

PLEASE label any information in attachments with corresponding question numbers.

NOTE: Items that have future compliance dates must still be answered to indicate status.

NOTE: Some [bracketed language] is included to provide clarification or to address errors.

PLEASE indicate reporting year and your jurisdiction in Line 1, above.

PLEASE refer to the INSTRUCTIONS tab for assistance filling out this table.

PLEASE review your work for completeness and accuracy. Save this worksheet as you go!

Question	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
1. Attached annual written update of Permittee's Stormwater Management Program (SWMP), including applicable requirements under S5.A.2 and S9?	Y			Attachment A - Stormwater Management Plan
2. Attached a copy of any annexations, incorporations or boundary changes resulting in an increase or decrease in the Permittee's geographic area of permit coverage during the reporting period, and implications for the SWMP as per S9.E.3?	N		There were no annexations, incorporations or boundary changes resulting in an increase or decrease in the Permittee's geographic area or permit coverage during the reporting period.	
3. Implemented an ongoing program for gathering, tracking, maintaining, and using information to evaluate SWMP development, implementation and permit compliance and to set priorities? (S5.A.3)	Y			
4. Began tracking costs or estimated costs of the development and implementation of the SWMP? (<i>Required</i> no later than January 1, 2009, S5.A.3.a)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
5. SWMP includes an education program aimed at residents, businesses, industries, elected officials, policy makers, planning staff and other employees of the Permittee? (S5.C.1)	Y			

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
6.	Distributed appropriate information to target audiences identified in the area served by the MS4? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.a)	Y			
6b.	Please mark a Y next to audiences targeted in Y/N/NA box:				
i	General Public	Y			
ii	Home-based business	N			
iii	Elected officials	Y			
iv	Developers	Y			
v	Contractors	Y			
vi	Permittee Employees	Y			
vii	Residents	Y			
viii	Businesses	Y			
ix	Policy makers	Y			
x	Engineers	N			
xi	Property managers	N			
xii	Homeowners	Y			
xiii	Mobile businesses	N			
xiv	Industries	N			
xv	Landscapers	Y			
xvi	Planning Staff	Y			
7.	Tracked the types of public education and outreach activities implemented? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.b and S5.A.3.b)	N			
7b.	Number of activities implemented:		0		
8.	Measured the understanding and adoption of the targeted behaviors among targeted audiences? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2009, S5.C.1.b)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline. The City conducted (in conjunction with The Cities of Bellevue and Redmond) a Residential Surface Water Quality Attitudes Survey in 2005 that will be used as a baseline for future measurements.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
9.	Provided opportunities for the public to participate in the decision making processes involving the development, implementation and updates of the Permittee’s SWMP? <i>(Required by February 15, 2008, S5.C.2.a)</i>	N		The public produced extensive comments on the City's Surface Water Master Plan in 2004-2005. The public can give input each year to the capital improvement plan an dbudget that details programs outlined in the current SWMP. A brochure was sent to current residents in January 2008 asking for input to the SWMP.	
10.	Developed and implemented a process for public involvement and consideration of public comments on the SWMP? <i>(Required by February 15, 2008, S5.C.2.a)</i>	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline. An opportunity for residents to provide input on the 2008 SWMP development was publicized in the NPDES brochure mailed to residents in January 2008, which was not during this reporting period.	
11.	Made the most current version of the SWMP available to the public? (S5.C.2.b)	N		The SWMP will be posted on the City's website after the 2007 annual report deadline.	
12.	Posted the SWMP on your website? (S5.C.2.b)	N		The SWMP will be posted on the City's website after the 2007 annual report deadline.	
12b.	NOTE website address in <i>Attachment</i> field:				http://www.cityofshoreline.com/cityhall/projects/water/index.cfm
13.	Initiated or implemented an ongoing program to detect and remove illicit connections and illegal discharges into the Permittee’s MS4? <i>(Required August 19, 2011, S5.C.3)</i>	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline. The City currently investigates all reports but does not have a formal program in place.	
14.	Developed and currently maintain a map of your MS4? <i>(Required by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a)</i>	Y		The City currently maintains a map of the City's stromwater infrastrucure in a GIS database.	
14b.	[Initiated a program to develop and maintain a map of all connections to the MS4 authorized or allowed by the Permittee after the Permit effective date? (S5.C.3.a.ii)]	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline of February 15, 2011.	

Question	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
15. Map shows the location of all known municipal separate storm sewer outfalls, receiving waters and structural stormwater BMPs owned, operated, or maintained by the Permittee? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline. The map currently shows the known locations where the MS4 discharges to waterbodies but these are not explicitly identified as outfalls. The map does currently show all receiving waters and structural stormwater BMPs.	
16. Map shows all storm sewer outfalls with a 24 inch nominal diameter or larger, or an equivalent cross-sectional area for non-pipe systems and includes tributary conveyances, associated drainage areas and land use? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a.i)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
17. Map shows geographic areas served by the Permittee's MS4 that do not discharge stormwater to surface waters? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.a.iii)	N		The current map depicts the majority of the City's MS4. At this time, any geographic areas served by the City's MS4 that do not discharge stormwater into surface waters have not been specifically identified. The City will evaluate the MS4 system and add any of these geographic areas to the map by the permit deadline.	
18. Map has been made available upon request? (S5.C.3.a.iv)	Y		Hard copies of the GIS map are available through public disclosure requests.	
19. Developed and implemented regulatory actions necessary to effectively prohibit non-stormwater, illegal discharges, and/or dumping into the Permittee's MS4? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.b)	Y		Shoreline Municipal Code 20.60.120 prohibits a wide range of non-stormwater discharges to the City's MS4. The City is currently reviewing the surface water codes and intends to modify the codes to further comply with the NPDES permit requirements by the deadline.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
20.	Developed and implemented an ongoing program to detect and address non-stormwater discharges, spills, illicit connections and illegal dumping into the Permittee’s MS4? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline. The City currently investigates all reports but does not have a formal program in place.	
21.	Developed procedures for locating priority areas likely to have illicit discharges, including at a minimum: evaluating land uses and associated business/industrial activities present; areas where complaints have been registered in the past; and areas with storage of large quantities of materials that could result in spills? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.i)	N		The City is developing a procedures to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
22.	Implemented field assessment activities, including visual inspection of priority outfalls identified during dry weather, and for the purposes of verifying outfall locations, identified previously unknown outfalls, and detected illicit discharges? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
23.	Prioritized receiving waters for visual inspection? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.3.c.ii)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
24.	Conducted field assessments for three high priority water bodies? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
25.	Conducted field assessments on at least one high priority water body? (<i>Required</i> annually after February 15, 2011, S5.C.3.c.ii)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
26.	Developed and implemented procedures for characterizing the nature of, and potential public or environmental threat posed by, any illicit discharges found by or reported to the Permittee? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.iii)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline. The City has developed a Spill Response Plan that outlines the steps to take when a spill is identified.	
27.	Developed and implemented procedures for tracing the source of an illicit discharge; including visual inspections, and when necessary, opening manholes, using mobile cameras, collecting and analyzing water samples, and/or other detailed inspection procedures? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.iv)	N		The City currently has procedures in place for investigating reported illicit discharges but there is no formal program in place. The City is working to develop a formal program.	
28.	Developed and implemented procedures for removing the source of the discharge, including notification of appropriate authorities; notification of the property owner; technical assistance for eliminating the discharge; follow-up inspections; and escalating enforcement and legal actions if the discharge is not eliminated? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.c.v.)	N		The City currently has procedures in place for the removal of illicit discharges but there is no formal program in place. Shoreline Municipal Code 20.60.120 prohibits illicit discharges. The City has authority to investigate and require elimination of suspected illegal discharges. This code will be reviewed for Phase II compliance.	
29.	Informed public employees, businesses, and the general public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and improper disposal of waste? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
30.	Distributed appropriate information to target audiences identified pursuant to S5.C.1? <i>(Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d.i)</i>	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
31.	Publicized a hotline or other local telephone number for public reporting of spills and other illicit discharges? <i>(Required by February 15, 2009, S5.C.3.d.ii)</i>	Y		Residents can report water quality concerns by calling the City's customer service number at 206-546-1700. The customer service hotline functions as the water quality reporting hotline and is advertised on the City's website. The customer service number is also listed in many other City publications.	
31b.	Number of calls received:		30	30 calls were received related to spills and other illicit discharges.	
31c.	Number of follow-up actions taken:		30	All reports were investigated, one was identified as a violation and enforced.	
32	Tracked the number and type of spills? <i>(Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)</i>	Y		The number and type of spills are tracked in the City's Hansen database.	
32b.	Number of spills:		27		
33	Tracked the number of illicit discharges identified? <i>(Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)</i>	N		The City has not developed a comprehensive tracking program that specifically distinguished illicit discharge calls from other water quality reporting calls but these calls are tracked at this time. If an illicit discharge is identified and enforcement action is necessary the case is logged in the City's Hansen database. The City is working to develop comprehensive tracking procedures by the permit deadline.	
33b.	Number of illicit discharges identified:		0		
34	Tracked the number inspections made for illicit connections? <i>(Required by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)</i>	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
34b.	Number of inspections:		0		

Question		Y/N/NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, if applicable
35	Received feedback from [IDDE] public education efforts? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.e)	N		The City is working to develop a comprehensive IDDE program, that will include incorporating public feedback, by the permit deadline.	
36	Attached report on [IDDE] public education efforts? (<i>Required</i> by August 19, 2011, S5.C.3.d, S5.C.3.e)	N		The City is developing a plan to develop a comprehensive IDDE program, that will include a public education component, by the permit deadline.	
37	Municipal field staff responsible for identification, investigation, termination, cleanup, and reporting of illicit discharges, improper disposal and illicit connections are trained to conduct these activities? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.f.i)	Y		The City's Surface water Quality Specialist attended the EPA web training for both Developing Your IDDE Program (IDDE 101) and Conducting Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Investigations (IDDE 201)	
37b.	Number of trainings provided:		2		
37c.	Number of staff trained:		1		
38	Provided follow-up training as needed to address changes in procedures, techniques or requirements? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.3.f.i)	N		The City will update training as needed.	
38b.	Number of trainings provided:		0		
38c.	Number of staff trained:		0		
39	Developed and implemented an ongoing training program on the identification of an illicit discharge/connection, and on the proper procedures for reporting and responding to the illicit discharge/ connection for all municipal field staff, which, as part of their normal job responsibilities, might come into contact with or otherwise observe an illicit discharge or illicit connection to the storm sewer system? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.3.f.ii.)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
39b.	Number of trainings provided:		0		
39c.	Number of staff trained:		0		
40	Developed, implemented and enforced a program to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff to a regulated small MS4 from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities? (<i>Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4</i>)	N		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual for this program. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	
41	Applied stormwater runoff program to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? (<i>Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4</i>)	N		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual. All plans are reviewed and compared to these requirements. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	
42	Applied stormwater runoff program to private and public development, including roads? (<i>Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4</i>)	N			
43	Applied the Technical Thresholds in Appendix 1 to all sites 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? (<i>Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4</i>)	N		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual for this program. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	
44	Adopted and implemented regulatory mechanism (such as an ordinance) necessary to address run-off from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities? (<i>Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a</i>)	Y		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual and King County Title 9 (1992) for this program. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
45	Retained existing local requirements to apply stormwater controls at smaller sites or at lower thresholds than required pursuant to S5.C.4?	Y			
46	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes the minimum requirements, technical thresholds, and definitions in Appendix 1 (or an equivalent approved by Ecology under the NPDES Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit) for new development, redevelopment, and construction sites? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.i)	N		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual for this program. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	
47	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes exceptions and variance criteria equivalent to those in Appendix 1? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1)	N		The City has adopted 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual and King County Title 9 (1992). The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology. The City currently allows a variance, as outlined in a City ordinance, but at this time the variance	
48	Were exceptions or variances to the minimum requirements in Appendix 1 granted? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.i., and Section 6 of Appendix 1)	N			
48b.	If so, how many were granted?		0		

Question	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>	
49	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism includes a site planning process and BMP selection and design criteria that, when used to implement the minimum requirements in Appendix 1 (or equivalent approved by Ecology under the Phase I Permit) will protect water quality, reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable and satisfy the State requirement under Chapter 90.48 RCW to apply all known, available and reasonable methods of prevention, control and treatment (AKART) prior to discharge? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.ii)	N		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual for this program. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	
49b.	Cite documentation to meet this requirement in <i>Attachment</i> field:				
50	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism provides the legal authority, through the approval process for new development, to inspect private stormwater facilities that discharge to the Permittee’s MS4? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.iii)	N		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual and King County Title 9 (1992) for this program. These documents do provide legal authority to inspect private stormwater facilities but they are not equivalent to the 2005 Ecology Manual. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when equivalency is granted by Ecology.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
51	The ordinance or other enforceable mechanism allows non-structural preventive actions and source reduction approaches such as Low Impact Development (LID) Techniques to minimize the creation of impervious surfaces and minimize the disturbance of native soils and vegetation? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.iv)	Y		The City's Engineering and Development Guide allows for the use of the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual as it pertains to LID.	
52	If the ordinance or regulatory mechanism allows construction sites to apply the Erosivity Waiver in Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2, does it include appropriate, escalating enforcement sanctions for construction sites that provide notice to the Permittee of their intention to apply the waiver but do not meet the requirements (including timeframe restrictions, limits on activities that result in non-stormwater discharges, and implementation of appropriate BMPs to prevent violations of water quality standards) to qualify for the waiver? (If waiver is allowed, the qualification is <i>required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.a.v)	N			
53	Developed and implemented a permitting process to address runoff from new development, redevelopment and construction site activities with plan review, inspection, and enforcement capability? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b)	Y			

Question	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
54 Applied permitting process to all sites that disturb a land area 1 acre or greater, including projects less than one acre that are part of a larger common plan of the development or sale? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b)</i>	Y		The permitting process is applied to all sites within the City regardless of the size.	
55 Reviewed Stormwater Site Plans for new development and redevelopment projects? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.i)</i>	Y		The City's Planning and Development Department reviews all stormwater site plans for development projects in accordance with the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual.	
55b. Number of site plans reviewed during the reporting period:		100		
56 Inspected, prior to clearing and construction, all known development sites that have a high potential for sediment transport as determined through plan review based on definitions and requirements in Appendix 7 Determining Construction Site Sediment Potential ? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.ii)</i>	Y		An inspection is performed on virtually all new development and redevelopment that requires drainage review.	
56b. Number of [qualifying] sites inspected [prior to clearing and construction] during the reporting period:		0	An inspection is performed on virtually all new development and redevelopment that requires drainage review. The City does not specifically track "qualifying" sites at this time.	
57 Inspected construction-phase stormwater controls at all known permitted development sites during construction to verify proper installation and maintenance of required erosion and sediment controls? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iii)</i>	N		The City does not currently have a formal inspection program in place. The City will respond to reports of violations. The City is working to develop a program by the permit deadline.	

Question	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
57b. Number of sites inspected during [the construction phase for] the reporting period:		0		
58. Enforced as necessary based on the inspection at new development and redevelopment projects? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iii)	N		The City does not currently have a formal inspection program in place. The City will respond to reports of violations. The City is working to develop a program by the permit deadline.	
58b. Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:		0		
59. Inspected [qualifying] permitted development sites upon completion of construction and prior to final approval or occupancy to ensure proper installation of permanent stormwater controls such as stormwater facilities and structural BMPs? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iv and v)	Y		The City inspects all sites and developments, regardless of size, for conformance with adopted rules, regulations and approved plans.	
59b. Number of [qualifying] sites known during the reporting period:		0	The City inspects all sites and developments, regardless of size, for conformance with adopted rules, regulations and approved plans. The City does not specifically track "qualifying" sites at this time.	
59c. Number of [qualifying] sites inspected during the reporting period:		0	The City inspects all sites and developments, regardless of size, for conformance with adopted rules, regulations and approved plans. The City does not specifically track "qualifying" sites at this time.	
60. Verified a maintenance plan is completed and responsibility for maintenance is assigned [for qualifying projects]? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iv)	N		The City has adopted King County Title 9 (1992) which addresses responsibility for maintenance of structures. This code does not require a formal maintenance plan.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
61	Enforced [regulations] as necessary based on the inspection? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.iv)	N		City-owned facilities that do not meet maintenance standards are brought to standards. The City requests that (inspected) private facilities that do not meet standards are brought to standards. Facilities that are not properly maintained are evaluated for the potential threat to water quality, humans and the environment. Maintenance activities at this time are not enforced unless it is an immediate threat to water quality and the environment.	
61b.	Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:		0		
62	Developed and implemented an enforcement strategy to respond to issues of non-compliance [with the regulations for qualifying projects]? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.b.vi)	Y		Issues on non-compliance are turned over to the City's Code Enforcement Officer for enforcement.	
63	Did the Permittee choose to allow construction sites to apply the Erosivity Waiver in Appendix 1, Minimum Requirement #2? (S5.C.4.b.vii)	N			
63b.	If yes, how many waivers were allowed ?		0		
64	Developed and implemented a long-term operation and maintenance (O&M) program for post-construction stormwater facilities and BMPs? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c)	Y		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual for this program. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	

Question	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
65 Adopted an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism that clearly identifies the party responsible for maintenance, requires inspection of facilities and establishes enforcement procedures? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.i)</i>	Y		King County Title 9 (1992) identifies the party responsible for maintenance and requires the inspection of facilities. Maintenance standards are contained in the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual. Enforcement procedures are in place. The City is currently working to revise the stormwater code and plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	
66 Inspected post-construction stormwater controls, including structural BMPs, at new development and redevelopment projects? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c)</i>	Y		The City does inspect these sites but does not currently track the numbers.	
66b. Number of sites inspected during the reporting period:		0	The City does inspect these sites but does not currently track the numbers.	
66c. Number of structural BMPs inspected during the reporting period:		0	The City does inspect these sites but does not currently track the numbers.	
66d. Number of enforcement actions taken during the reporting period:		0	The City does inspect these sites but does not currently track the numbers.	
67 Established maintenance standards that are as protective, or more protective, of facility function as those specified in Chapter 4 of Volume V of the 2005 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington ? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.ii)</i>	N		The City currently relies on the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual for this program. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual when it is deemed equivalent by Ecology.	
68 Performed timely maintenance as per S5.C.4.c.ii? <i>(Required by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.ii)</i>	Y			

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
68b.	Attached documentation of any maintenance delays. (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.ii)	N		No maintenance delays were encountered	
69	Annually inspected all stormwater treatment and flow control facilities (other than catch basins) permitted by the Permittee according to S5.C.4.b. unless there are maintenance records to justify a different frequency? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.iii)	Y		many commercial and regional/residential facilities are inspected annually. Low priority commercial facilities are inspected every other year. An alternate inspection schedule is supported by the permit if it is justified (see Attachment B for justification).	
70	If using reduced inspection frequency, Attached documentation as per S5.C.4.c.iii? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.iii)	N			Attachment B - Commercial Property Alternate Inspection Frequency Justification
71	Inspected all new stormwater treatment and flow control facilities owned or operated, including catch basins, for new residential developments that are a part of a larger common plan of development or sale, every 6 months during the period of heaviest house construction (i.e., 1 to 2 years following subdivision approval) to identify maintenance needs and enforce compliance with maintenance standards as needed? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.c.iv)	N		The City does not encounter developments that fit this criteria. There is a program in place to monitor them if one is constructed in the City: The City requires a two-year maintenance bond.	
71b.	Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:		0		

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
72	Implemented a procedure for keeping records of inspections and enforcement actions by staff, including inspection reports, warning letters, notices of violations, other enforcement records, maintenance inspections and maintenance activities? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.d)	Y			
73	Provided copies of the Notice of Intent for Construction Activity and Notice of Intent for Industrial Activity to representatives of proposed new development and redevelopment? (S5.C.4.e)	Y			
74	All staff responsible for implementing the program to control stormwater runoff from new development, redevelopment, and construction sites, including permitting, plan review, construction site inspections, and enforcement were trained to conduct these activities? (<i>Required</i> by August 15, 2009, S5.C.4.f)	N		The City does not currently have a formal inspection program in place to inspect stormwater controls during the construction phase.	
74b.	Number of trainings provided:		0		
74c.	Number of staff trained:		0		
75	Developed and implemented an operations and maintenance (O&M) program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5)	N		The City is currently a member of the Regional Roads ESA forum and adheres to the Forums best Management Practices for road and infrastructure maintenance. The City will work to develop other programs to prevent or reduce pollutant runoff from municipal operations by the permit deadline.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
76	Adopted maintenance standards as protective, or more protective, of facility function as those specified in Chapter 4 of Volume V of the 2005 Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington ? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.a)	N		The City currently uses the 1998 King County Surface Water Design Manual. The City plans to adopt the 2005 King County Surface Water Design Manual once Ecology grants equivalency to the 2005 Ecology Manual.	
77	Performed timely maintenance as per S5.C.5.a.ii? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.a.ii)	Y			
77b.	Attached documentation of any maintenance delays. (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.a.ii)	N		No maintenance delays were encountered	
78	Annually inspected and maintained all stormwater treatment and flow control facilities (other than catch basins)? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.4.c.iii)	Y		The City currently has a program in place to inspect all City owned and operated stormwater treatment and flow control facilities.	
78b.	Number of known facilities:		96		
78c.	Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:		96		
79	If using reduced inspection frequency, Attached documentation as per S5.C.5.a.ii? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.b)	N		All municipaly owned and operated treatment and flow control facilities are inspected annually.	
80	Conducted spot checks of stormwater facilities after major storms? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.c)	Y		The City inspects City-owned or operated detention ponds, pump stations with ponds and open channels with detention after major storms. There are 15 of these facilities.	
80b.	Number of known facilities:		15		
80c.	Number of facilities inspected during the reporting period:		15		

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
81	Inspected municipally owned or operated catch basins at least once before the end of the Permit term? (<i>Required</i> by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.d)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
81b.	Number of known catch basins:		7626		
81c.	Number of inspections:		2899	Inspected during this reporting period.	
81d.	Number of catch basins cleaned:		2899	Cleaned during this reporting period.	
82	Established and implemented practices to reduce stormwater impacts associated with runoff from streets, parking lots, roads or highways owned or maintained by the Permittee, and road maintenance activities conducted by the Permittee? (<i>Required by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.f</i>)	Y		The City is implementing an O&M program that is vetted and accepted via NMFS and the Dept of Ecology: The Regional Roads ESA Program. This is a comprehensive program addressing roads maintenance related BMPs. The Regional Road Maintenance ESA Program Guidelines describes physical, structural, and managerial best management practices designed so that when they are used, singularly or in combination, they reduce road	
83	Established and implemented policies and procedures to reduce pollutants in discharges from all lands owned or maintained by the Permittee and subject to this Permit, including but not limited to: parks, open space, road right-of-way, maintenance yards, and stormwater treatment and flow control facilities? (<i>Required by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.g</i>)	N		The City participates in the Regional Roads ESA Forum, described above, to address roads related maintenance BMPs. The City will work to develop policies and procedures for the other land uses noted in this question.	
84	Initiated or implemented an operations and maintenance (O&M) program that includes a training component and has the ultimate goal of preventing or reducing pollutant runoff from municipal operations? (<i>Required by February 15, 2010, S5.C.5.h.</i>)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	

Question		Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
84b.	Number of trainings provided:		0		
84c.	Number of staff trained:		0		
85	Initiated or implemented a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for all heavy equipment maintenance or storage yards, and material storage facilities owned or operated by the Permittee in areas subject to this Permit that are not required to have coverage under the Industrial Stormwater General Permit? (<i>Required by February 15, 2010</i> , S5.C.5.i)	N		The City is developing a plan to accomplish this goal by the permit deadline.	
86	Is there an approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) applicable to stormwater discharges from a MS4s owned or operated by the Permittee?	N			
87	Complied with the specific requirements identified in Appendix 2? (S7.A)	NA			
88	Attached status report of TMDL implementation? (S7.A)	NA			
89	Where monitoring was required in Appendix 2, did you conduct the monitoring according to a Quality Assurance Project Plan? (S7.A)	NA			
90	Took appropriate action to correct or minimize the threat to human health or the environment or otherwise stop or correct the condition of any instances of non-compliance with any of the terms and conditions of this Permit, including discharges from the Permittee's MS4 which may cause a threat to human health or the environment? (G20 and S4.F)	NA		No conditions of non-compliance were encountered.	

Question	Y/N/ NA	#	Comments (50 word limit)	Name of Attachment & Page #, <u>if applicable</u>
90b. [Attached a summary of the status of implementation of any actions taken pursuant to S4.F and any information from an assessment and evaluation procedures collected during the reporting period. (S4.F.2.d))]	NA			
91 Notified Ecology of the failure to comply with the permit terms and conditions within 30 days of becoming aware of the non-compliance? (G20 and S4.F)	NA			
92 Notified Ecology immediately in cases where the Permittee becomes aware of a discharge from the Permittees MS4 which may cause or contribute to an eminent threat to human health or the environment? (G20 and S4.F)	Y			