistical data from the City's current primary booking facility – SCORE jail. Data from SCORE does not contain case information, so a number of assumptions were made. Staff assumed that the number of jail days served from the date of booking to the day on which there was a change to an inmate's status were served in pre-disposition. Jail days served after a change in inmate status were considered served in post-disposition. Changes to an inmate's jail status after booking typically occur as a result of an interaction with a court.
10/20	45. What are alternatives to	A total of 115 defendants were booked into SCORE since the City transitioned to the facility as its primary booking and jail facility. A total of 749 jail days were served; 545 (73%) of which were served before an inmate's status changed after the date of booking, the remaining 204 (27%) were served after an inmate's status changed.  A number of alternatives to jail sentencing exist in the judicial process for misdemeanant
10/20	jail sentences? Are there jail alternatives that can be employed? What alternatives to jail are being used now? (Roberts)	crimes, and the City supports alternative sentences when appropriate. Generally speaking, fines, community service, work release, electronic home detention, Regional Veterans Court and Regional Mental Health Court (both functions of the King County District Court system) are employed depending on a particular case and offense. Some tools may have specific rules, processes or circumstances in which the City may have various impact. For instance, the Regional Veterans Court has a number of eligibility criteria of which the City has no impact, including veteran discharge status, and the defendant's potential mental health and chemical dependency issues.
		In relation to existing alternative sentencing tools, the City's primary role is coordinating various resources in the judicial system to expediently and efficiently use all available alternatives. For example, the City may assist in coordinating the various resources utilized in referring defendants to Mental Health Court: In-custody defendants are often referred by jail psychiatric staff who have screened for mental health issues. Defendants may also be

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		referred for consideration by police, attorneys, family members, or probation officers. A defendant may also be referred by another District Court at any point during regular legal proceedings if the judge feels the defendant could be better served by the Mental Health Court.
		Ultimately, all sentencing decisions are made by Judges. Judges have the authority to impose a variety of sentences and may take into account the prosecuting attorney's recommendations, details of a particular case, the offense committed and the defendant's criminal history. Regardless, the City is committed to staying attune to emerging alternatives to jail sentencing as they become available.
10/20	46. How often is an expedited permit request requested? Do we have a sense of what percentage the proposed Assistant Planner position would be to handle expedited permits versus other tasks? (ROBERTS)	In 2013 we had two expedited projects and in 2014 we have had one.  The Assistant Planner would not be assigned to do any expedited review of projects, because the purpose is to farm the work out and not move the expedited project to the head of the line which serves to delay projects that came in prior.
10/22	47. How might loss of state shared revenue affect our 2015 budget? Are we presuming those funds will be there in this budget? (SALOMON)	We think it unlikely that the state would implement anything that would start at the beginning of their fiscal year (July 1, 2015). There are only a few state shared revenue sources left - Criminal Justice MVET which generates about \$140,000 a year and liquor taxes which generates about \$600,000 a year. The state has never talked about diverting the MVET monies currently allocated for Criminal Justice. The most likely change is the liquor taxes. The current state adopted legislation would provide that the state's contribution to cities for liquor taxes will go back up to its 35% allocation in late 2015. To be conservative our budget projections assume that the State will change this and keep the current 17.5% allocation that they adopted in the last biennial budget process (see page 80 of the budget).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
10/27	48. In the public works section page 244 there is an ongoing \$50 k request for NPDES permit support in SWM. Can you provide more details as to what that money would go towards? (SALOMON)	The City's 2013 NPDES Permit has several requirements that must be completed in order to comply with State Law. The Surface Water Utility intends to use the \$50,000 to acquire professional services as needed to do work to meet NPDES Permit requirements. In 2015, staff has identified three areas where professional services will likely be needed. The first is an effort to review, identify, and correct City codes, design standards, and other regulations which prohibit or impede use of low impact development in the City. Second, is an effort to assess legal authorities and offer code amendments to ensure consistency with permit requirements. Third, is assist with developing a staff training program for illicit discharge detection and elimination protocols.
10/27	49. What more can we do in this budget to further reduce stormwater pollution/runoff? For example I see there is over \$1.5 million for 2015 flood and drainage improvement and only \$50 k for water quality projects. Do some of the flood and drainage projects help reduce stormwater pollution? Which projects? Are there any projects that could be construed as increasing the amount of runoff? (SALOMON)	Some Flood Protection/Drainage Improvement projects will also reduce stormwater pollution and/or volumes; the type and amount of improvement varies from project to project. For example, the NE 148 <sup>th</sup> St Infiltration Facilities project reduces flooding by utilizing large-scale infiltration, which will also improve water quality and reduce runoff volume. The Goheen Revetment Repair, Stormwater Pipe Replacement Program, and Surface Water Small Projects will improve water quality by reducing erosion associated with failing infrastructure and reduce the amount sediment entering surface waters. The Stormwater Pipe Replacement Program and Surface Water Small Projects at some locations may lead to small increases in downstream flows by restoring system capacity that was previously impacted by poor infrastructure conditions.  Typically for Flood Protection/Drainage Improvement projects it is preferred to address flooding problems with solutions that incorporate LID techniques improving water quality and reducing flow volumes. The 10 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE and NE 148 <sup>th</sup> projects are two examples of current CIP projects taking this approach. Surface Water Small Projects will also utilize LID solutions whenever feasible.  The Stormwater Pipe Replacement Program represents a large investment over the next six years, and makes up a significant portion of the Surface Water Utility Fund capital expenditure for that time. While associated water quality improvements are minor, this program is needed in order to provide repairs for aging pipe infrastructure that has already failed or is in immediate danger of failure.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		The budget for the Greenworks Water Quality-oriented projects is reduced compared to previous years, but we will be creating Greenworks designs in-house for increased cost effectiveness and also pursuing grant opportunities to supplement allocated funds.
10/27	50. There is an unfunded capital project for converting roadside ditches to bioinfiltration swales.  Can you measure the benefits that the swales would provide over that of the ditches? How much infiltration do the ditches provide? (SALOMON)	This project was conceptually identified in the Storm Creek Basin Plan (Project ST-CIP-2). Bioretention swales provide a high level of water quality and flow control improvement for typical annual runoff (but are less effective at mitigating large storm events – with 2-year recurrence or larger). It is very likely that the existing ditches provide very little infiltration or bioretention, and conversion to bioretention swales would be a significant improvement. However, without further engineering analysis (including geotechnical evaluation of infiltration potential) it is not possible to quantify the potential benefit of the conversion. One of the first steps in further developing this project would be to conduct such an analysis.
10/27	51. Can you describe in more detail the flooding issues being experienced in 10th Ave NE between NE 165th and NE 175th? (SALOMON)	The flooding and related drainage issues on 10th Ave NE between NE 165 <sup>th</sup> and NE 175 <sup>th</sup> were identified as Problem F6 in the 2009 Thornton Creek Watershed Plan. This area experienced significant flooding in December 2007 and is prone to ongoing frequent poor drainage conditions. The ditch and culvert system along the west side of 10 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE is undersized and poorly-graded in many locations. This system has a tendency to back up on wet days, causing ponding along the western road shoulder and driveways and (at certain locations) surcharging near homes along the eastern side that are below the roadway elevation.
		In 2014, the City requested that SvR consultants review the 10 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE drainage situation and propose solutions, as documented in a June 9, 2014, Memorandum. The 2016-2018 CIP for 10 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE will utilize these recommendations and other available data to improve flooding and drainage conditions in this area, including consideration of converting existing ditches to bioretention swales.
10/27	52. Can you explain in lay terms the reason for the	A revetment is a sloping structure placed on banks or cliffs of streams in such a way as to absorb the energy of incoming water and resist erosion. Prior to incorporation, the County

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	Goheen revetment repair? What is a revetment exactly? Are there similar projects/issues that will need to be addressed? (SALOMON)	installed the dam at NE 196th Street. The County also secured a drainage easement on the Goheen Property to install a revetment on their slope to protect the property from erosion caused by water backing up the creek as a result of the dam installation. At that time, the County installed a revetment made of small boulders. To uphold the conditions of the drainage easement, the City is required to maintain the revetment on the Goheen property to ensure a stable stream bank along their property. The existing rock revetment provided a low level of bank protection for the majority of the stream bank on the property, which has now exhibited significant erosion for approximately 60 feet of its length. Many of the rocks that comprise the revetment have been dislodged from their original positions and are no longer functioning to prevent erosion of the bank. This project will install a new kind of revetment to better protect the embankment from erosion and also provide enhancement to the stream at the same time.
		The issues on this project are similar to any erosion issue on a standard road project but with the embankment being within a creek, there are a lot of different things that need to be done to protect the creek and the natural habitat. We will need to divert the creek during the work to gain access to the embankment. The embankment will be comprised of woody debris and rocks that are better for fish and other wildlife. Once the embankment is complete, the creek will be restored to its previous path. There will also be other habitat restoration work occurring as access to the work area from NE 196th Street will disturb wooded areas adjacent to the creek. The restoration will also enhance the habitat by removing invasive plant species and adding native plants and trees that provide shade areas along the creek.
10/27	53. Regarding the 148th infiltration projects, what are "hard surface treatments?" Does that mean it cleans pollutants that come from street surfaces originating from	The Infiltration Facilities to be located along NE 148 <sup>th</sup> St between 12 <sup>th</sup> and 15 <sup>th</sup> Avenues NE will be constructed using a buried stackable plastic grid system (Rainstore3). The grid structure has a high bearing strength and high percentage of void space (95%), effectively creating a vault-like underground storage facility at a fraction of the expense of installing an actual vault. The underground grid facility allows stored runoff to dissipate by infiltrating directly into the soil subgrade below – hence the description as an "infiltration"

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	cars? What is the "infiltration facility?" The term "facility" does not describe in detail what it actually is. (SALOMON)	facility."  The phrase "various surface treatments" from the project description refers to the grid system's ability to accommodate a variety of surface types on top, including bioswales or hard surfaces such as pavement or gravel surfaces to accommodate parking or driveway access. Runoff pollutants will be treated by bioretention treatment from facilities with surface swales and also via infiltration by all facilities.
10/27	54. Do we have any concrete ideas (no pun intended) for the \$2.4 million in 145th corridor improvements? Why are we looking at allocating money before the RDP is complete? (SALOMON)	The funding identified is predominantly grant funding. The City pursued this funding so that design and environmental review on the segment of 145 <sup>th</sup> Street from Aurora Avenue N to I-5 could begin upon completion of the RDP. The RDP will develop a conceptual plan for 145 <sup>th</sup> Street and this funding will be used to prepare design plans for this segment of the corridor based upon the conceptual plan contained within the RDP. Pursuant to the terms of the grant, the City must obligate the funds in 2016.
10/27	55. The North Fork Thornton Creek LID retrofit has a high anticipated annual maintenance fee. What anticipated benefits justify the ongoing costs? (SALOMON)	This project includes the construction of infiltration and bio-retention facilities which both remove contaminants and dispose of stormwater through infiltration. The high maintenance cost is due to maintain required plantings (used for pollutant removal) and the soil profile needed to insure proper infiltration rates. The benefits of these types of facilities include not needing to build piped conveyance systems, promoting infiltration reduces peak flows in streams to help control flooding, and biological water quality treatment does not require man made filter systems.
10/27	56. On page 309 there is an unfunded project idea of purchasing shoreline from BNSF. What would that property be used for? Parks? Salmon habitat restoration? Have looked for grant funding for that?	The City of Shoreline has 1100 frontage feet of property on Puget Sound at Saltwater Park. Property north and south of the park is owned by BNSF, as is most of the beach front property in Shoreline. Several people every day walk past the "End of Park property" signs and trespass onto BNSF property. Several years ago I was approached by Steinbach realty who represented BNSF asking if we had any interest in acquiring the beachfront owned by BNSF or entering to a lease for recreational use. I said the City was interested in creating an opportunity for public use of this property. Since that time there has been no additional interest on the part of BNSF, but having the property listed in the PROS Plan and as

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	Is BNSF a willing seller? (SALOMON)	unfunded in the budget shows our interest should the opportunity to acquire arise. This would also increase our opportunity for grant funding. The property could be used legally for walking and beach combing. As it is now public on this property can be ticketed for trespassing and fined up to \$1,000.
10/27	57. On page 315 for parks repair and replacement the project administration for 2015 is \$52 k and construction is \$153 k. This seems like a high ration of admin to actual construction. Is this cost for design? What justifies the ratio? (SALOMON)	Several years ago we created a new Park Maintenance Worker II position and hired someone with carpentry, concrete, and plumbing skills to assist with capital improvements and repairs. 50% of this position is funded out of Repair and Replacement funding, thus the large amount for project administration. In addition, when we use PW project staff for capital improvement and repair oversight some of their salary is charged to this account also.
10/27	58. On page 346 why has the long term maintenance cost for the pool of risen from \$413k to \$846 k?	Shoreline Pool Long-Term Maintenance: The total project cost increased from \$413,546 to \$846,722. The current CIP did not include any future projects pending the completion of the Repair/Replacement Needs Analysis (now complete). The 2015 – 2020 CIP now reflects the required maintenance projects within the available funding level.
10/27	59. On page 353 roads cap fund revenue, can you provide a little more info on what the grants are? What do CMAQ, Fed STP etc. stand for? (SALOMON)	CMAQ: Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality; Fed STP: Federal Surface Transportation Program; FTA: Federal Transit Administration
10/27	60. On page 360 bike system implementation, has staff looked much at separated bike paths that are protected from cars? I understand that is the plan	The projects identified as part of the Bike System Implementation project were selected from those included in the Bicycle System Plan in the Transportation Master Plan. These projects are all low cost options that utilize the existing right-of-way, allowing the City to implement a significant amount of the entire system plan. The separated trail project on NE 195 <sup>th</sup> Street from 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue NE to 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue NE is utilizing existing, undeveloped right-of-way, as did the trail constructed between Meridian Avenue N and 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue NE a few

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of				
Request	for the path near the cemetery. What results/use is Seattle seeing on its separated paths on Broadway, 2nd Ave, Linden, etc? (SALOMON)	raised "cycle tracks" on 175 <sup>th</sup> Street. These would be installed as part of a large capital project that would widen and rebuild the entire road, including the sidewalks. Staff is looking at additional options for cycle tracks as part of the light rail station subarea planning. 185 <sup>th</sup> Street has been identified as a potential location. Staff has a good working relationship with SDOT and will coordinate with them as the City continues to explore		
10/27	61. On page 133 the community services budget graph indicates 37 percent of expenditures go to "other services and charges." Given that it is such a big part of that budget can you describe in more detail what that money would go towards? (SALOMON)	options for cycle tracks.  "Other Services and Charges" includes expenditures such as professional services, postage/courier, travel, printing, registration & training, as well as City grants to other agencies.  It is the last category that makes up the majority of the proposed \$579,154.		
		Program	Description	Amount
		Neighborhoods	Mini-Grant Program	\$20,000
		Human Services	Minor Home Repair (CDBG – Capital)	\$86,107
		Human Services	General Fund Subsidy (CDBG)	\$7,646
		Human Services	CDBG – Competitive HHS	\$30,028
		Human Services	Drug & Alcohol Programs (Liquor tax and profits)	\$17,779
		Human Services	Domestic Violence	\$30,028
		Human Services	City Portion – Competitive HHS	\$308,307

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of				
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up		
qu.est		Human Services	Utility Assistance	\$25,000
				4=04.00=
			TOTAL Grants to Other Agencies	\$524,895
		Attachment B of the August 25 http://cosweb.ci.shoreline.wa freport082514-8a.pdf  The above staff report does no domestic violence services or t	us/uploads/attachments/cck/co.  It include the annual contract to Note the annual contract to Hopelink for the Annual Contract to Hopelink	uncil/staffreports/2014/staf New Beginnings for or utility assistance.
10/27	62. On page 141 administrative services expenditure, the 2013 and 2014 actual and projected budgets came in at \$5.2 and \$5.3 million.  The request this year is almost \$2 million above that. While I am very pleased that the 2014 projected budget is coming in at over \$1 million less than allocated, it begs the question of why we need to allocate over \$7 million this year. Please explain.	The Administrative Services by Administrative Services Depart \$4,853,000 in 2014 to \$4,864,000. The other segment relates to a department. These include this insurance reserves, etc. This p 2014 to \$2,262,000 in 2015. The related to a one-time increase large dollar expenditures hitting	idget is composed of 2 segments. It ment budget. This budget is school in 2015, an increase of about 5 variety of expenditures not assortings like contingencies, vehicle an ortion of the budget is scheduled his increase is \$628,000 (38%). Of in vehicle and equipment replaceing this budget in 2015. Examples olet devices (\$19,000), IT Network	eduled to go from \$11,000 (0.2%). ciated with any one specific d equipment replacement, to go from \$1,634,000 in this increase, \$579,000 is ements. We have several include Sharepoint

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	(SALOMON)	The difference between amounts budgeted and amounts spent is usually large in this segment of the ASD budget. Expenditures are usually far under the budget. This is mostly because this is where the City budgets for contingencies and insurance reserves (almost \$1.2 million in 2015). These reserves are usually set by Council policy. The City intends not to spend this money unless absolutely necessary. For example, in 2014 the budget in this area is \$993,000, but the City only expects to spend \$68,000.
		Please also refer to the City Council presentation we made regarding this budget at the October 20 <sup>th</sup> City Council meeting (especially to slides 37 and 39).
10/27	63. On page 210 on the parks and rec budget changes there is a request for \$24 k increase in water utility budget for Echo Lake and Sunset Park based on historical consumption. Similarly there is an increase in the sewer utility budget of \$23 k. What have we done on the conservation side to save	In the past 15 years the City of Shoreline has greatly enhanced the quality of its park system through an aggressive CIP program and voter approved bond. To improve the park system from a low grade county system to a quality urban park system, park infrastructure such as irrigation systems and restrooms were added, which impact our water and sewer utility costs.
		To minimize expenditures of utilities staff has included equipment to reduce costs.  Examples of these features include remotely activated timers for athletic field lighting at Hamlin park, as well as irrigation controllers with rain sensors that are operated via a software system called Sentinel, at the Hamlin Park Maintenance Facility.
	money and water resources? (SALOMON)	Software programs such as Sentinel are frequently used with parks, golf courses and in various types of agriculture and horticulture to carefully monitor weather conditions, volume of water used (helpful for monitoring leaks) as well as saving labor costs by setting watering schedules from one central computer instead of having to visit each irrigation controller at each park to set watering times. We have the ability to reduce or shut off water with a few key strokes and make adjustments frequently as the weather changes.
		As the City continues to improve its infrastructure staff will install controllers that will be operated via the Sentinel software system for future water savings.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of							
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up					
10/27	64. On page 214 aquatics the graph shows that the ratio of project revenue has declined over time. Is that due to addition of utilities? (SALOMON)	Over the past 4 years the pool expenses that have significantly risen and impacted this ratio are utilities, salaries and wages, extra help costs, and operating supplies such as pool chemicals.  In addition, the Lynnwood Pool's re-opening has affected our drop-in usage significantly as they have a separate lap pool and a very engaging free swim/play pool available, both of which are pulling our users to their facility. We still have a swim lesson program that is highly successful despite our aging facility, however the reduction in drop-in usage reflects the community's preference for a nicer/newer facility for lap and play swim.					
10/27	65. On page 226 it appears that in prior years the buildings and inspections revenue more or less covered or exceeded expenditures. 2015 shows an expenditure of \$804 k and revenue of \$560 k. Why the change? (SALOMON)	As a reminder, we take a conservative approach in projecting revenues. In 2015, we anticipate the gap between expenditures and revenue will decrease even more than budgeted, as it has in previous years. For more information regarding cost recovery, please see the response to Councilmember Hall's related questions under #30 on the matrix.  Below is a chart showing the budget and actual revenues for 2012, 2013, and 2014 (projected). Much of the variance between the budgeted and actual revenues can be contributed to unexpected one-time projects in each year.  Budgeted Actual  2012 \$ 329,775 \$ 667,555					
10/27	66. Page 121. We have removed CRA planning	2013 \$ 494,290 \$ 653,033 2014 proj \$ 507,450 \$ 650,962  The \$125,000 allocated to develop an Aurora Square CRA Planned Action Environmental Impact Statement will be spent in 2014 and the first quarter of 2015. It is anticipated that					
10/27	money for 2015. When will this sort of planning be done? (EGGEN)  67. Page 147. You have added \$65k for replacement of	Council will be asked to adopt the Final EIS in March of 2015.  The registration software system CLASS is no longer being supported by the software					

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of	Itama	Despense or Cahadulad Callaur IIIa					
Request	recreation system. I don't understand this. (EGGEN)	Response or Scheduled Follow Up  company effective 2016. As a result we are soliciting for another software vendor for our registration software system for classes and facilities. Our staff is working with IT on securing a replacement system and the estimated cost for that system is \$65K.					
10/27	68. Page 150 and 151 appear to be identical. (EGGEN)	Unfortunately the page was duplicated. We will reprint. Page 151 will show as "This Page Intentionally Left Blank". The Council will receive a replacement page for your Budget Books.					
10/27	69. Page 152. There is an ~20% jump between 2014 and 2015 in cost/sq ft to maintain. Why is this? (EGGEN)	The 2014 projected performance measurement of \$3.64 was based predominately on a larger estimated square footage for the Brugger's Bog facility that was coming on line at the time. This facility was coming on line the latter part of 2013. The amount used was 46,861 square feet for this facility, which was added for a total of 220,787. For 2015, staff used 170,293 square feet for all City facilities. The square footage was revised based on a better understanding of the square footage for Brugger's Bog and other facilities.					
10/27	70. Page 168. There is a Operational objective to refine citywide Halogen Performance Evaluation System. What is this? (EGGEN)	Halogen is the brand name of the on-line performance evaluation software installed a year ago. The system is used to set and measure achievement toward goals. The refinement addresses initial year feedback from employees, supervisors, and managers that the new tool needs to also evaluate regular ongoing core duties.					
10/27	71. Page 182. Are the police costs per capita adjusted for inflation? (EGGEN)	The police costs shown are not adjusted for inflation. All amounts shown in this section of the Budget book are based on historical costs.					
10/27	72. Page 199. Are there any other measures of effectiveness for the Public Defender other than number of cases? Does any other city use another measure of effectiveness, for example survey results? (EGGEN)	Numbers of cases assigned to public defenders are typically a measure of workload and may not be an appropriate method for gathering data on qualitative aspects of a defender's performance.  The City does not currently have data on other jurisdictions' methods for evaluating public defender performance. However, the potential new contract for primary public defense services (scheduled for Council consideration on November 17, 2014) may be an opportunity for the City to implement additional measures of effectiveness for evaluating the performance of its public defense contractors. City staff is considering implementing					

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		surveys as a method to gather performance data. Stakeholders (judges, police officials, and appropriate court staff) and potentially defense clients may be surveyed as a method of evaluating a defender's performance.
10/27	73. Page 206. There is an operational objective to shift staffing structure at the Spartan Gym better meet operational needs. What does this mean? (EGGEN)	This was a based on the approval of a budget request that was not forwarded for the budget process and should have been eliminated from the budget document.
10/27	74. Page 211. The park acreage per thousand goes up from 7.16 in 2014 to 7.66 in 2015. Why is that? (EGGEN)	This is a typo and should remain at 7.16 in 2015.
10/27	75. Page 244. I don't understand the last bullet, Drainage fees increasing by \$150.08/parcel to \$154.49/parcel. Does that mean we were charging \$4.41 per parcel? (EGGEN)	The \$4.41 per parcel difference between 2014 and 2015 is the 3% rate increase in storm water fees planned under the Master Plan previously adopted by City Council. The word "by" on Page 244 should more appropriately read "from".
10/27	76. Page 250. Could you please comment on what a pavement ration of 65 or 71 means? Is this good or bad? (EGGEN)	The Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) is a numerical indicator that rates the surface condition of the pavement. The PCR provides a measure of the present condition of the pavement based on the distress observed on the surface of the pavement, which also indicates the structural integrity and surface operational condition. It provides an objective and rational basis for determining maintenance and repair needs and priorities. The scale ranges from $0-100$ which is divided into the following categories: Good (100 -86), Satisfactory (85 – 71), Fair (70 – 56), Poor (55 – 41), Very Poor (40 – 26), Serious (25 – 14), Failed (10 – 0).

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
10/27	77. Page 259. What is the intergovernmental services expenditure in the general fund? Is it transfer to subsidize other funds? (EGGEN)	Response or Scheduled Follow Up  Intergovernmental charges represent amounts that Shoreline pays to other governments when those other governments provide services. The largest contracts that relate to these expenditures include things like the Police Contract, the Municipal Court Contract, the Jail Contract, etc.
10/27	78. Page 260. How does funding from the TBD get into the Roads fund? (EGGEN)	The maximum amount that the TBD Fund will send to the Roads Capital Fund is determined at the time that the Council meets as the TBD Board (this meeting, approving the 2015 Budget, takes place on November 3rd this year). The actual amount that the TBD will send to the Roads Capital Fund in any year is equal to the actual amount spent on the annual road surface maintenance program in that year. The amount is essentially a charge to the TBD Fund for services provided by the Roads Capital Fund (performing the project) and is handled by transferring cash balances between the two funds.
10/27	79. P 261 81 % of code abatement funds are labeled misc revenues. Can you provide details on those funds? (EGGEN)	The Code Abatement Fund provides funding for City code abatement efforts (public nuisances, dangerous buildings, etc.). This fund was established in 2002 by a transfer from the General Fund.  The costs associated with the abatement will be charged to the owner of the property either as a lien on the property or on the tax bill (shown below as "Judgment / Settlement Abatement Collection"). Recovered monies would replenish the fund for future abatement efforts.
		<ul> <li>The \$80,550 of Miscellaneous Revenues is comprised of the following:         <ul> <li>Investment Interest - \$100</li> <li>LGIP Investment Interest - \$450</li> <li>Judgment / Settlement Abatement Collection - \$80,000</li> </ul> </li> <li>Per Washington State BARS coding, these three revenue sources are categorized under "Misc. Revenue."</li> </ul>

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of							
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up					
10/27	80. What is the origin of most of our intergovernmental [revenues]? (SALOMON)	The category of intergovernmental revenues captures grants, revenues, and payments for goods and services by another go City funds, the total of intergovernmental revenues equals \$2 Propose Budget.  Significant sources include:	vernment to the City. For all				
		<ul> <li>City Light Contract Payment =</li> <li>Liquor Board Profits =</li> <li>MV Fuel Tax =</li> <li>WA Street Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety Grant =</li> <li>Highway Safety Improvement Program =</li> <li>Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement =</li> <li>FTA - Rapid Ride =</li> <li>DOE LID Stormwater Grant =</li> <li>Transportation Improvement Board =</li> <li>Utility Reimbursements =</li> </ul>	\$1.99 Million \$0.46 Million \$1.10 Million \$0.47 Million \$2.18 Million \$5.49 Million \$2.45 Million \$1.00 Million \$3.07 Million				
10/27	81. Went to meeting with N. County Mobility Coalition. He discussed the possibility of small areas of repairs and filling in missing links in order to make larger areas more accessible. Also, is there a fund of money for small area repairs? (EGGEN)	At 10/27 Council Meeting:  1. Mark R. – responded that the funding for the ADA transitio that need to be upgraded/fixed. In the past, he has worked identify possible areas needed for repair.  2. Bob H. – We address issues on a case by case basis as they a up on whether and to what extent we have a sidewalk inspection.	n plan would help identify areas with outside organizations to are reported to us. Will follow				
10/27	82. (a) Do we have a sense of the number of properties that still have standing	(a) Public Works will be submitting data from our Cityworks as later date, but it will be a summary of the service calls we hav					

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	water issues, public right- of-way versus private property? (b)There is a difference between public right of way issues and private property. Roberts – would like to hear back and would	water or flooding in the right-of-way and separate service calls for similar issues on private property.  (b) Per SMC 13.10, the purpose of the utility per Section B states "establishing a program to comprehensively manage surface water with the intent of reducing flooding, erosion and sedimentation, preventing habitat loss and enhancing groundwater recharge". Section D states "This chapter is adopted to protect the public and not for the benefit of any particular individual or class, ". The City has consistently applied these sections of the
	appreciate the distinction between what is the responsibility of City versus private property.	code to address surface water in the right-of-way and not private property. If the utility was to try and manage groundwater at any appreciable depth, it would have to be reconciled with Section B which lists recharging the groundwater was a purpose of the utility. Another challenge of the utility accepting any substantial amount of ground water, either public or private, is that the current infrastructure is sized for runoff. Adding groundwater could take away from the general capacity of the system and lead to increase surface flooding during peak storm events.
10/27	83. What is the State limit on indebtedness? (EGGEN)	The debt limit appears on page 93 of the 2015 Budget Book. We currently have the legal ability to issue over \$72 million in Councilmanic (non-voted) debt, \$161 million in voted debt, \$149 million in parks and open space debt, and \$161 million for utilities. The total legal debt capacity is \$545 million.
10/27	84. Can we get an opinion from ASD what a healthy amount of debt is for the City? (Salomon)	In contrast with the legally authorized amount of debt, the total amount of debt that would be healthy for the City of Shoreline depends on many factors. One important factor is the political climate. Some cities and Councils are more willing to enter into debt than others.  When issuing debt it is important to look at the purpose of the debt, along with who will benefit from the resulting uses of the debt proceeds. For instance, it makes a great deal of sense to enter into debt for the construction of a City Hall. These buildings tend to be more
		expensive than most cities can afford to fund on a Pay As You Go (PAYGO) basis. In addition the building itself is constructed not just to benefit today's citizens, but to serve as an asset to the community for generations to come. Conversely, issuing a 20 year bond for

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
		something that will benefit the community for only a few years is most likely not a good reason to issue debt and should either be PAYGO funded, or funded through a shorter term bond issue.
		Another factor is the effect that the debt payments will have on operations. Currently the City is paying about \$3.6 million per year for governmental debt service (excluding the SWM Fund). This is close to 10% of the General Fund budget. A significant portion of this is a voted general obligation (GO) bond, and that is supported by a separate voter approved mill levy that is not part of the General Fund budget. Still, the total obligation of the General Fund is about \$1.9 million per year (just over 5% of the General Fund budget). To the extent that additional non-voted debt is issued, the General Fund's maximum obligation to repay the debt would increase.
		At present the General Fund is definitely capable of meeting its current and future debt service obligations. Additional proposed debt would need to be built into the 10 Year Sustainability Model so that City Council could evaluate the need for the debt against the impact that debt would have on long term financial sustainability. Taking on additional debt would ultimately mean that staff would likely recommend using one or more of the financial sustainability tools Council established in the 10 Year Financial Sustainability Process.
		As far as a recommendation goes, I would recommend against issuing additional non-voted debt at this time. There are no new revenue sources on the horizon to pay for that debt. Issuing voted debt would result in an additional bonded debt mill levy. That decision would depend on Council's willingness to recommend that the community vote to increase its property tax assessments.
10/27	85. Could we also get a	Below is a comparison of cities based on 2013 legal debt margins ratios (most recent

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

Date of											
Request		•	Response or Scheduled Follow Up								
	comparison to other cities and put it on the matrix?	available data	available data).								
	(Winstead)	<u>2013</u>	Debt Limit	Total net Debt applicable to limit	Legal Debt Margin	Total net debt applicablt to the limit as a % of debt limit					
		Lakewood	337,100,505		334,196,376	0.86%					
		Kirkland	1,183,077,001	43,955,443	1,139,121,558	3.72%					
		Federal Way	550,022,093	25,064,092	524,958,001	4.56%					
		Shoreline	521,906,033	37,976,798	483,929,235	7.28%					
		Renton	794,154,090	80,516,996	713,637,094	10.14%					
		Auburn	546,602,000	64,047,000	482,554,000	11.72%					
10/27	86. Provide clarification regarding the extent of leaking between the upper and lower decks of the City Hall parking garage.	garage (near t leak into the le feet of the sea may have noti slippery. We i do not occur. An example of	ago we had a fail he concrete stairs ower deck area. It coat at that time iced mats over the regularly monitor A crack in the top out it is now near	s down to City Ha Based on staff's e. At the time th at area until nor the garage to m o seal coat make system in use is	all). This caused recollection we re replaced area mal weathering take sure that other seepage to the available in ASD	water to seep the eplaced 100 – 20 was quite slick a made the area le her cracks in the lower deck a pos	rough and 0 square nd you ss seal coat ssibility.				
10/30	87. Is this reduction due to	OPEN ITEM									

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

## **Budget Questions Matrix**

Date of		
Request	Items	Response or Scheduled Follow Up
	(0.39%) and capital	
	expenditures are	
	projected to decrease by	
	\$5,372,567 (18.80%).	
	(SALOMON)	

## **CHART FOR QUESTION #24**

					Legally Required	
Description	2012 Actual	2013 Actual	2014 Actual	2015 Budget	•	Benefits of Membership
ASSOCIATION OF WA CITIES	35,989	36,036	36,478	37,067		Provides City's benefits & Insurance, provide lobbying services in Olympia, proivdes trainings for elected officials
NATIONAL LEAGUE OF CITIES	4,467	4,467	4,467	4,467		Provides lobbying services at the federal level, conferences to network with other elected officials and trainings
PUGET SOUND CLEAN AIR AGENCY	28,663	28,352	28,229	35,987	Yes, by statute	Federally mandated to uphold Federal & Washington Clean Water Act
PUGET SOUND REGIONAL COUNCIL	18,423	18,387	18,203	18,064		Regional planning organization, passed through federal dollars, sets regional planning priorities
SEASHORE	200	200	200	200		King County regional transportation planning forum; designates projects for KC funding grants
SHORELINE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	550	550	550	550	N	Local business organization
SOUND CITIES ASSOCIATION	29,781	29,821	30,044	32,335		KC Regional lobbying group; staffs regional committees; advocates on behalf of member cities in region
TOTAL	118,073	117,813	118,171	128,670		

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

#### **TABLE FOR QUESTION #35**

# **All Department 2015 Requests**

			Proposed ost	<u>F</u>			
Department	Item	Ongoing	One- Time	Ongoing	One- Time	Total Cost	Recommended
Administrative Services	Equipment Replacement for Infrastructure	30,000	-	30,000	-	30,000	Recommended
Administrative Services	Increase Buyer in Purchasing to Full-Time from 75% Time	24,297	-	23,816	-	23,816	Recommended
Parks, Recreation & Cultural Services	Park Maintenance Worker 1 - 0.80 FTE**	56,752	-	40,490	-	40,490	Recommended
Parks, Recreation & Cultural Services	Urban Forestry-Professional Services, Stump Grinding	24,625	-	10,000	-	10,000	Recommended
Planning & Community Development	On-Call Development Review***	75,000	-	50,000	-	50,000	Recommended
Planning & Community Development	Assistant Planner	77,184	-	79,080	-	79,080	Recommended
Planning & Community Development	County Recording of Expired Development Permit Files	-	10,125	5,000	-	5,000	Recommended
Public Works	Construction Management and Inspections	45,000	-	45,000	-	45,000	Recommended
СМО	Consultant Services for Potential Utility- Related Work	-	10,000	0	10,000	10,000	Recommended
СМО	City of Shoreline 20th Birthday Supplies	-	10,000	0	10,000	10,000	Recommended

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

## **Budget Questions Matrix**

Planning & Community	Point Wells Geotechnical Review - Sno Co DEIS	-	12,000	0	12,000	12,000	
Development							Recommended
Administrative Services	PW Mobile Tablet Devices	-	36,000	4,800	18,600	23,400	Recommended
Administrative Services	Digital Aerial Photography and Mapping	-	20,000	0	20,000	20,000	Recommended
СМО	Leadership and Management Development	-	35,000	0	25,000	25,000	Recommended
Administrative Services	Assessment of New Permit/Code Enforcement/Customer Service System	-	500,000	0	30,000	30,000	Recommended
Public Works	RWD Assumption and SPU Acquisition Negotiation	-	30,000	0	30,000	30,000	Recommended
Public Works	Solid Waste Collection Contract RFP/RFQ		30,000		30,000	30,000	Recommended
Administrative Services	2015 Cost Recovery Study	-	50,000	0	35,000	35,000	Recommended
Human Resources	2015 Compensation Study	-	50,000	0	50,000	50,000	Recommended
Public Works	Compliance with Section 504 and ADA Requirements	-	75,000	0	50,000	50,000	Recommended
Administrative Services	GIS Technician Extra Help Support	-	84,611	0	50,054	50,054	Recommended
Public Works	ROW Inventory and Condition Assessment (Asset Management)	-	56,000	0	56,000	56,000	Recommended
Administrative Services	Implementation of SharePoint	2,250	61,950	2,250	61,950	64,200	Recommended
Administrative Services	Replacement of the Class System for Recreation	-	65,000	0	65,000	65,000	Recommended
Administrative Services	Additional Network Contracting Support	-	100,000	0	70,000	70,000	Recommended
СМО	Promoting Shoreline	-	425,000	0	200,000	200,000	Recommended
Community Services	Utility Assistance Fund Cost of Living Adjustment	-	447	0	447	447	Not Recommended

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

## **Budget Questions Matrix**

Community Services	Human Services Fund Cost of Living Adjustment	-	5,511	0	5,511	5,511	Not Recommended
Administrative Services	Audio/Visual for Spartan Gym	-	8,500	0	8,500	8,500	Not Recommended
Administrative Services	Audio/Visual Support and Maintenance	-	10,000	0	10,000	10,000	Not Recommended
Public Works	2 AAII Extra Help Positions (832 GF hours, 208 SWM hours, 1,040 Capital Projects hours)	-	16,000	0	16,000	16,000	Not Recommended
Parks, Recreation & Cultural Services	1 FTE AAII position for Spartan Recreation Center	-	37,961	0	37,691	37,691	Not Recommended
Administrative Services	Extra Help - Computer Network Specialist	-	48,100	0	48,100	48,100	Not Recommended
Administrative Services	Implementation of CMMS for Parks	-	101,000	0	101,000	101,000	Not Recommended
Police	Jail Transport Officer	-	116,000	0	116,000	116,000	Under Evaluation
СМО	Create Aurora Square ParkPlace	-	120,000	0	120,000	120,000	Not Recommended
Community Services	Sharepoint Licenses	-	1,005	0	1,005	1,005	Incorporated in Other Proposal
TOTAL Propose	ed Requests for General & Street Fund	335,108	2,125,210	290,436	1,287,858	1,578,294	
Public Works	Vactoring - Additional funding for High Priority Maintenance	50,000	-	50,000	-	50,000	Recommended
Public Works	NPDES Phase II Permit Support	50,000	-	50,000	-	50,000	Recommended
Public Works	Video Inspection Equipment and Training	-	35,000	0	35,000	35,000	Recommended
Public Works	Professional On-Call Services	-	25,000	0	25,000	25,000	Not Recommended
Public Works	2 AAII Extra Help Positions (832 GF hours, 208 SWM hours, 1,040 Capital Projects hours)	-	4,000	0	4,000	4,000	Not Recommended

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.

TOTAL Proposed Requests for Surface Water Utility		100,000	64,000	100,000	64,000	135,000	
Public Works	2 AAII Extra Help Positions (832 GF hours, 208 SWM hours, 1,040 Capital Projects hours)	•	20,000	0	20,000	20,000	Not Recommended
TOTAL Proposed Requests for Capital Funds		•	20,000	0	20,000	20,000	
Total All Funds Requested		435,108	2,209,210	390,436	1,371,858	1,733,294	
Total All Funds Proposed to Council		435,108	1,695,686	390,436	858,604	1,249,040	

<sup>\*\*</sup> Budgeted at 75% of 0.80 FTE in 2015. Will increase to 100% of 0.80 in future years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Revenue backed by \$25,000 in permit fees. \$25,000 in contingency. \$25,000 in planning.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Please note: Items in **BOLD** have been answered or updated since the last Budget Question Tracking Matrix on 10/24.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Questions listed as "Open Item" are scheduled for follow-up and will be addressed by staff in a future Budget Question Tracking Matrix.